VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF	1		<u>I</u> <u>N</u> <u>D</u> <u>E</u> <u>X</u>	
	2	Witnes	s	Pages
	3	KEVIN	J. KENNEDY	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN	4		Examination by Mr. Poland	7/136
ALVIN BALDUS, CINDY BARBERA,	5		Examination by Mr. Hassett	130
CARLENE BECHEN, RONALD BIENDSEIL, RON BOONE, VERA BOONE, ELVIRA BUMPUS, EVANJELINA CLEEREMAN, SHEILA COCHRAN, LESLIE W. DAVIS III, BRETT ECKSTEIN,	6		Examination by Mr. Hodan	136
MAXINE HOUGH, CLARENCE JOHNSON, RICHARD KRESBACH, RICHARD LANGE, GLADYS MANZANET, ROCHELLE MOORE,	7			
AMY RISSEEUW, JÜDY ROBSON, GLORIA ROGERS, JEANNE SANCHEZ-BELL, CECELIA SCHLIEPP, and TRAVIS THYSSEN,	8	No.		Identified
Plaintiffs,	10	157	Notice of Deposition	7
TAMMY BALDWIN, GWENDOLYNNE MOORE, and RONALD KIND,	11	158	Defendants' Answers to Plaintiffs'	,
Intervenor-Plaintiffs, v. File No. 11-CV-562	12	130	First Set of Interrogatories and First Request for Production of Documents	1
Members of the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board, each only in	13	159	LTSB County Shape File Analysis	3 6
his official capacity: MICHAEL BRENNAN. DAVID DEININGER.	14	160	SVRS 8.0 - Redistricting Key Change	
GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE, THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, [Caption Continued]	15	161	Defendants' Responses to Plaintiffs	1
VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION	16 17		Second Set of Interrogatories and Second Request for Production of Documents	7:
KEVIN J. KENNEDY	18	162	Defendants' Supplemental Answers	
Madison, Wisconsin February 8, 2012 Peggy S. Christensen, RPR, CRR, CCP	19		to Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories and First Request f Production of Documents	or 78
Registered Professional Reporter	20	163	Congressional exception report	8:
	21	164	Senate exception report	8:
	23	165	Assembly exception report	8 :
	24 25		(Continued)	
and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and	1		3 <u>E X H I B I T S</u> (Continuing)	
General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,	2	No.	Description	Identified
Defendants,	3	166	Exhibit E to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint	102
7. JAMES SENSENBRENNER, JR., PHOMAS E. PETRI, PAUL D. RYAN, JR.,	5	167	Waukesha County Case No. 11-CV-3995 Answer to Amended Complaint for	
REID J. RIBBLE, and SEAN P. DUFFY,	6	168	Declaratory and Other Relief Declaration of Kevin Kennedy In	126
Intervenor-Defendants.	7 8	100	Support of the Defendants' Motion for Protective Order	13
	9			
VOCES DE LA FRONTERA, INC., RAMIRO VARA, OLGA WARA, JOSE PEREZ, and ERICA RAMIREZ,	10 11		original exhibits were attached to the cript and copies of were provided to	_
	12			
Plaintiffs, v. Case No. 11-CV-1011	13 14		its 12, 13, 79, 80, 86, 150 and 151 n were marked at previously held dep	
JPS-DPW-RMD Members of the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board, each only in	15			
nis official capacity:	16			
MICHAEL BRENNAN, DAVID DEININGER, GERALD NICHOL, THOMAS CANE,	17			
THOMAS BARLAND, and TIMOTHY VOCKE, and KEVIN KENNEDY, Director and	18			
General Counsel for the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board,	19			
Defendants.	20			
	21			
	22			
	22 23 24			

```
VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION of KEVIN J. KENNEDY,
                                                                                   (Exhibit No. 157 marked for
   a witness of lawful age, taken on behalf of the
                                                               2
                                                                                     identification)
 3
   Plaintiffs, wherein Alvin Baldus, et al., are
 4
    Plaintiffs, and Members of the Wisconsin Government
                                                               3
   Accountability Board, et al., are Defendants, pending
                                                               4
                                                                                  KEVIN J. KENNEDY,
 6
   in the United States District Court for the
                                                               5
                                                                         called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
   Eastern District of Wisconsin, pursuant to notice,
 8 before Peggy S. Christensen, a Registered
                                                               6
                                                                         testified on oath as follows:
9 Professional Reporter and Notary Public in and
                                                               7
10
   for the State of Wisconsin, at the offices of
11
    Godfrey & Kahn, S.C., Attorneys at Law, One East Main
                                                                                     EXAMINATION
12
    Street, Suite 500, in the City of Madison, County of
                                                               9 By Mr. Poland:
    Dane, and State of Wisconsin, on the 8th day of
    February 2012, commencing at 9:11 in the forenoon.
                                                              10 Q Good morning, Mr. Kennedy.
15
                                                              11
                                                                  A Good morning.
16
                                                                  Q Mr. Kennedy, you're appearing here today pursuant
                                                              13
                                                                     to a Notice of Deposition; is that correct?
17
                  A P P E A R A N C E S
                                                              14 A That's correct.
18
                                                              {f 15} {f Q} You are named as one of the individual defendants
                                                              16
                                                                     in your official capacity as director and general
19
   DOUGLAS M. POLAND and AARON A. SELIGMAN, Attorneys,
    for GODFREY & KAHN, S.C., Attorneys at Law,
                                                              17
                                                                     counsel of the Government Accountability Board;
20
           One East Main Street, Suite 500, Madison,
                                                              18
                                                                     correct?
           Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of
                                                              19
21
                                                                  A That's right.
           Plaintiffs Alvin Baldus, et al.
                                                              20
                                                                  Q I'm going to hand you a copy of what we've marked
22
                                                              21
                                                                     as Exhibit No. 157. It is the Notice of
    JACQUELINE BOYNTON, Attorney at Law,
23
           Caro Tower, 2266 North Prospect Avenue,
                                                              22
                                                                     Deposition for today's deposition. And that's the
           Suite 505, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202,
                                                              23
                                                                     Notice of Deposition that you're appearing
24
           appearing on behalf of Plaintiffs
           Voces De La Frontera, Inc., et al.
                                                              24
                                                                     pursuant to today; is that correct?
25
                                                              25 A That's right.
                                                                                         7
 1
             APPEARANCEES (Continued)
                                                               1 Q Mr. Kennedy, what is your -- I just mentioned your
 2
                                                               2
                                                                     positions with the Government Accountability
    PETER G. EARLE, Attorney,
 3
   for LAW OFFICE OF PETER EARLE, LLC, Attorneys at Law,
                                                               3
                                                                     Board. What is the Government Accountability
           839 North Jefferson Street, Suite 300,
                                                               4
 4
                                                                     Board? Can you describe it for the Court, please?
           Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202, appearing by
           telephone on behalf of Plaintiffs
                                                               5
                                                                 A The Government Accountability Board is a
 5
           Voces De La Frontera, Inc., et al.
                                                               6
                                                                     nonpartisan state office, independent agency,
    P. SCOTT HASSETT, Attorney,
                                                               7
                                                                     created by the Legislature. It consists of six
 7
   for LAWTON & CATES, S.C., Attorneys at Law,
                                                                     former judges appointed by the governor for
           Ten East Doty Street, Suite 400, Madison,
 8
           Wisconsin 53703, appearing on behalf of the
                                                               9
                                                                     six-year staggered terms. They're nominated by a
           Intervenor-Plaintiffs.
 9
                                                              10
                                                                     randomly selected panel of Court of Appeals judges
10
    MARIA S. LAZAR, Assistant Attorney General,
                                                              11
                                                                     from each of the four districts in the state. The
    for STATE OF WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,
11
           17 West Main Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53703,
                                                              12
                                                                     governor makes his selection. It's subject to a
           appearing on behalf of the Defendants.
                                                              13
12
                                                                     two-thirds confirmation by the Senate.
                                                              14
                                                                          The Government Accountability Board is
13
    PATRICK J. HODAN and DANIEL KELLY, Attorneys,
    for REINHART BOERNER VAN DEUREN S.C.,
                                                              15
                                                                     responsible for the administration and enforcement
14
           Attorneys at Law, 1000 North Water Street,
           Suite 2100, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202,
                                                              16
                                                                     of the laws relating to campaign finance,
15
           appearing on behalf of the Defendants.
                                                              17
                                                                     elections, ethics, lobbying, and contract
16
                                                              18
                                                                     disclosure for the state of Wisconsin.
    Also present:
                      James Porter, CDVS
17
                      Campbell Legal Video Company
                                                              19
                                                                  Q And you mentioned that the -- if I use the term
                      417 Heather Lane, Suite B
18
                      Fredonia, WI 53021
                                                              20
                                                                     G.A.B., we'll understand that it refers to the
                      (262) 447-2199
19
                                                              21
                                                                     Government Accountability Board?
20
                                                              22 A Yes.
                                                              23
                                                                  Q You mentioned the G.A.B. is an independent agency;
21
22
                                                              24
                                                                     is that correct?
23
                                                              25 A That's right.
```

Q So it does not fall under any particular branch of Q I'd like to start off our deposition this morning 2 government; is that correct? 2 by talking a little bit about some of the 3 A It's part of the executive branch, but it does not 3 discovery in this particular litigation that we've report to the governor. It's independent means had. We're going to go through that, and then 5 it's not a cabinet agency. we'll move on from there. Have you received any Q So it does not report to the governor? discovery requests that the plaintiffs tendered to 7 7 A That's right. the G.A.B. in this case? Q Does it report to the Legislature? 8 A Yes. Our attorneys have passed those discovery A No, it does not. requests on to us, and we've worked with them to 10 Q It doesn't answer to either of those particular 10 develop responses to those requests. 11 11 branches of government? Q I'm handing you a copy of a document that 12 12 A No. It has checks and balances, meaning that the we've previously marked at a deposition as 13 13 members are appointed by the governor, as any Exhibit No. 13. I'd ask you to take a look at 14 14 member of an executive branch agency would be, and that. 15 subject to legislative confirmation; but we don't 15 A Okay. 16 16 serve at the pleasure of the governor. I mean --Q Mr. Kennedy, have you seen Exhibit No. 13 before? 17 By we, I mean my boss is the members. I serve at 17 A I have seen it. the pleasure of the board. I'm not a 18 18 Q Turn your attention to the last page of 19 19 gubernatorial appointee. Exhibit 13, and you will see a date of 20 Q Who makes decisions on behalf of the Government 20 November 22nd, 2011. Do you see that? 21 21 A Yes. Accountability Board for actions that it takes? 22 22 Q Do you believe that you first saw Exhibit No. 13 A Well, the Government Accountability Board is 23 ultimately responsible for most decisions, but it 23 on or around that time? 24 delegates, by statute and by practice, a number of 24 A I would assume so, yes. I don't recall when I saw 25 25 decisions to its director and general counsel, it, but, again, our attorneys have been routinely 11 1 which is me. 1 passing the requests for information to us to the 2 2 Q So when there are decisions to be made in lawsuits extent that we could provide them with assistance 3 in responding to them. where the G.A.B. is sued, is it the board itself, 3 in conjunction with you, that are making those Q Did you provide assistance to the attorneys in decisions? responding to the interrogatories and the document 6 6 A Yes. production requests that are identified in 7 Q Are you the final level of decision making in the 7 Exhibit No. 13? 8 8 A With respect to some of them. A lot of them had q MR. HODAN: Object to form. You 9 nothing to do with what my agency would have any 10 10 can go ahead and answer. responsibility for. 11 A The board is the final level of decision, but, as 11 Q In response to receiving this set of 12 12 I said, they will often delegate to me to make interrogatories, did you and the G.A.B. look for 13 13 certain decisions. Often that will be in documents that were responsive to Exhibit 13? 14 14 consultation with the Chair, where we will decide A We -- In response to requests from our attorneys, 15 whether it's significant enough to involve all of 15 we did look for documents that we thought we might 16 the board members. 16 have any access to. Again, a number of these 17 17 Q Decisions that are made then by the G.A.B. are not apparently did not deal with information we would 18 18 subject to change by the Legislature or the have had. 19 19 Q When the lawsuit -- Do you recall approximately governor; is that correct? 20 20 A No, they're not. Obviously they can be challenged when the Baldus lawsuit was filed? 21 21 in court. Legislation can be introduced under A No. I just know it was sometime last fall. 22 22 certain circumstances, such as, you know, when we Q I'm sorry, when? A Sometime last fall is all I could tell you. 23 23 adopt administrative rules. There is a procedure in the statutes for legislative and executive 24 Q All right. If I told you that it was last summer, 25 25 oversight for those types of decisions. would that refresh your recollection?

A Oh, that's right. Yeah, that would. A Yes, I have. 2 ${f Q}$ There have been a series of amended complaints. 2 Q Can you identify it for the record, please? A That's right. A It is a document entitled Defendants' Answers to Q At the time that the Baldus complaint was filed, Plaintiffs' First Set of Interrogatories and First 5 did the G.A.B. do anything to direct the staff to Request for Production of Documents. 6 Q Is this a document that you've seen before? preserve all records in its possession, custody or 7 7 A Yes, I've seen it before. control? 8 A At the immediate time that it was filed, the Q I would like you to turn to the very last page of answer is no. We just continued our normal Exhibit 158. Do you see there is a verification 10 10 practice of document retention. of interrogatory answers there? 11 11 Q What is the normal practice of document retention A Yes. 12 with the G.A.B.? 12 Q Do you see that it appears to be your signature on 13 13 A Well, generally most of our documents are kept on that document? 14 14 our computer system relating to the work we do and A Yes. 15 Q Is that, in fact, your signature? backed up by the Department of Administration. 15 16 Q Is there a document retention policy that requires A Yes, it is. 16 17 17 that? Q I would like to draw your attention to page 18 18 number 9 of Exhibit 158. You testified a moment A We don't have a specific document retention policy 19 19 laid out for the agency, no. ago that you had input into some of the responses 20 Q Is there any instruction to -- just blanket 20 to the plaintiffs' first set of interrogatories; 21 21 correct? instruction to employees or staff of the G.A.B. or 22 A Yes, I did. 22 members to retain documents? 23 A Yeah. That's a general direction that's been 23 Q I'd like to draw your attention to Interrogatory 24 provided, primarily orally through the supervisors 24 No. 7, which asks, "Please identify and describe 25 25 to the staff. the 'state and/or governmental interests' that, in 13 15 Q Does the G.A.B. follow any particular procedures 1 your Answer you maintain are 'directly advance[d]' 1 2 2 or policies to verify that nothing that relates to by the new redistricting law and describe how they 3 3 pending litigation is deleted or destroyed? are advanced." Do you see that? A Whenever there is pending litigation, our internal staff counsel will generally notify staff relating Q And there is an answer, and it goes on to continue 6 6 to that to keep them apprised of those issues. on page 10; is that correct? 7 But, you know, it's a pretty small agency, so A That's correct. we're able to communicate about any litigation. Q Is that an interrogatory response into which you q Q Do you know whether staff counsel did that in this had any input? 10 10 particular case with respect to the Baldus A No. 11 litigation? 11 Q Do you know who did? 12 12 A Yes, we did. A No, I don't. This was prepared by our attorneys 13 13 Q Do you know when that was done? that are present in the deposition. 14 14 Q Nonetheless, you did verify that response; A I don't know exactly when that was done. It was done once, and then it was reiterated just within 15 15 correct? 16 the last month. 16 A That's right. 17 17 (Exhibit No. 158 marked for Q I'd like you to turn to page 11, please. 18 18 identification) Interrogatory No. 9 states, "Please identify all 19 Q Mr. Kennedy, I'm handing you a copy of a document 19 facts that support the Defendants' assertion that 20 20 we've marked as Exhibit No. 158. the 2011 maps are constitutional." Do you see 21 MR. HASSETT: 1582 21 that? 22 A Yes, I do. 22 MR. POLAND: 158, yes. 23 23 Q Did you have any input into the response to Q I'd like you to take a minute to look through it.

24

25

Exhibit 158?

Have you had an opportunity to look at

Interrogatory No. 9?

25 A No, I did not.

Q Do you know who did? do that I wanted to ask you just a question about 2 2 A No, I do not. Again, this was prepared by our the role that G.A.B. played in the redistricting 3 attorneys. 3 process. Did G.A.B. play any role at all in the 4 Q Nonetheless, you did verify that interrogatory redistricting process before Acts 43 and 44 were 5 5 response; correct? enacted into law? 6 A Yes, I did. 6 A No. 7 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$ Set that document aside. I'm going to hand you a 7 ${f Q}$ Did anyone with the Legislature consult with you 8 document that previously has been marked as an 8 or the G.A.B., to your knowledge, before settling 9 exhibit. This is Exhibit No. 86. I'd like you to 9 on the process by which redistricting was 10 10 take a look at that document. Take as much time accomplished in 2011? 11 as you need to look through it. Have you finished 11 A No. 12 12 reviewing it? Q Is it your understanding that in previous 13 13 A Yes. redistricting efforts in Wisconsin, so after the 14 Q Exhibit 86 consists of several documents together. 14 2000 decennial census, after the 1990 decennial 15 15 It consists of a letter dated January 23rd from census, that the municipalities and counties 16 me, a Notice of Deposition of a G.A.B. witness 16 completed their local redistricting process before 17 17 identifying certain topics, and then it has legislative districts were finalized? 18 attached to it two different memorandums prepared 18 A That's my understanding, yes. 19 19 by the G.A.B., one dated November 10th, 2011, and Q And a different procedure was followed for the 20 one dated January 13th, 2012. Do you see that? 20 redistricting in 2011; correct? 21 21 A Yes. A That's right. 22 Q All right. Have you seen --22 Q Do you know why that was done? 23 23 A I don't. MR. HODAN: You mean January 15th? 24 Q January 13th; correct? 24 Q Did anyone ask your opinion or G.A.B.'s opinion 25 25 MR. HODAN: Okav. whether it was a good idea to change the way the 17 19 1 A Yes. 1 process had been in the past and do it differently 2 2 Q Have you seen Exhibit 86 before? this year? 3 3 A No. A I believe I have seen it, at least in parts, if 4 not entirely. But I know I have seen every Q All right. So let's talk about these memorandums document that was in here. that are identified. We've previously marked the 6 Q Did you have conversations with counsel -- I'm not 6 November 10th, 2011, memorandum as Exhibit No. 79. 7 asking you for the substance, just the topic. Did 7 Have you seen Exhibit No. 79 before, Mr. Kennedy? 8 you have conversations with counsel about the A Yes, I have. q categories of documents that were identified in 9 Q Can you identify it, please? 10 10 the January 23rd letter that's the first two pages A It is a memorandum addressed to Nathaniel 11 of Exhibit No. 86? 11 Robinson, the elections division administrator, 12 12 A I'm sorry, the January 25 letter? and Ross Hein, the elections supervisor, from 13 13 Q The January 23rd letter. Sarah Whitt, who is our Statewide Voter 14 14 Registration System functional lead, and one of 15 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$ So there were five categories -- I'm sorry, seven 15 our staff counsel, Shane Falk. 16 16 categories of enumerated documents in there? Q Now the subject matter of this memorandum on the 17 17 very first page reads "Census Blocks Conflicting A That's right. 18 18 Q And you had conversations with counsel about with Municipal Boundaries"; correct? 19 those? 19 A That's right. 20 20 A That's right. Q There are a number of statements that are made in 21 Q So what I would like to do now is I would like to 21 this memorandum. I don't want to go through all 22 22 talk about the issues that are raised by these two of them and review all of them or read them into 23 23 memorandums. the record, but there are some, what appear to be, A Sure. 24 conclusory statements that are made or conclusions 25 25 Q We're going to try to figure this out. Before I reached in this particular memorandum that I

		VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF		. V I	IN U. INCININCIDII Z/U/ZUIZ
1		wanted to draw your attention to.	1		the map defined by longitude and latitude, and
2		And I think penultimately there is a	2		that would make it easier for the clerks when
3		statement on the first page, this is the very	3		they're putting voters into the system. Rather
4		first paragraph before the background, it's that	4		than having to type in a list of addresses for the
5		second sentence which states, "Several practical	5		particular districts, they can locate them based
6		implementation concerns have arisen regarding	6		on the maps that are provided to us by the
7		census blocks conflicting with actual municipal	7		Legislature, maps that they have developed from
8		boundaries." Do you see that statement?	8		their municipalities or their counties.
9	Α	Yes.	9		And that allows so, you know, what we were
10	Q	Then there is a statement that is made on page 4	10		essentially doing was trying to make sure that we
11		under the heading Use of Corrected Wards in SVRS.	11		had everybody in the appropriate district as
12		Do you see that?	12		defined by the legislative districts, but the
13	Α	Yes.	13		locals have to also define it in terms of their
14	Q		14		county, board, school district and aldermanic
15	_	paragraph states, "Acts 43 and 44 define the State	15		districts that might impinge on them.
16		Senate, State Assembly and Congressional districts	16	0	So I want to make sure back up a second and
17		at the census block level. The corrected wards	17	٠	make sure that I understand this. There is The
18		and municipal boundaries deviate from the census	18		
19		-	19		statutes that are passed, Act 43 and Act 44, have
		blocks, therefore using the corrected districts			district boundaries, legislative district
20		could be interpreted as violating the statute.	20		boundaries; correct?
21		However, the statute must be violated in practice	21	_	That's right.
22		in order to give a voter the correct ballot." Do	22	Q	So there are district boundaries under Act 43 for
23		you see that?	23		Senate and Assembly districts; correct?
24	_	Yes.	24	_	That's right.
25	Q	And the final statement that I wanted to bring to	25	Q	And then under Act 44 for congressional districts;
		-			00
		21			23
1		-	1		23 correct?
2		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph.	2	_	correct? That's right.
2 3		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most	3	_	correct? That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are
2 3 4		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph.	2 3 4	_	correct? That's right.
2 3 4 5		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid	2 3 4 5	_	correct? That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are
2 3 4 5 6		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order	2 3 4	_	correct? That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the
2 3 4 5 6 7		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid	2 3 4 5	Q	correct? That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have	2 3 4 5 6	Q	correct? That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q	correct? That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q	correct? That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	correct? That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q	correct? That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q A Q	correct? That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely that the final districts will not precisely match	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q	That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file and it appears that a voter may or may not be in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely that the final districts will not precisely match those prescribed by Acts 43 and 44 because census	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q	That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file and it appears that a voter may or may not be in the districts as it's defined, the maps will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A	your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely that the final districts will not precisely match those prescribed by Acts 43 and 44 because census blocks were attributed to incorrect	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q	That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file and it appears that a voter may or may not be in the districts as it's defined, the maps will usually create some type of an anomaly where it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q	your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely that the final districts will not precisely match those prescribed by Acts 43 and 44 because census blocks were attributed to incorrect municipalities." Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q	That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file and it appears that a voter may or may not be in the districts as it's defined, the maps will usually create some type of an anomaly where it doesn't seem to match up with the maps that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	_	your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely that the final districts will not precisely match those prescribed by Acts 43 and 44 because census blocks were attributed to incorrect municipalities." Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q	That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file and it appears that a voter may or may not be in the districts as it's defined, the maps will usually create some type of an anomaly where it doesn't seem to match up with the maps that were provided to us by the Legislature as part of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	_	your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely that the final districts will not precisely match those prescribed by Acts 43 and 44 because census blocks were attributed to incorrect municipalities." Do you see that? Yes. Can you explain to me why that plan of action was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q	Correct? That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file and it appears that a voter may or may not be in the districts as it's defined, the maps will usually create some type of an anomaly where it doesn't seem to match up with the maps that were provided to us by the Legislature as part of the redistricting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	_	your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely that the final districts will not precisely match those prescribed by Acts 43 and 44 because census blocks were attributed to incorrect municipalities." Do you see that? Yes. Can you explain to me why that plan of action was settled upon and whether that's a plan of action that has been followed by G.A.B.?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q	That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file and it appears that a voter may or may not be in the districts as it's defined, the maps will usually create some type of an anomaly where it doesn't seem to match up with the maps that were provided to us by the Legislature as part of the redistricting. In other words, we'll have the local maps, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q	your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely that the final districts will not precisely match those prescribed by Acts 43 and 44 because census blocks were attributed to incorrect municipalities." Do you see that? Yes. Can you explain to me why that plan of action was settled upon and whether that's a plan of action that has been followed by G.A.B.?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q	That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file and it appears that a voter may or may not be in the districts as it's defined, the maps will usually create some type of an anomaly where it doesn't seem to match up with the maps that were provided to us by the Legislature as part of the redistricting. In other words, we'll have the local maps, or the geocode doesn't line up on that, because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q	your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely that the final districts will not precisely match those prescribed by Acts 43 and 44 because census blocks were attributed to incorrect municipalities." Do you see that? Yes. Can you explain to me why that plan of action was settled upon and whether that's a plan of action that has been followed by G.A.B.? It was settled upon because when we created our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q	That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file and it appears that a voter may or may not be in the districts as it's defined, the maps will usually create some type of an anomaly where it doesn't seem to match up with the maps that were provided to us by the Legislature as part of the redistricting. In other words, we'll have the local maps, or the geocode doesn't line up on that, because sometimes not all addresses have that, so there is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q	your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely that the final districts will not precisely match those prescribed by Acts 43 and 44 because census blocks were attributed to incorrect municipalities." Do you see that? Yes. Can you explain to me why that plan of action was settled upon and whether that's a plan of action that has been followed by G.A.B.? It was settled upon because when we created ouractually retooled our voter registration system	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q	That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file and it appears that a voter may or may not be in the districts as it's defined, the maps will usually create some type of an anomaly where it doesn't seem to match up with the maps that were provided to us by the Legislature as part of the redistricting. In other words, we'll have the local maps, or the geocode doesn't line up on that, because sometimes not all addresses have that, so there is a default for that that takes it outside of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q	your attention is under the Plan of Action, in that first paragraph, the introductory paragraph. It states, "It is critical to have the most accurate boundary lines possible in SVRS, in order to assure voters of their correct districts, avoid voter and election official confusion, and to have a manageable workflow for clerks. To reach that goal, the technical team will use the corrected districts wherever possible to do so. Regardless of when these corrections occur," and then "(pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely that the final districts will not precisely match those prescribed by Acts 43 and 44 because census blocks were attributed to incorrect municipalities." Do you see that? Yes. Can you explain to me why that plan of action was settled upon and whether that's a plan of action that has been followed by G.A.B.? It was settled upon because when we created ouractually retooled our voter registration system for redistricting, we used a geographic base for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q	That's right. So those boundaries are set. There are populations that are apportioned in each of the specific legislative districts; correct? That's right. How are the districts that are enacted in Acts 43 and 44 then corrected by G.A.B. under this plan of action? Well, the districts aren't corrected. It's when we put a map on top of the voter registration file and it appears that a voter may or may not be in the districts as it's defined, the maps will usually create some type of an anomaly where it doesn't seem to match up with the maps that were provided to us by the Legislature as part of the redistricting. In other words, we'll have the local maps, or the geocode doesn't line up on that, because sometimes not all addresses have that, so there is a default for that that takes it outside of the municipality so they have to adjust that process.

puts a particular address at a particular point on

25

25

we used, is trying to make sure that, you know,

				- v :	
1		with the maps there is always going to be some	1		second, I'm never quite sure, but we also have
2		deviation, but since we're using that as the	2		working with the municipalities, we'll get their
3		basis, we want to make sure that they actually	3		ward maps or their municipal maps, you know,
4		line up in the district as defined by Acts 43 and	4		placed into that system as well.
5		44 with respect to the congressional and	5	Q	Is the starting place then the SVRS for the
6		legislative districts. The locals have to make	6		G.A.B.?
7		those decisions with respect to their county	7	Α	For us it's the SVRS. That's why, you know, by
8		supervisors, school district and aldermanic	8		geocoding all of the addresses, we now have a
9		districts, and we might have those maps but and	9		geographic location for those addresses, rather
10		they might be part of what's overlaid that creates	10		than just, you know, a long list of addresses that
11		those anomalies, but it's really just a question	11		are assigned to the various voting districts for a
12		of when you find these ones that don't line up,	12		particular voter.
13		because it's all done really by just placing	13	Q	
14		shapes on top of the system rather than again	14	_	SVRS is?
15		trying to type in exact addresses, you know,	15	Α	The Statewide Voter Registration System, which is
16		trying to make the job easier for the municipal	16	^	often referred to as SVRS, is a system of all of
17		clerks by using a bigger picture, but because	17		
18			18		the registered voters in the state of Wisconsin
		there are inaccuracies with the way the maps get	19		that we are required to maintain by state statute.
19		projected, which is really what the focus of this			It was a requirement that was imposed on the
20		was, it was really describing, you know,	20		state by the Federal Help America Vote Act of
21		administratively the headaches that the local	21		2002. It's been in place in the state of
22		election officials have, which means we have too,	22		Wisconsin since 2006.
23		in trying to make sure everything lines up	23		But it's, you know, what is used to create
24		properly, so we often have to go back to the	24		the poll lists for us to do various voter
25		language of the original acts or have the clerk	25		registration data matching, such as matching
		25			27
			1		against felons, death records, looking for
1		drill down into you know, if the map lines			against leions, death lecolds, looking for
2		drill down into you know, if the map lines don't seem to line up with the municipal	2		duplicate registrations across municipalities.
2		don't seem to line up with the municipal	2		duplicate registrations across municipalities.
2 3		don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or	3		duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration
2 3 4	Q	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature.	2 3 4		duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place.
2 3 4 5	Q	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature.	2 3 4 5		duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal
2 3 4 5 6		don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under	2 3 4 5 6		duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how
2 3 4 5 6 7	A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7		duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8		duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A Q A Q	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts themselves and then you have file shape files	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	_	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections. And you testified that the SVRS is required to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts themselves and then you have file shape files or maps that are you know, that accompanied the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections. And you testified that the SVRS is required to be maintained by the G.A.B. pursuant to statute?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts themselves and then you have file shape files or maps that are you know, that accompanied the Act and that are, you know, put into our voter registration system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections. And you testified that the SVRS is required to be maintained by the G.A.B. pursuant to statute? That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts themselves and then you have file shape files or maps that are you know, that accompanied the Act and that are, you know, put into our voter registration system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections. And you testified that the SVRS is required to be maintained by the G.A.B. pursuant to statute? That's right. It is also required to be made available to any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts themselves and then you have file shape files or maps that are you know, that accompanied the Act and that are, you know, put into our voter registration system. Then there is an overlay that's put on top of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections. And you testified that the SVRS is required to be maintained by the G.A.B. pursuant to statute? That's right. It is also required to be made available to any member of the public pursuant to statute as well;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A Q A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts themselves and then you have file shape files or maps that are you know, that accompanied the Act and that are, you know, put into our voter registration system. Then there is an overlay that's put on top of those, you testified; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A Q A	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections. And you testified that the SVRS is required to be maintained by the G.A.B. pursuant to statute? That's right. It is also required to be made available to any member of the public pursuant to statute as well; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts themselves and then you have file shape files or maps that are you know, that accompanied the Act and that are, you know, put into our voter registration system. Then there is an overlay that's put on top of those, you testified; correct? MR. HODAN: Objection. That's a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections. And you testified that the SVRS is required to be maintained by the G.A.B. pursuant to statute? That's right. It is also required to be made available to any member of the public pursuant to statute as well; correct? That's right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts themselves and then you have file shape files or maps that are you know, that accompanied the Act and that are, you know, put into our voter registration system. Then there is an overlay that's put on top of those, you testified; correct? MR. HODAN: Objection. That's a mischaracterization of his testimony. You	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections. And you testified that the SVRS is required to be maintained by the G.A.B. pursuant to statute? That's right. It is also required to be made available to any member of the public pursuant to statute as well; correct? That's right. If anybody from the public wants it, they need to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts themselves and then you have file shape files or maps that are you know, that accompanied the Act and that are, you know, put into our voter registration system. Then there is an overlay that's put on top of those, you testified; correct? MR. HODAN: Objection. That's a mischaracterization of his testimony. You can go ahead and answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections. And you testified that the SVRS is required to be maintained by the G.A.B. pursuant to statute? That's right. It is also required to be made available to any member of the public pursuant to statute as well; correct? That's right. If anybody from the public wants it, they need to pay some kind of a fee for it, but it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts themselves and then you have file shape files or maps that are you know, that accompanied the Act and that are, you know, put into our voter registration system. Then there is an overlay that's put on top of those, you testified; correct? MR. HODAN: Objection. That's a mischaracterization of his testimony. You can go ahead and answer. Those maps are actually overlaid on top of our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A A	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections. And you testified that the SVRS is required to be maintained by the G.A.B. pursuant to statute? That's right. It is also required to be made available to any member of the public pursuant to statute as well; correct? That's right. If anybody from the public wants it, they need to pay some kind of a fee for it, but it is available; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A Q A	don't seem to line up with the municipal boundaries, drill down to property tax records or other things to make sure we're getting them into the area as described by the Legislature. So you've got the original boundaries under Acts 43 and 44; correct? Correct. And those are given to the G.A.B.? G.A.B. has those? Right, and we have them in two forms. All right. And the two forms are? Well, we have the legal description in the Acts themselves and then you have file shape files or maps that are you know, that accompanied the Act and that are, you know, put into our voter registration system. Then there is an overlay that's put on top of those, you testified; correct? MR. HODAN: Objection. That's a mischaracterization of his testimony. You can go ahead and answer. Those maps are actually overlaid on top of our system, you know, our voter registration system.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A A	duplicate registrations across municipalities. But the idea was to take the voter registration records and have them all in one place. Again, it originated from a federal requirement, but it really is the backbone of how local election officials run their system because it's what generates the poll lists and allows us to track voters and their various statuses, such as absentee voting, whether they're military or overseas voters entitled to certain requirements, so it really is what all election officials have to rely on in order to conduct their elections. And you testified that the SVRS is required to be maintained by the G.A.B. pursuant to statute? That's right. It is also required to be made available to any member of the public pursuant to statute as well; correct? That's right. If anybody from the public wants it, they need to pay some kind of a fee for it, but it is available; correct? That's right.

5

1 A It changes every day because voters move,
2 reregister, pass away, get convicted of felonies,
3 come off their felon status. You know, so there
4 is a constant change in the poll list of
5 registered voters that are there.

I always say it's more than just a list because each voter has certain characteristics that go with that list that are tracked in the voter registration system and they are tied to a particular point of geography that has certain attributes in terms of, you know, what municipal ward are they in, what municipality are they in, what aldermanic district if it's a city, which school district are they in, if there is a sanitary or sewage district that elects people that's tracked, regional transit authorities were there for a while which had to be tracked, and county supervisor and congressional and legislative districts are all attributes that are tied to that particular location where a voter would be. Q So at the time that the G.A.B. received Acts 43

stage at that point in time?

A Yes.

2 Q And that changed though, as you mentioned, or as 3 you testified, every day; is that correct?

and 44 and whatever shape files or other data

accompanied them, the SVRS was at a particular

- 4 A Well, the data within it changes every day.
- 5 Q The data within it changes every day?
- 6 A Right.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 7 Q For the reasons that you just testified to?
- 8 A Right.
- 9 Q How did the G.A.B. then integrate the data and 10 information that it received, when it received
- 11 Acts 43 and 44, into the SVRS?
 - A Well, again, we had gone through this process of geocoding all of the addresses within SVRS. We had the shape files that were given to us from the legislative redistricting, by legislative I mean both Acts 43 and 44, so that we could then have, you know -- we could see based on the geocode location and the shape maps, and within that information municipalities had put their wards into that what we call the WISE-LR files that were provided by the Legislature. They would draft their wards, they would put those into the system,
 - the clerks actually were reviewing this, they

so those wards would be part of that, so that when

 ${\bf 25}$ $\,$ $\,$ could line them up based on our geocodes and the

- various maps that were put into the system.
- 2 Q So as I understand it, and please correct me if
- 3 I'm wrong, because I truly am trying to understand
- 4 the cause behind these discrepancies and the
 - effect of them, my understanding then is that the
- 6 discrepancies that resulted in the preparation of
- 7 these memos really are imposing one shape over
- 8 another, district shape over another, one that you
- 9 already had in the SVRS and then one that came in
- 10 through Acts 43 and 44; is that correct?
- 11 A That's right, although I think the shape that's
- 12 already in SVRS is really not a shape as much as
- 13 it's just a point in geography based on the
- 14 geocode that we received.
- 15 I don't know if I made it clear, but
- sometimes there isn't a geocode available so there is a default for that. And obviously if the
- 18 geocode lands close to a line, any time you
- 19 project a line, there is some distance involved in
- 20 that so it could be off when you look at those
- 21 shapes.

23

- 22 Q When you say the geocode, the geocode is for a
 - boundary line or for a voter?
- 24 A It's for a particular address.
- 25 Q For an address, all right.

31

- 1 A And the address is going to be associated with a
- 2 registered voter.
- 3 Q Or maybe more than one registered voter?
- 4 A Yes.

6

- 5 Q We took yesterday the deposition of
 - Tony Van Der Wielen of the LTSB, and
- 7 Mr. Van Der Wielen provided some documents for us.
- 8 I don't have copies that are marked with an
- 9 exhibit identifier on them but they were marked
- 10 yesterday, so I'll represent that this was marked
- 11 yesterday as Exhibit No. 151. I'd like you to
- yesterday as Exhibit No. 151. I'd like you t
- 12 take a look at it, please. Have you seen
- 13 Exhibit 151 before?
- 14 A I have seen it before.
- ${f 15}$ ${f Q}$ Did you attend any meeting with Mr. Van Der Wielen
- 16 or other members of the LTSB in early December to
- 17 talk about the issues that are identified in
- 18 Exhibit 151?
- 19 A I did not.
- 20 Q The very first paragraph of Exhibit 151, there is
 - a question raised in the first sentence. It
- 22 states, "Will the process dealing with census
- 23 blocks conflicting with municipal boundaries,
- 24 documented in the attached memorandum dated
- 25 November 10th, 2011, change assembly or

32

congressional lines outlined in 2011 Wisconsin LTSB about the topics identified in Exhibit 151? 2 Acts 43 and 44?" Do you see that question? 2 A I did not, no. 3 A Yes. Q Is there anyone on your staff in particular who 4 Q Mr. Van Der Wielen testified yesterday that the took responsibility for working on this issue with 5 5 answer was given -- when he put that question to TITSB? 6 6 A Well, we have a number of staff that have to work G.A.B., the answer that was given was yes, it 7 7 would. Is that your understanding, that that is a on implementing the redistricting maps and 8 8 correct answer to that first question? information as they're given to us so that we can A I can't speak to that. I don't know what my staff 9 do our job, which is to get the voters into the 10 10 said or how Mr. Van Der Wielen characterized that. right districts on that. 11 11 Q Sitting here today, do you know what the answer is Q Do you know specifically who on your staff would 12 to that first question in paragraph number 1? have --13 A I would think the answer would be no. What we try 13 A Well, Sarah Whitt, our functional team lead, is 14 14 to do is make sure that, you know, where a person the person who works most closely with the LTSB 15 15 is geographically is what is reflected in the and works with the local election officials, and 16 16 statutes. The problem that we run into is the Dave Meyer is one of our IT consultants who works 17 17 fact that when you look at the depiction of that very closely with her because he's the one that's, 18 18 through shape files or maps, it doesn't always you know, helping us design the geocode 19 19 come across clearly, and so the local election information, and Shane Falk is my internal staff 20 official, usually with some direction from us, has 20 counsel that would work with them. Ross Hein is 21 21 to figure out, how do we get them into the right Sarah Whitt's direct supervisor, and then 22 22 place. Nat Robinson is Mr. Hein's supervisor and is in 23 23 Q All right. The second question that is asked in charge of the elections division of the agency. 24 24 paragraph number 1 is "Specifically, will it Q And we had identified Mr. Robinson as being the 25 25 change the legislative district population recipient of or a -- well, strike that question. 35 1 1 The November 10th memorandum, which is totals?" And again yesterday Mr. Van Der Wielen 2 testified when he put that question to G.A.B. in 2 Exhibit 79, appears to have been from Ms. Whitt 3 3 December he was told the answer is yes. Do you and Mr. Falk to Mr. Robinson and Mr. Hein; have any knowledge about why the answer -correct? Mr. Van Der Wielen would have been told in 5 A That's right. 6 6 December that it would change legislative district MR. POLAND: Let's mark this one 7 population totals? 7 next. A I do not. (Exhibit No. 159 marked for q Q Sitting here today, do you know what the answer to 9 identification) 10 10 Q Mr. Kennedy, I've handed you a copy of a document that question is? 11 A Well, we wouldn't know anything about district 11 that we've marked as Exhibit No. 159. I'd like 12 12 population totals as a general rule, because we you to take as much time as you need and page 13 13 only deal with voters. We don't deal with through it, and then I'll have some questions for 14 14 population. We don't know what the populations you about it. 15 are in various census blocks. We only know that, 15 A Okay. 16 you know, when we are assigned voters, we can do a 16 Q Have you seen Exhibit No. 159 before? 17 17 count on voters in terms of, you know, who is A I have seen it before. 18 registered or something like that, but we really 18 Q When did you see it? 19 19 A I don't recall. have no specific knowledge about population totals 20 20 Q It doesn't have a date on it from what I can see. and wouldn't be factoring that into that. That's. 21 21 you know, for the people who draw the lines. Our Do you have any knowledge about when Exhibit 159 22 job is to take care of the registered voters and 22 was created? 23 23 make sure that they're in the appropriate A I do not. district, get the appropriate ballots. Q Do you know who created it? 25 25 A I am not certain who created it. I would assume Q Did you have any conversations with anyone else at

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF KEVIN J. KENNEDY 2/8/2012 that Dave Meyer would be the person most closely 2 Q And then it says, According To County These Voters associated with this. 3 Q All right. Now I note that it is identified in Should Be In. Do you see that? the very front page as LTSB county shape file 5 analysis. Do you see that? Q What's your understanding of what those columns 6 A Right. 6 represent? 7 Q And, in fact, there is a footer on every page of 7 A They represent the differences between the two 8 this document that says LTSB county shape file shape files: The county shape file that was analysis? 9 provided by the county and what we had in our 10 10 A That's right, so it may actually not be our system from LTSB. 11 document. It may be a document created --11 Q So, for example, Barron County notified G.A.B. 12 12 although it says G.A.B. that 121 voters should be out of the counties or 13 13 Q I believe yesterday Mr. Van Der Wielen testified should not have been included in the county 14 14 that they did not -- that LTSB did not prepare according to their records? 15 this. 15 A I don't know if it was a notification or if it was 16 16 when you looked at what the county depicted as was A Okay. Then --17 17 Q Is Exhibit 159 anything that you asked to have in a particular -- and I don't know which district 18 18 they were analyzing this for -- you know, would be created? 19 19 A It was something that was discussed with me. It out based on -- out of the district that they were 20 wasn't a direction from me to have it created. As 20 looking at based on looking at the county shape 21 21 our staff was trying to work through issues where file versus looking at the LTSB shape file. 22 the shape files didn't line up with the geocodes 22 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$ Could it have been that that's just a county total 23 23 and things like that, they gathered more there as opposed to one of the districts 24 24 information by getting, you know, more precise specifically? 25 25 mapping from the counties, where it was available, A That's right. 39 1 Q All right. So if we go down each of those so that they could figure out where were we having 1 2 anomalies, because the different maps didn't line 2 columns, this is -- these are columns that, 3 3 according to the counties, voters who should not 4 Q Now there are a number of different headings and be included in the counties and those who should tables located throughout this document. I wanted 5 be added? 6 6 to ask you questions about some of them. MR. HODAN: Objection. That's a 7 If you turn to page 2 of Exhibit 159, there 7 mischaracterization of his testimony. 8 is a statement about voters that says, "For this MR. POLAND: Well, he can correct q part of the analysis, we looked at all active 9 me if I'm mischaracterizing it. 10 10 voters in each County and Geo Coded those voter A I need you to rephrase -- restate what you said. 11 addresses into the shape files from both LTSB and 11 Q The second-to-the-last column -- well, strike 12 12 those received from the County GIS. This will that. First of all, this reflects what G.A.B. was 13 13 show us how many voters were calculated per county informed by the counties; is that correct? 14 14 as some of the wards using each type of shape A I don't know that the counties specifically 15 file." Do you see that statement? 15 from -- They provided data, where we ran computer 16 A Yes. 16 17 17 Q Now, there are a number of counties set out; 18 18 correct? Is that correct? this is how we create exception reports, where we

analyses to decide, you know, how these matched up with the various shape files. My understanding is A Yes. 19 say, we need to make sure this voter is properly 20 Q All right. And there is -- The two columns on the assigned. And so looking at the shape files that end are the ones I wanted to draw your attention 21 were provided by the county and matching them up 22 to. Do you see there is a column, the second-toagainst what the legislative lines are that are in 23 the-last column, the heading is According To our system from the LTSB, that's where we find the County These Voters Should Be Out. Do you see 24 anomalies or the exceptions that we need to work 25 that? with the locals to make sure that they are in the

40

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 proper district, because, again, you're just using shape files versus the County Ward shape files"; 2 2 this geographic overlay to do this as opposed to correct? 3 using -- typing in specific addresses. A That's correct. 4 Q All right. So I want to make sure that I Q It goes on to say, "We analyzed the number of 5 5 understand, though, what these -- each of these voters impacted by the Assembly District, Senate 6 6 columns represents. So whether it was the county District, and Congressional District Boundaries 7 7 had notified G.A.B. or whether G.A.B. simply was and counted the number of addresses and voters 8 able to tell by looking at data that was provided R that would not be in the correct legislative by the county, it would appear, for example, in boundary"; correct? 10 10 the Barron County row that according to the A That's what it says. 11 11 county's records or files that there were 121 Q So the comparison there -- Again I want to make 12 12 voters that were included in Barron County who sure that I understand, and please correct me if 13 should not have been included. Is that a correct 13 I'm not characterizing this the right way. The 14 14 interpretation? comparison there is district boundaries using LTSB 15 15 A That would be the way I would understand that. ward shape files versus county ward shape files; 16 16 MR. HODAN: And we're talking about is that correct? 17 17 the shape files now, just so we're all clear. A Yes. 18 A Yes. This is all based --18 Q Now if we go to the table, there is a heading 19 19 Q These are all the shape files. immediately above it that says Voters Impacted By 20 A This is all based on just looking at the maps. 20 Assembly District Boundary. Do you see that? 21 21 Q Looking at the maps, right. Understood. All A Yes. 22 22 Q Then under Barron County, for example, there is a right. And so for the last column in the first 23 23 row, Barron County, there were 162 voters who were column that says Address Count - Not Found, 5; 24 24 not included in the county under LTSB's shape correct? 25 25 A Yes. files who should have been included in the county; 43 1 is that correct? Q What is your understanding of what that number 1 2 A Right. 2 represents? 3 MR. HODAN: Let's -- I just want 3 A I am not sure. 4 the record to be clear. When you say should Q And similarly, the following column states Voter have been, you mean according -- when you're Count - Not Found. Do you know what that 6 6 overlaying one shape file with the other represents? 7 7 A No, I do not. 8 Q Yeah. It's a discrepancy between the two shape Q And just continuing down, I note that for q q files: correct? Dane County there are 934 it appears it's voters 10 10 A That's right. It's simply looking at the in the column that states Address Count - Not 11 placement of the maps as we have them, because 11 Found: correct? 12 again we're trying to get the voters into the 12 A I don't know the distinction you're talking about 13 13 proper districts for voting. because it says address count and you're using the 14 14 Q So let's take a look then at page 4. Because what term voter. 15 we're really concerned about in this particular 15 Q Right. The reason why I'm using the term voter is 16 16 case has to do with the district boundaries, not the heading just above the table says Voters 17 17 so much the counties but the district boundaries, Impacted By Assembly District Boundary. 18 18 so let's look at page 4. And on page 4 do you see A Okay. 19 there is a heading that states Legislative 19 Q But you don't know what those numbers --20 20 Boundary Impact? A I don't know because it has not been explained to 21 21 A Yes. me what they meant by address count not found when 22 22 Q So under the legislative boundary impact they were matching these shape files. 23 23 discussion, the introductory language reads, "The next part of the analysis deals with the 24 A Or what they meant by voter count not found. I 25 25 legislative boundary impact of using the LTSB Ward just know that we have in our system a list of

- VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF KEVIN J. KENNEDY 2/8/2012 addresses and we have in our system voters that exhibit sticker on it. Have you seen Exhibit 150 2 2 are tied to those addresses. before? 3 Q Let's turn to page 5. Do you see then there is a 3 A Yes, I have. table at the top of page 5 that states -- the Q When did you see Exhibit 150? 5 heading states Voters Impacted By Senate District A Sometime in January. Q Was it on or about January 3rd when the memo was Boundary; correct? 7 7 A Yes. dated? 8 Q Then below that there is a table that 8 A I honestly don't know. I'm sure -- I really don't identifies -- has three columns, County, then 9 know. 10 10 Address Count - Not Found, and Voter Count - Not Q Did you participate at all in drafting 11 11 Exhibit 150? Found. Do you see those? 12 A Yes. 12 A No, I did not. 13 Q There are various numbers that appear in there? 13 Q Do you know -- Do you have any personal knowledge 14 14 of the circumstances under which Exhibit 150 was 15 Q Can you tell me what those numbers represent? prepared? 16 A I'd have to give you the same answer I gave you 16 A T do not. 17 17 ${f Q}$ The very last two sentences or the last paragraph before. 18 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$ And then I'm going to ask you the same question 18 of Exhibit 150 states, "G.A.B. will assign every 19 19 with respect to the bottom table on page 5. It registered voter to a legislative and 20 states, Voters Impacted By Congressional District 20 congressional district." Do you see that 21 21 Boundary; correct? statement? 22 A That's right. 22 A Vac 23 Q And there is again the similar table that 23 Q And is that a true statement? 24 identifies counties, then a column with address 24 A I'm sorry, that G.A.B. will assign every 25 count not found and voter count not found? 25 registered voter to a legislative and 47 A That's right. 1 congressional district? 1 2 Q And you don't know what those numbers represent 2 Q Correct. 3 either? A Yes, that is a true statement. A I can't tell you that, no. Q Then the sentence that immediately follows that Q It appears, Mr. Kennedy, as I look through the states, "These may not be the same districts to 6 6 remaining pages in Exhibit 159 that the discussion which the voter was assigned by Acts 43 and 44." 7 then pertains -- goes on and pertains to ward, Do you see that statement? municipal, aldermanic and county supervisory A I do. Q Is that a correct statement? districts. Is that correct? 10 10 A That's what it appears to be, right. A I don't believe it's a correct statement. 11 Q Do you have any knowledge about the numbers or 11 Q Why do you not believe it's a correct statement? 12 12 analyses that are portrayed in those discussions? A Because, as I had mentioned before, our job is to 13 13 A Nothing more than I've already told you. make sure that the voters are placed in the 14 Q You can set Exhibit No. 159 to the side, please. districts as defined by Acts 43 and 44. The 15 Were you ever asked by LTSB or the Legislative 15 challenges that we have in doing that are the
- 14
- 16 Research Bureau to bring to the attention of the
- 17 Legislature any of these anomalies that were
- 18 identified?
- 19 A I was not asked, no, and I'm not aware that my
- 20 staff was asked.
- 21 Q I was going to ask, G.A.B. Was G.A.B. ever asked?
- 22 A I'm not aware that we were.
- 23 Q I'm going to hand you a copy of a document that
- was marked as Exhibit 150 yesterday. Again, it
- 25 was just marked yesterday, so we don't yet have an

- 16 mismatches between the shape files, because
- 17 primarily we're using initially, you know, the
- 18 geographic overlays to decide where they are
- 19 because that allows us to do more voters more
- 20 quickly and more efficiently, and by us I refer to
- 21 local election officials primarily.
- ${f Q}$ Did you have any discussions with anyone at LTSB
- 23 or LRB as a result of this January 3rd memo?
- 24 A I did not.
- ${f Q}$ Do you know whether anyone on your staff did?

- VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF KEVIN J. KENNEDY 2/8/2012 A I don't believe so. I think my staff brought this to the second sentence actually in this full 2 2 memorandum to our attention. We saw this paragraph. 3 primarily as the legislative staff or service 3 This is giving an example in Rock County. 4 agencies trying to deflect any problems in the 4 The sentence I would like to draw your attention 5 matching of the shape files to us, rather than to reads, "Rock County provided a specific example 6 taking responsibility that the shape files didn't of some corrections to municipal boundaries that 7 7 match up. directly conflict with census blocks and the 8 Q Did you or anyone on your staff have any 8 specific statutory language of Acts 43 and 44, discussions with anyone in the Legislature about affecting State Assembly, State Senate, and 10 10 the issues raised in the January 3rd memo? Congressional districts." Do you see that? 11 11 12 12 Q Mr. Kennedy, I'm going to hand you a copy of a Q Then if we go down to the second-to-the-last 13 13 document that's been marked previously in this sentence in that same paragraph, it states, 14 14 litigation as Exhibit No. 80. I know this is a "Obviously, this situation also creates the 15 document that you have seen before. Can you 15 likelihood of a shift in the population for the 16 16 identify Exhibit No. 80 for the record? City of Janesville and Town of Harmony under 17 A It is a memorandum addressed to the Wisconsin 17 Acts 43 and 44, which specifically attributed 18 municipal clerks, the City of Milwaukee Election 18 certain census blocks to incorrect 19 19 Commission, the Wisconsin county clerks and the municipalities." Do you see that statement? 20 Milwaukee County clerks, and it is from myself and 20 21 21 Q Is it your understanding that those sentences both from Nathaniel Robinson. 22 Q Exhibit 80 is a document, a memorandum, that you 22 are correct statements? 23 23 A I don't have an understanding as to whether and Mr. Robinson prepared? 24 24 A It was prepared for us. they're correct statements. 25 25 Q I'm sorry, you don't? Q Who prepared it for you? 1 A Sarah Whitt and Shane Falk would have been the A I do not. 1 2 primary persons putting this together for us. **Q** Know whether they are correct statements? 3 Q It was prepared and sent on or about January 13th, 3 A Right, particularly the second one. The first one 2012; is that correct? I'm assuming is correct because we were working A That's right. with the county to get information from them, and 6 6 Q The subject line states Redistricting Anomalies again those shape files would show misalignments 7 Municipal and Ward Boundaries. Do you see that? 7 of voters. 8 Q So as you sit here today, you don't know whether
- $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$ Just generally speaking, that pertains to the q
- 10 discussion that we've been having here for the
- 11 last 10 or 15 minutes or so about the
- 12 November 10th memo on forward?
- 13 A That's right.
- 14 Q I would like to draw your attention to a few 15 statements that are made in Exhibit No. 80. I'd
- 16 like to have you look at page 4, please.
- 17 There is a heading at the top of page 4 that 18 states Reports and Analyses of Municipal and Ward
- 19 Boundaries Anomalies. Do you see that?
- 20
- 21 Q All right. I would like you to look down, it's
- 22 the second full paragraph, and it is the
- 23 second-to-the-last sentence in that paragraph.
- The sentence that I'm looking at reads -- well,
- 25 actually for the predicate for that, let's go up

- there is a shift in the population for the City of
- 10 Janesville and Town of Harmony under Acts 43 and
- 11

- 12 A No, because, again, we would not know specific
- 13 population issues. We would only be dealing with
- 14 voters. You know, our focus on this is voters
- 15 when we're dealing with this, trying to make sure
- 16 that, you know, they're lined up in the
- 17 appropriate districts.
- 18 Q Nonetheless, voters are part of the population;
- 19 correct?
- 20 A That's right.
- 21 Q So it's some segment of the population that's
- 22 being addressed in this memo?
- 23 A That's right.
- 24 Q It would be a -- strike that question. If we go
- 25 down a little further on the same page, you will

- see that there are five enumerated paragraphs? 2 A Yes. 3 Q And the first one states, "1,266 registered voters were placed in a wrong municipality in the WISE-LR 5 maps"; correct? 6 A Yes. 7 Q What are the WISE-LR maps that are being referred 8 A This is -- As I understand it, the WISE-LR maps 10 are the maps that were provided to us by the 11 Legislature that were built using the census 12 blocks in their boundaries and also include the 13 municipal ward boundaries that were put into that 14 by the municipalities. 15 Q Is it your understanding then that Acts 43 and 44 16 are based on the WISE-LR maps? 17 17 A My understanding is the WISE-LR maps are part of 18 Acts 43 and 44. Those are the shape files that 18 19 19 were -- Those are the maps that were appended to 20 the legislation. Those were what were provided to 20
- 23 Q Do you know what would happen to the 1,266 24 registered voters who were placed in the wrong 25 municipality in the WISE-LR maps?

us by the Legislature to create the overlays on

our geocoding system.

21

22

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

A Well, these would be what we identify in our exception reports, where there would be a list of addresses, where the clerks would have to go in and say, why does this appear to be different and, you know, look at their municipal boundary maps. They might have to go further to see -- you know, if it shows it in the wrong municipality, they check and see, well, no, really according to our tax records they're in our municipality, and so they make sure that the voter is in the proper municipality.

It's just that when you put the maps over, you know, you have projection issues with maps, you have, you know, a width issue whenever you draw a line, and we know that the underlying census data oftentimes doesn't follow the correct municipal boundaries, and that's why you see those kind of conflicts. And so, you know, when you overlay these maps and it says someone is outside of a municipality, you go back to your own evidence to say, well, wait a minute, even though the map shows them outside of the municipality or the file shows them outside, according to our tax records they are in this municipality or they're in this county and according to the description in

Acts 43 and 44 this is the district they should be 2 in based on their location.

3 Q So let's look at this second line then where they 4 talk about -- actually, let's jump down to the 5 fourth line where the congressional districts are discussed. Paragraph 4, the fourth numbered 7 sentence --

A Yes. 8

21

22

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9 Q -- states, "12 registered voters were placed in 10 the wrong congressional district in the WISE-LR 11 maps." Do you see that?

12 A T see that.

13 Q So the 12 registered voters that are referred to 14 in that sentence, do you know or is there a way of 15 telling which congressional district they were 16 placed in under Act 44?

> MR. HODAN: Object. Are you talking now about shape files or are you talking about the text? Let's be clear. MR. POLAND: That's fine, and that's fair. So let's break that out into two different parts.

23 Q The 12 registered voters referred to in sentence number 4, do you know which congressional district they were placed in by the text of Act 44?

55

A We can figure that out. This analysis is based on the maps. It is not based on -- you know, and again, as I said, we're using the mapping tool as a way to facilitate assigning the voters to the proper district, and when you have a mismatch, this is what we identify by doing this. And if we did not do this, then we would have problems when we're running the elections in 2012.

So here, by laying the maps up against the county maps or the municipal maps that we have and we see that they don't line up, then we will go back to, well, what does it say for that particular address. And by we again I mean primarily the local election officials. Our staff is simply saying, you need to look at this and get it correct because according to this they don't line up. And so the municipal clerk will go through their local records to identify, because usually what it is is the problem with the map seems to show it outside of a municipality, and if you go back to the language of Act 43 and Act 44, it's very clear what parts of the city at that point in time or what parts of the county, if not the whole county, are in particular districts. It's just that when you look at the maps they

1 don't line up, and so they have to correct that districts in Wisconsin? 2 2 A I do not. because we're using again the geography to assign 3 it because it saves data entering in all kinds of Q Do you know how many differences there were among 4 the congressional districts in terms of -addresses. 5 5 Q So what I'm trying to figure out is -- really has A In terms of what the Legislature did? Q Correct, the population. to do with populations and, as we talked about 7 7 A I do not. before, voters are a subset of a population; 8 Q Do you know how the population totals for each correct? A I agree with the second sentence. This doesn't congressional district were determined under 10 10 have to do with populations. This has to do with Act 44? 11 11 A I do not. voters. 12 Q Right. 12 Q Do you know whether the analysis that the G.A.B. 13 13 A What we are dealing with here is making sure has gone through to put voters into correct 14 14 voters are placed in the correct districts. districts alters the population totals as 15 15 You know, what the populations of the districts calculated by the Legislature under Act 44? 16 16 are, we have no idea. I mean, we can go back and A I do not know that it does that. Again, our focus 17 17 look at the declaration in the statute that says is not on population. It's on placing a voter in 18 18 the correct district, and the tool we use is a what the ideal populations are or something, but 19 our focus is when we have a voter with an address 19 geographic mapping tool, and where we find 20 and we're looking at it using these geographic 20 exceptions to that we go back to the original 21 21 mapping tools, does it line up correctly, and if source documents, which would be the language of 22 22 it doesn't, let's make sure that we get it there. the Acts and the records in the municipality that 23 23 And our reference point will come back to the tell you whether they are -- you know, tax records 24 24 language of the statutes, because we recognize tend to be the best records, but, you know, their 25 25 that, as effective as a mapping tool is in saving county GIS systems will line up with that. 57 59 1 you a lot of work, it also creates these issues 1 Q I just want to make sure whether you know or 2 that have to be addressed. 2 whether you don't know. Do you know how any 3 Q And you're familiar with population equality in 3 corrections that the G.A.B. is making to the 4 districts; correct? placement of voters in congressional districts A Yes. impacts the population totals as calculated by the 6 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}$ All right. And is it your understanding that 6 Legislature under Act 44? 7 population equality in congressional districts MR. HODAN: I'm going to object to 8 needs to be -- there is obviously a legal form. q 9 A And I don't know. standard, but it needs to be pretty close; 10 10 Q Fair. I'd like you to turn to page number 5, correct? 11 A Yes. 11 please, of Exhibit No. 80. There is a heading on 12 12 MR. HODAN: Objection, calls for a page number 5 there that states Districts Created 13 13 legal conclusion. by Acts 43 and 44 Conflict with Act 39. Do you 14 14 Q And you are a lawyer; correct? see that? 15 15 MR. HODAN: Objection. A Yes. 16 Q General counsel of the G.A.B.? 16 Q Is that a statement that you agree with? 17 17 MR. HODAN: Lack of foundation. Go A I am not sure I can form an opinion on that at the 18 18 ahead. moment. 19 Q You're the general --19 Q All right. At the time that the memo was written 20 20 on January 13th, was that a statement that you MR. HODAN: You can answer. 21 21 read? A Yes. I'm a lawyer, and that is my understanding.

22 A Yes. I've been around elections for a while and am 23 familiar with redistricting law. 23 Q All right. Did you agree with it at the time? Q All right. Do you know how the populations were 24 A Well, my understanding was is that the local

22

25

25 distributed under Act 44, the congressional election officials to whom this is directed were

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

6

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

having difficulties because they had the problems with the misalignments and directions under Act 39 about when they could adjust things.

I mean there were some language issues in Acts 43 and 44 where it would identify a census block in a particular municipality when it was actually in a different municipality, and there were issues where the municipalities were looking at the boundaries of census blocks as was presented and it didn't match up with their own actual boundaries, the census blocks were supposed to be following a municipal line, and that's my understanding of where we're talking about the conflicts. Because Act 39 would say you can't split a ward except under these circumstances or you could never split a census block, and yet it appeared to the local clerks that perhaps a census block was already split by this misalignment of the lines. And these are the challenges, and that's what's really behind this statement, as I understand it.

And our role, again, is working with the local election officials to whom this is directed is, you know, you have to do the best with the information you have to make sure the voters are

61

assigned to the correct districts. They obviously have the local districts, school districts, supervisory districts and aldermanic districts.

We give them the language from the Acts 43 and 44, and that's -- you know, this is the sort of grunt work that goes on behind the scenes to make sure that when someone appears at the polling place they're getting the right ballot, because they were properly assigned.

10 Q The very last paragraph in that heading states,
11 "After the G.A.B. and/or local clerks make these
12 corrections, the districts in SVRS may not match
13 Acts 43 and 44 precisely." Do you see that?

14 A Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

6

7

q

22

23

15 Q Do you know -- First of all, do you know whether 16 that corrections process is completed yet?

17 A It's an ongoing process, so I don't believe it's18 completed.

19 Q Do you know whether there are any districts in 20 SVRS that, as things stand currently, do not match 21 Acts 43 and 44 precisely?

MR. HODAN: Districts or are you talking about registered voters?

 ${f Q}$ Well, it says in the memo districts in SVRS.

 ${\bf 25}$ $\,$ $\,$ And by districts we're looking at shape files.

Again, this is all focusing on using the mapping tool. And so when you overlay the shape files provided by the Legislature, they may not line up, assuming that those are parts of Act 43 and 44. But again, you know, like language, pictures are not always accurate when you're trying to place things, and so this problem that, you know, the clerks are trying to work through is that when they see the picture that's projected onto their voters that's supposed to be Acts 43 and 44, it doesn't line up with their reality on the ground; well, this is our municipal boundary and Act 43 says it's in our municipality but the projection shows it outside of it, and that's what's meant by that statement of them not reflecting that.

You know, I think our staff is keenly aware that if you drew a map based on our geocodes it too wouldn't match up with the projection that comes from the Legislature.

20 Q And that process, the process of making
21 corrections and resolving those discrepancies, is
22 not yet complete; is that correct?

A That's right. It's an ongoing process. I mean, for one thing, you know, next year a new voter registers at a new address, and it may not have

63

1 our geocode assigned to it. It will have to be
2 placed in that situation.
3 But the clerks are working through -4 you know, what we did again is we created what we

mismatches and said, please go back and make sure that they are in the right district, and usually the easiest way for them to do that is using their

call an exception report where we found the

9 mapping tool.

10 Q The next sentence goes on to state, "In addition, these corrections also require splitting census blocks, which may conflict with Act 39's prohibition on splitting census blocks." Do you know whether any census blocks at this point, at this point, have been split as part of this correction process?

A I don't know if they've been split. My
understanding, again, is what appears to be a
split has to do with the fact that the line that
the census block says is there is not really the
line. That if it's supposed to follow a municipal
block boundary it's not following it as they see
it on the map, and that's the issue that they have
to wrestle with. Again, it's just a very

25 practical on-the-ground issue.

```
Q I'd like you to turn to page 7. And there is a
                                                                   documents, I want to mark and ask you a question
 2
                                                             2
       statement under G.A.B. Action Plan which I think
                                                                   about another document.
 3
       again repeats a statement that we had seen under
                                                             3
                                                                                (Exhibit No. 160 marked for
 4
       the earlier action plan language and it's at the
                                                             4
                                                                                    identification)
 5
                                                             5
       end of that first paragraph. It states,
                                                                Q I'm handing you a copy of a document that we've
 6
                                                             6
                                                                   marked as Exhibit No. 160. If you can look
       "Regardless of when these corrections appear
 7
                                                             7
                                                                   through it, and I'll have some questions for you
       (pre-Spring 2012 election or after), it is likely
 8
       that the final districts will not strictly match
                                                             8
       those prescribed by Acts 43 and 44 because census
                                                                A Okay.
10
                                                            10
       blocks were attributed to incorrect municipalities
                                                                Q You've had an opportunity to look at
11
       or voting districts." Do you see that?
                                                            11
                                                                   Exhibit No. 160?
12
                                                            12
                                                                A Yes, I have.
    A Yes.
13
    Q Understanding that what we're talking about here
                                                            13
                                                                Q Have you seen that document before?
14
       is shape files; correct?
                                                            14
                                                                A No.
15
    A Yes.
                                                            15
                                                                Q I'd like you to look at page number 10 of
16
                                                            16
    Q Is that still a correct statement?
                                                                   Exhibit No. 160. And you'll see there that there
17
                                                            17
    A I believe that is a correct statement with respect
                                                                   is a reference to geocode exceptions report?
18
                                                            18
                                                                A Yes.
       to the lining up of the shape files and with
                                                            19
19
       sometimes the language inaccuracies where it says
                                                                Q And continuing on, on page 14 there is a reference
20
       a census block is in one municipality when it
                                                            20
                                                                    to an address and then (boundary) exceptions
21
                                                            21
       really is in another, and that's just a
                                                                   report?
22
                                                            22
       scrivener's error that we have to deal with.
                                                                A Yes.
23
                                                            23
                                                                Q And if you look on page 20, there is a reference
    Q When you say a scrivener's error, what do you mean
24
                                                            24
       by that?
                                                                   to a school district exceptions report. Do you
25
                                                            25
    A I mean that, you know, there are some situations
                                                                   see that?
                          65
                                                                                       67
1
                                                                A Yes.
       that appear where, according to the description it
                                                             1
 2
       says census block X in this municipality, when
                                                             2
                                                                Q Can you tell me what the exceptions reports are?
 3
                                                             3
       it's actually in the adjoining municipality.
                                                                A I'm not sure if I can tell you. I understand the
    Q Oh, I see. Okay. You can set that document to
                                                             4
                                                                   concept of the exceptions reports in working with
       the side.
                                                                   my staff. I don't -- I'm not a "SVRS user" in the
 6
                    MR. HODAN: We've been going for a
                                                             6
                                                                    sense that I have, you know, worked my way through
 7
           while. Why don't we take a five-minute
                                                             7
                                                                    this level. You know, we do a lot of training of
 8
           break.
                                                                   our local election officials to work through these
 q
                    MR. POLAND: That's fine.
                                                             q
                                                                   kind of issues, and obviously I have staff
10
                                                            10
                    VIDEOGRAPHER: This ends video
                                                                   dedicated to that.
11
           number one. The time is 10:28 a.m. We are
                                                            11
                                                                        My understanding of exception reports,
12
                                                            12
           now off the record.
                                                                   particularly these ones, are these are particular
13
                                                            13
                    (Recess)
                                                                    issues that our system identifies when looking at
14
                                                            14
                    (Attorney Seligman exited the
                                                                   the information that's provided by the
                                                            15
15
                       proceedings)
                                                                   Legislature, information that's provided by the
16
                    VIDEOGRAPHER: This begins video
                                                            16
                                                                    localities, because again we've shifted to a
17
                                                            17
           number 2. The time is 10:41 a.m. We are
                                                                   geographic-based tool to assign voters to the
18
                                                            18
           back on the record.
                                                                   proper districts.
19
    Q Mr. Kennedy, I'd like to move on and talk about
                                                            19
                                                                Q So the exceptions -- Do you have a general
20
                                                            20
       some documents that G.A.B. has provided to us
                                                                   understanding of what the exceptions are that are
21
                                                            21
       that, if my understanding is correct, will
                                                                   created?
22
                                                            22 A Yes.
       hopefully clear up some of the confusion that
23
                                                            23
       we're having over these anomalies and potential
                                                                Q What are the exceptions, just generally speaking?
       impact they might have on some of the claims
                                                            24
                                                                A Well, an exception is when something doesn't match
25
                                                            25
       brought in this case. Before I get to those
                                                                    and the clerk needs to make a correction to make
```

1 sure that the voter is assigned to the correct Second Request for Production of Documents? 2 2 district, and it can be a number of different A Yes, we did. 3 reasons that create that. 3 MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and 4 You know, I think I mentioned that if an 4 mark this. 5 5 address doesn't have a geocode, that is going to (Exhibit No. 161 marked for 6 show up in a default location rather than within identification) 7 7 Q Mr. Kennedy, I'm handing you a copy of what we've the municipality, much less within the district. 8 Things that are close to a boundary are always 8 marked as Exhibit No. 161. Can you identify going to be -- you know, the report says that you Exhibit No. 161 for the record, please? 10 10 have to look at anything that's close to the A It is identified as Defendants' Responses to 11 11 boundary because you're never sure about the Plaintiffs' Second Set of Interrogatories and 12 12 lining up of the maps. Second Request for Production of Documents. 13 13 School districts boundaries do not follow Q If you'd turn to the last page of Exhibit No. 161. 14 14 ward lines or municipal lines, and so that always Is that your signature? 15 15 creates an issue, to make sure you have the A Yes. 16 correct boundary lines. It's not unusual that 16 Q And you signed the verification on or about 17 17 municipalities don't actually know the school February 2nd, 2012? 18 18 A Yes. districts in their municipality, where those lines 19 fall, and so as we get more and more mapping tools 19 Q Did you have any input into the preparation of the 20 available, it helps them line that up. 20 responses in Exhibit No. 161? 21 21 Q If you would look at one of the documents we had A I had some input, yes. 22 22 Q I'd like you to turn to page 6 of Exhibit No. 161. marked before, Exhibit No. 86. It's my 23 23 Actually the previous page identifies -- the January 23rd letter with some attachments to it. 24 There were some documents that were described 24 answer that's on page 6 is identified as being in 25 25 in Exhibit 86 that were an attempt -- and this is response to Interrogatory No. 10. Do you see 69 71 1 1 that? a characterization, my characterization of what's 2 in the letter -- were an attempt to focus what the 2 A Yes. 3 3 Q Did you have input into the response to plaintiffs had asked for in their Second Set of 4 Interrogatories and Second Request for Production Interrogatory No. 10? of Documents, if you look at the first page. Do A I did. 6 6 Q The second paragraph down in the answer states, you see that? 7 A Which part of the first page do you want me to 7 "Defendants are not certain precisely when they look at? first became aware of errors with the 2010 Census Q Sure. We could take a look at the -- it's the 9 q TIGER lines, but it was certainly after the 10 10 second sentence of the January 23rd letter which redistricting maps were enacted into law." Do you 11 states, "Specifically, we have agreed to make a 11 see that? 12 preliminary attempt to narrow and focus the scope 12 A Yes. 13 13 of documents and information sought in Plaintiffs' ${f Q}$ Is it fair to say that the discrepancies that 14 14 Second Set of Interrogatories and Second Request we've been talking about or anomalies did not come 15 for Production of Documents." Do you see that? 15 to G.A.B.'s attention until after Acts 43 and 44 16 16 A Yes. were enacted into law? 17 ${f Q}$ Then there are -- The next sentence down after 17 A That's right. 18 18 Q I'd like you to turn to page 7, please. that paragraph says, "We provide the following 19 initial descriptions of documents and information 19 Interrogatory No. 11 asks for the names of every 20 20 that we are seeking"; correct? person with whom any G.A.B. member or employee has 21 A Yes, that's right. 21 communicated about the anomalies referenced in 22 Q And it identifies seven categories; correct? 22 Interrogatory No. 10. And I note in the answer 23 23 that there are no names that are actually given. 24 Q Did the G.A.B. subsequently provide responses to 24 Do you know the names of the people at G.A.B. who

25

would be responsive to Interrogatory No. 11?

the Plaintiffs' Second Set of Interrogatories and

1 MR. HODAN: I'm going to object to A I'm certain that there were probably some 2 2 the question, in part because the parties legislative inquiries saying, What is this all 3 discussed the request, and, as we had 3 about, where we tried to explain again this high 4 previously indicated, there were some 1,851 4 level of the maps not matching and trying to 5 5 municipal clerks, 72 county clerks, countless assign people to the district, but it wouldn't 6 6 have been -- that would have only been that, GIS specialists from various counties who 7 7 would have had some contact or another with because we would get -- you know, I think there 8 respect to these exception reports that were 8 might have been a contact saying, you know, Our 9 created. We -- in informal discussions the county clerk is upset, would you talk to her. 10 plaintiffs' counsel indicated that you 10 Those kind of contacts, if they were legislators, 11 11 weren't looking for the information regarding were difficult to even keep track of those, but 12 12 names of all of the clerks and all of the again they only went to how do we resolve this 13 13 GIS folks, and you subsequently sent a issue. 14 14 January 23rd, 2012 correspondence. Also obviously our media person would talk to 15 15 So to the extent you want him to our staff and then answer questions about the news 16 16 identify every one of those 1,851 clerks, articles that came in. Again, all very high 17 17 72 county clerks, the GIS people, Milwaukee level, to simply explain that, you know, this was 18 18 Election Commission people, feel free to ask working through the anomalies we discover and that 19 him, but it was our understanding that 19 this is a normal part of redistricting, that you 20 that's, in fact, what you were not looking 20 have to make sure that, you know, the voters are 21 21 for. correctly assigned to the right districts and that 22 22 MR. POLAND: No, that's a fair the tool we use -- you know, I mean most of these 23 23 statement, Patrick. We were not. We did clerks are part-time, so, you know, all of a 24 24 have the follow-up letter. We were not sudden they walk in. From the prior exhibit you 25 looking for all of the communications with 25 saw all of the computer screens they have to go 73 1 1 clerks and everyone else. 2 2 ${f Q}\,$ So I can narrow the question to exclude certain 3 3 groups of people: Clerks, GIS specialists, 4 whether they were county or municipal clerks, GIS 5 specialists, not looking for the back-and forth 6 6 communications between G.A.B. and county clerks 7 over particular implementation of -- or attempts 7 8 to resolve the anomalies or the discrepancies, q 9 looking more about communications that G.A.B. 10 10 would have had with legislators, with counsel who 11 are not counsel to G.A.B. in this litigation. 11 it's not just a list of names. 12 12 MR. HODAN: You can go ahead and 13 13 answer.

75 through and things like that. You know, they need a lot of hand-holding to do this, and this is not their day job and they come in and they need some assistance, so they're going to be frustrated, they're going to express those frustrations to their legislators, to the press on that. So, you know, we would sometimes have to do some of that kind of response that -- you know, and help put it into perspective that this is just part of the maintenance that goes on in a voter list, that

You know, as I said, those names have attributes and they're tied to a location that has attributes and those things shift significantly with redistricting, so you have to make sure that they're accurate.

- Q In terms of communications with legislators, did anyone from the Legislature ever contact the G.A.B. and ask whether the resolution of the anomalies changed any of the districts or district assignments in any way?
- 22 A Not that I'm aware of, no.
- 23 Q I'd like you to look on page 7 of Exhibit 161,
- 24 Interrogatory No. 12. You'll see that asks,
- 25 "Explain how, if at all, the 'anomalies' affect

Q Yeah, I think that's probably it.

lawyers.

Q What about legislators?

Q Correct.

A No.

A By counsel, are you referring to the people on our

attorneys and a number of people on my staff who

the DOJ. Have you talked to any other

MR. HODAN: He's referring to

counsel outside of the G.A.B. or our firm or

staff? Because obviously I have two staff

are attorneys who don't serve as attorneys.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

```
1
       the population variations from precise equality
                                                                         11:15 a.m. We are back on the record.
 2
                                                              2
                                                                  Q Mr. Kennedy, I've handed you a document that we
       reflected in the districts, whether legislative or
 3
       Congressional, embodied in Acts 43 and 44."
                                                                     have marked as Exhibit No. 162. Do you have that
 4
            Then the answer, there is an objection, and
                                                                     in front of you?
 5
                                                              5
       then the next sentence reads, "Subject to and
                                                                A Yes, I do.
                                                                  Q I would like you to turn to -- Before I ask you a
       without waiving these objections, defendants have
 7
                                                              7
       no evidence that the 'anomalies' impact population
                                                                     question, have you seen Exhibit No. 162 before?
 8
       variances in Acts 43 or 44." Do you see that?
                                                              8
                                                                 A I have.
    A Yes.
                                                                  Q Identify Exhibit 162 for the record, please.
10
    \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\xspace Did you contribute to the formulation of that
                                                             10
                                                                 A It is described on the second page as Defendants'
11
                                                             11
       answer?
                                                                     Supplemental Answers to Plaintiffs' First Set of
12
                                                             12
    A It was reviewed with me, yes.
                                                                     Interrogatories and First Request for Production
                                                             13
13
    Q Did you draft or prepare that particular
                                                                     of Documents.
14
                                                             14
       statement?
                                                                 Q Would you turn to page 29 of Exhibit 162, please.
15
                                                             15 A Yes.
    A It was drafted by our attorneys.
16
                                                             16
    Q Did you do anything -- Do you agree with that
                                                                 Q Is that your signature on page 29?
17
                                                             17
       statement?
                                                                  A It is.
    A I do.
18
                                                             18
                                                                 Q On the verification page?
19
                                                             19
    {f Q} Did you do anything to verify that there is --
                                                                  A Yes, it is.
20
       strike that question. What did you do to verify
                                                             20
                                                                 Q Did you review Exhibit 162 before you signed the
21
                                                             21
                                                                     verification page?
       that there was no evidence the anomalies impact
                                                             22 A I did.
22
       population variances in Acts 43 and 44?
23
                                                             23
                                                                 Q To your knowledge are all of the responses in
    A Well, we sat down with our attorneys and with
24
                                                             24
       other members of the staff and looked at the list
                                                                     Exhibit No. 162 true and correct?
25
                                                             25 A They are.
       of congressional exceptions that we had identified
                                                                                        79
 1
                                                                  Q Did you participate in drafting the responses that
       in our analysis and were able to determine that
                                                              1
 2
                                                              2
       the exceptions when we corrected them put them
                                                                     are contained within Exhibit 162?
 3
                                                              3
       into the districts that were properly associated
                                                                                  MR. HODAN: Objection. Just so
 4
       with Act 44, since it was the congressional
                                                                         we're clear, there are some original answers
       districts.
                                                                         and there are some supplemental answers, and
 6
    Q I'd like you to turn to page -- the next page,
                                                              6
                                                                         just so the record is clear, maybe you can
 7
       Interrogatory No. 13. Did you contribute to
                                                                         direct him to a particular request that
       preparing the response to Interrogatory No. 13?
                                                                         you're interested in so that we don't get
 q
    A I read it, but I did not prepare the response.
                                                              q
                                                                         confused.
10
                                                             10
    Q You didn't contribute any of the names that are
                                                                 Q I appreciate the clarification, and that is a fair
11
       identified?
                                                             11
                                                                     characterization, that Exhibit 162 does contain
12
                                                             12
    A No. No. Again, our agency had absolutely no role
                                                                     responses that we also saw in Exhibit 161, and
13
                                                             13
       in the preparations of what became Acts 43 and 44.
                                                                     then it contains some supplemental responses;
14
                                                             14
       Our role simply came in that we had to administer
                                                                     correct?
15
       it once it became law.
                                                             15
                                                                 A Yes.
16
                                                             16
                    MR. POLAND: I need to go off the
                                                                  Q And so I want to limit my questions simply to the
17
                                                             17
            record for a minute.
                                                                     supplemental answers. Did you participate in
18
                                                             18
                    VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
                                                                     drafting any of the supplemental answers contained
19
            11:00 a.m. We are now off the record.
                                                             19
                                                                     in Exhibit 162?
20
                                                             20
                    (Discussion held off record)
                                                                 A I was consulted by my attorneys and reviewed them
21
                                                             21
                    (Attorney Earle entered the
                                                                     and made some suggestions.
22
                                                             22
                       proceedings)
                                                                 Q Are there any particular -- As you sit here today,
                                                             23
23
                    (Exhibit No. 162 marked for
                                                                     are there any particular interrogatories that you
24
                       identification)
                                                             24
                                                                     participated in preparing the supplemental
25
                                                             25
                    VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
                                                                     response to?
```

```
A I couldn't tell you without going through each and
                                                                         That's the Senate and that's the
 2
                                                              2
                                                                         congressional. The Assembly.
       every interrogatory.
                                                              3
 3
    Q I'd like you to turn to page 9. Drawing your
                                                                                 MS. LAZAR: I think you have the
 4
                                                              4
       attention to Interrogatory No. 5, and more
                                                                         copies to be circulated.
 5
                                                              5
       specifically the supplemental answer, I'd like you
                                                                                 MR. HODAN: Pardon me?
 6
                                                              6
       to turn to the next page, page 10, where that
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: You have copies too?
7
                                                              7
       supplemental answer continues.
                                                                                 MR. HODAN: I have my originals.
 8
                                                              8
                                                                                 MS. LAZAR: Never mind. Is this
           Did you contribute to preparing the statement
 9
       that begins on the second line of page 10 that
                                                              9
                                                                         one set? Yeah, it is.
10
                                                             10
       states, "Defendants further answer by stating the
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: They're folded up.
11
                                                             11
       following," and then through the end of that
                                                                         There is one for you.
12
                                                             12
       paragraph? Did you contribute to that answer?
                                                                                 MR. HASSETT: Is this three
                                                             13
13
                                                                         exhibits?
14
                                                             14
                    MR. HODAN: Doug, for the record,
                                                                                 MR. POLAND: It is three separate
15
                                                             15
            just to move this along, since the G.A.B. had
                                                                         exhibits.
16
                                                             16
                                                                 Q Let's make sure we're on the same page here. 163
           no involvement and Mr. Kennedy has testified
17
                                                             17
           that they had no involvement in the map
                                                                    marked as congressional, okay.
18
                                                             18
           drawing process or anything involving the
                                                                                 MR. HODAN: The congressional
19
                                                             19
           maps prior to their enactment, anything
                                                                         exception report.
20
           related to that in response to this he would
                                                             20
                                                                 Q Then 164 we marked the Senate.
21
                                                             21
           have reviewed but would not have -- as his
                                                                 A Yes.
                                                                 Q Then we've got 165 which is the Assembly.
22
                                                             22
           verification indicates, he would have had to
23
           rely on the assistance and advice of counsel.
                                                             23
                                                                    Mr. Kennedy, I'd like to ask you to look at
24
                                                             24
                It looks like most of the supplemental
                                                                    Exhibit No. 163. I'll note for the record that it
25
                                                             25
           responses relate to your questions about the
                                                                    has Bates numbers G.A.B. 55 on the first page and
1
                                                              1
           map drawing process, rather than the
                                                                    56 on the second page. Have you seen Exhibit 163
 2
                                                              2
           implementation.
                                                                    before?
 3
                    MR. POLAND: I believe that's
                                                              3
                                                                 A Yes, I have.
           right, and that's the questions -- that was
                                                                 Q What is Exhibit 163?
           essentially the line of questioning I was
                                                                 A This was prepared by our counsel based on the
 6
                                                              6
           going to ask. All right. So that's fine.
                                                                    information that we provided them.
 7
           With that clarification, that's fine.
                                                              7
                                                                                 MR. HODAN: Correction. Let's
 8
                                                              8
                                                                         correct the record.
 q
    Q Set that aside. Mr. Kennedy, there were a number
                                                              9
                                                                                 THE WITNESS: Okav.
                                                             10
10
       of documents that were produced along with
                                                                                 MR. HODAN: We didn't prepare this.
11
       Exhibit No. 162 to us, and I'm hoping that we can
                                                             11
                                                                                 THE WITNESS: We didn't? Okay.
12
                                                             12
       look at these documents and that these might
                                                                                 MR. HODAN: You guys did.
13
                                                             13
       address some of the questions that we had about
                                                                                 THE WITNESS: I thought this was
14
                                                             14
       anomalies.
                                                                         your recharacterization of this.
15
                                                             15
                    MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and
                                                                                 MR. HODAN: No.
16
           just mark these, and then I'll provide copies
                                                             16
                                                                                 THE WITNESS: Okay.
17
                                                             17
                                                                 Q Mr. Kennedy, is it your understanding that the
           to counsel.
18
                                                             18
                    (Exhibit Nos. 163-165 marked for
                                                                    G.A.B. prepared Exhibit 163?
19
                       identification)
                                                             19
                                                                 A That's my understanding.
20
                                                             20
                    MR. POLAND: I've got other copies
                                                                 Q Do you know whether it was prepared at the request
21
           for counsel, and I'll distribute them. 163,
                                                             21
                                                                    of counsel?
22
                                                             22 A I believe it was.
           164 and then 165.
                                                             23
23
                    THE WITNESS: That's the
                                                                 Q Was that in response -- To your knowledge, was
24
           congressional?
                                                             24
                                                                    that in response to the plaintiffs' request for
25
                    MR. HODAN: Yeah, that's right.
                                                             25
                                                                    information pertaining to the anomalies?
```

		VIDEOTAL E DEL COLLICIA CI			
1	Α	Yes.	1		Exhibit 163?
2		MR. HODAN: Maybe I can shortcut	2	Α	Well, if you you know, the list of
3		this and help everyone. You were asking	3		congressional districts that's in the fourth
4		questions before Let's go off the record,	4		column over generally is different from the one in
5		please.	5		the final column, and that would be where you
6		VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	6		would have an exception.
7		11:23 a.m. We are now off the record.	7	Q	Do you know the total number There is no
8		(Discussion held off record)	8		listing or enumeration in any of the columns of
9		VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is	9		the number of exceptions that are contained within
10		11:25 a.m. We are back on the record.	10		Exhibit 163.
11	Q	Mr. Kennedy, before we continue with looking at	11	Α	I don't.
12		Exhibit 163, I wanted to ask you about Exhibit	12	Q	Do you know offhand? You don't. Let's look at
13		No. 80, and that was the January 13th memorandum.	13		that first column that you identified that says
14	Α	Okay.	14		congressional district. So this appears to be the
15	Q	Drawing your attention to page 4 of the	15		one, two, three, fourth column from the left-hand
16		January 13th memo, there is a reference down	16		side of Exhibit 163?
17		toward the bottom of the page. There is a	17	Α	Yes.
18		paragraph that starts out, "The G.A.B. conducted a	18	Q	What congressional district is portrayed in that
19		more comprehensive analysis of 16 counties to	19		column?
20		include district lines," and it continues on from	20	Α	That's the district where we would have placed the
21		there. Do you see that?	21		voter in SVRS, meaning the Statewide Voter
22	Α	Yes.	22		Registration System.
23	_	There is a 16-county analysis that G.A.B.	23	O	What date is that congressional district measured
24	_	conducted; is that accurate?	24	_	as of?
25	Α	Yes.	25	Α	I believe this is a reflection of the current
		85			87
-					
1	Q	Do you know whether the exception reports that	1		congressional districts that were enacted under
1 2	Q	Do you know whether the exception reports that	1		congressional districts that were enacted under
2	Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164	2	0	Act 44.
3		were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study?	2	Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the
2 3 4	A	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes.	2 3 4	Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those
2 3 4 5	A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.?	2		Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters?
2 3 4	A Q A	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes.	2 3 4 5		Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS,
2 3 4 5 6 7	A Q A	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit	2 3 4 5 6 7		Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163 show or what is the purpose of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163 show or what is the purpose of Exhibit 163?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right. And the last three digits are 017. Do you see
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163 show or what is the purpose of Exhibit 163? Well, it shows a list of counties with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right. And the last three digits are 017. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163 show or what is the purpose of Exhibit 163? Well, it shows a list of counties with municipalities, ward numbers and congressional	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right. And the last three digits are 017. Do you see that? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163? Well, it shows a list of counties with municipalities, ward numbers and congressional district numbers, voter numbers, addresses for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right. And the last three digits are 017. Do you see that? Yes. All right. Is it your understanding that that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163 show or what is the purpose of Exhibit 163? Well, it shows a list of counties with municipalities, ward numbers and congressional district numbers, voter numbers, addresses for voters, both the street address and their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right. And the last three digits are 017. Do you see that? Yes. All right. Is it your understanding that that voter was placed into Congressional District 2
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163? Well, it shows a list of counties with municipalities, ward numbers and congressional district numbers, voter numbers, addresses for voters, both the street address and their municipality with post office, and address	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right. And the last three digits are 017. Do you see that? Yes. All right. Is it your understanding that that voter was placed into Congressional District 2 under Act 44 and in the SVRS?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163? Well, it shows a list of counties with municipalities, ward numbers and congressional district numbers, voter numbers, addresses for voters, both the street address and their municipality with post office, and address identification and the names of the voters, as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right. And the last three digits are 017. Do you see that? Yes. All right. Is it your understanding that that voter was placed into Congressional District 2 under Act 44 and in the SVRS? Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163 show or what is the purpose of Exhibit 163? Well, it shows a list of counties with municipalities, ward numbers and congressional district numbers, voter numbers, addresses for voters, both the street address and their municipality with post office, and address identification and the names of the voters, as well as a listing of which district the shape	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right. And the last three digits are 017. Do you see that? Yes. All right. Is it your understanding that that voter was placed into Congressional District 2 under Act 44 and in the SVRS? Yes. All right. Now I'd like to continue over to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163? Well, it shows a list of counties with municipalities, ward numbers and congressional district numbers, voter numbers, addresses for voters, both the street address and their municipality with post office, and address identification and the names of the voters, as well as a listing of which district the shape what appears to be the LTSB congressional district	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right. And the last three digits are 017. Do you see that? Yes. All right. Is it your understanding that that voter was placed into Congressional District 2 under Act 44 and in the SVRS? Yes. All right. Now I'd like to continue over to the far right-hand column. The header is LTSB
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A Q A	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163 show or what is the purpose of Exhibit 163? Well, it shows a list of counties with municipalities, ward numbers and congressional district numbers, voter numbers, addresses for voters, both the street address and their municipality with post office, and address identification and the names of the voters, as well as a listing of which district the shape what appears to be the LTSB congressional district shape files put them in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A Q A Q A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right. And the last three digits are 017. Do you see that? Yes. All right. Is it your understanding that that voter was placed into Congressional District 2 under Act 44 and in the SVRS? Yes. All right. Now I'd like to continue over to the far right-hand column. The header is LTSB Congressional District. Do you see that?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q	were created or are embodied in Exhibits 163, 164 and 165 were created as part of that study? That is my understanding, yes. And they were created by the G.A.B.? Yes. All right. I'd like you to look at Exhibit No. 163. Can you identify this document, please? This appears to be the congressional exception report that came out of that study. Now, just generally speaking, and then we'll go through we can go through some of the columns themselves, but generally speaking, what does Exhibit 163 show or what is the purpose of Exhibit 163? Well, it shows a list of counties with municipalities, ward numbers and congressional district numbers, voter numbers, addresses for voters, both the street address and their municipality with post office, and address identification and the names of the voters, as well as a listing of which district the shape what appears to be the LTSB congressional district shape files put them in.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q	Act 44. It's your understanding, then, that those are the congressional districts where Act 44 places those voters? Well, that's where we have them listed in SVRS, and it's supposed to be a reflection of what Act 44 places the voters. Your understanding then is that both let's just take, for example, the first voter who is identified as being in Town of Vienna, second ward, Congressional District 2, and there is a voter registration number there. Right. And the last three digits are 017. Do you see that? Yes. All right. Is it your understanding that that voter was placed into Congressional District 2 under Act 44 and in the SVRS? Yes. All right. Now I'd like to continue over to the far right-hand column. The header is LTSB

Q What does that column reflect? was incorrect, and that's the purpose of creating 2 A My understanding is that reflects the shape file 2 these exception reports is to line them up so that 3 when it's imposed over our data that suggests that 3 they get assigned to the correct district. the voter might be in Congressional District 6. 4 Q So it's your understanding that for the fourth 5 5 Q So to summarize, and again, correct me if I'm column from the left-hand side, congressional 6 6 misstating anything because I want to make sure district, each of the voters that's identified in 7 7 that I get this right, the LTSB shape file there as being assigned to a particular 8 8 congressional district will actually vote in that would have placed that voter in Congressional District 6; is that correct? district identified in that column? 10 A That's right. Looking at the shape file, it would 10 A Based on the information that's in front of us. 11 11 not line up with District 2. It would line up Obviously it could change. The voter could move. 12 12 with District 6. But based on this information, if the 13 13 Q So under the LTSB's shape file -election were today and they showed up at the 14 14 A Right. polling place served by this ward, they would get 15 15 Q -- that particular voter would have been in a the ballot because their name would show up on the 16 16 different congressional district than that voter 17 17 Q Fair enough, and I understand that. Turning to was counted in under Act 44; is that correct? 18 MR. HODAN: Objection to form. And 18 the last column, which is the LTSB congressional 19 19 you're talking about two different issues, district column, which identifies congressional 20 and I just want to make sure we're clear. 20 districts different than the districts that are 21 21 You're talking about shape files, and identified in the congressional district column to 22 22 then you're talking about county. Those are the left, what use, if any, will the G.A.B. make 23 23 two different propositions. And this isn't of the information contained in that column? 24 24 intended as a -- isn't intended as a walking A Well, this is simply a report that assists the 25 25 objection. I just want to make sure we're municipalities to help them resolve the 89 91 1 1 discrepancies. So it's just something between us clear on that, because you were mixing apples 2 2 and oranges in your question. and the municipalities. 3 3 You know, we run this exception report. Each MR. POLAND: That's fine, and 4 4 Mr. Kennedy can correct me. I truly do want municipality has a list, you know. Obviously the to understand this. Town of Vienna would get two names off this list. 6 6 Q Under the LTSB shape file, which I understand is a and the clerk would check to make sure that in 7 shape file, if the LTSB's shape file were used, if 7 their -- the district combination that's assigned 8 that particular voter was assigned to a district that voter that it's not 6, that it's actually 2. q 9 Q So if I understand this correctly, Exhibit 163 pursuant to the LTSB shape file or in accordance 10 10 with, would that voter have been assigned to or correctly, and again, let's just stick with that, 11 considered to be assigned to Congressional 11 one voter we identified in the top row there with 12 12 District 6? the last three digits 017, if we were to go and 13 13 A If you're relying just on the LTSB congressional look at Act 44 and we were to look at where that 14 14 district shape file, yes. particular voter was counted or which 15 15 Q All right. Now, for the purposes of actually congressional district that voter was counted as 16 16 being in under Act 44, that should match up with voting, when that voter goes to cast a ballot, 17 17 will that voter cast a ballot in Congressional where that voter is expected to vote or intended 18 18 District 6 or Congressional District 2? to vote when the voter goes to cast a ballot for 19 A Well, the ballot will be issued based on the 19 their congressional election; is that correct? 20 20 Statewide Voter Registration System, and we've A Well, except for we don't know where they were

Well, the ballot will be issued based on the

Statewide Voter Registration System, and we've

placed them in Congressional District 2 after

looking at this exception, because, again going

back to the Act, we can see that all of

Dane County is in Congressional District 2 and

that the depiction that came from the shape files

19

their congressional election; is that correct?

20

A Well, except for we don't know where they were

counted. We know that the address that's assigned

to this voter is in the Town of Vienna, which is

in Dane County, and if we get an exception report

that says it's in District 6, we say, no, it's in

District 2. We need to make sure that they are

21

22

23

25

VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF KEVIN J. KENNEDY 2/8/2012 1 properly assigned based on Act 44, and it's based districts portrayed in the right-hand column of --2 on the address. We don't know where they're 2 A When it's overlaid against our system, yes. 3 counted. We only know that this address falls Q Yes, all right. And then finally, Exhibit within the description of Congressional District 2 No. 165, could you identify that for the record, 5 5 in Act 44. 6 Q And that's why you said for population purposes. 6 A This appears to be the Assembly district exception 7 7 And I recognize we're talking population versus report created by the study that we'd referenced 8 8 voters. For population purposes, you don't know whether that voter was being counted as being in Q So, again, fourth column from the left we have a 10 10 District 2 under Act 44 or was not counted as column with the heading Assembly district; 11 11 being in District 2? correct? 12 A That's right. We would have no knowledge of that. A That's right. 13 Q I'd like you to take a look at Exhibit 164, Q And that is the Assembly district to which you 14 14 please. Can you identify Exhibit 164 for the have assigned addresses under SVRS? 15 record, please? A That's right. 16 16 A This appears to be the Senate district exception Q And your understanding is that that also is the 17 report created from that study. 17 same Assembly district to which each of these 18 Q So we have, in the fourth column from the left, a 18 addresses was assigned under Act 43? 19 column with the heading Senate district; correct? 19 A Yes. That's my understanding. 20 A Yes. 20 Q All right. And the final column again, LTSB 21 21 Q If I -- Your testimony about the congressional Assembly district, as we just discussed a minute 22 22 districts, does that hold true for this column in ago, that is the LTSB Assembly district into which 23 23 Exhibit 164 with respect to Senate districts? each of these addresses would fall when you 24 24 A Yes. overlay the LTSB's shape file over your data? 25 A Yes. Q so in other words, this column, this fourth from 93 95 1 the left column, indicates the Senate district 1 Q Mr. Kennedy, you can set those aside. I want to 2 that you have for each of these voters in SVRS --2 move to a different topic. What is the timing --3 3 A Yes. or I would like to address the timing for the Q -- currently? general elections to be held next fall. What is A That's my understanding, yes. the general election date for next fall? 6 Q And your belief is that that coincides -- or your 6 A The general election is the Tuesday after the 7 testimony is that it's your understanding that 7 first Monday in November. I'm not sure exactly coincides with the Senate districts to which these what that date is without consulting a calendar. voters were assigned under Act 43? 9 Q That's all right. That's not -- That kind of 10 10 A What their address would be assigned to, yes. precision isn't important for my purposes. There 11 Q Their addresses would be assigned to, all right. 11 will be primary elections held for those general 12 Then the last column on Exhibit 164, which is 12 elections; correct? 13 13 A That's true. headed LTSB Senate district, again that is the 14 14 Senate district to which the shape file that LTSB Q Do you know when the primary elections will occur? 15 transmitted to you would have placed these 15 A That will be the second Tuesday in August, which I 16 16 particular addresses? know is August 14th, of 2012. 17 17 A Yes. $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$ Now, people who want to get on the ballot for the 18 18 MR. HODAN: Just to the extent it primaries need to circulate petitions; correct? 19 was overlaid against the SVRS map, just so 19 A Nomination papers, we call it. 20 we're clear. You keep saying this is where 20 Q Nomination papers. Is there a deadline for

Q If you were to look at the LTSB shape file and you were to overlay it over where these addresses are, this address -- according to LTSB's shape file,

LTSB placed them. It's the overlay.

21

22

23

25

these addresses would have been in the Senate

5:00 p.m. on June 1st, unless June 1st happens to

potential candidates to circulate nomination

A They can start circulating them on April 15th, and

they must be filed in our office no later than

21

22

23

24

25

papers?

be a weekend, which I don't recall. recall petitions that have been submitted for 2 Q Is there any flexibility with the date, the 2 the -- for any Senate recall elections is 3 deadline for filing nomination papers with the tomorrow; is that correct? G.A.B.? 5 5 A It's set by statute. Q Coming up quickly. When do you expect to set an Q Can the G.A.B. alter that or change it? election date for recall elections? 7 7 A No. A I don't know. Q Is there any date by which you expect to make some 8 Q Has the deadline for nomination papers to be submitted ever been changed before? kind of a determination about when the recall 10 A Yes, it has. But to put it into context, 10 elections will be held? 11 11 you know, the primary date was changed through MR. HODAN: I'm going to object. 12 12 legislation that was enacted, so it went from the Do you mean when he or the board? 13 second Tuesday in September to the second Tuesday 13 Q The G.A.B. The G.A.B. 14 14 in August, which required a shift in dates. We've A The Court has told us at this point to complete 15 15 had different dates since the time Wisconsin our examination and determination by March 19th. 16 16 adopted a primary system in the early 1900s. I Q Do you expect that by March 19th the G.A.B. will 17 17 couldn't tell you specifically what they were, but make a determination about when recall elections 18 there were adjustments made over the time. I 18 will be held if the petitions withstand the 19 couldn't go back and -- I wasn't there for most of 19 challenges? 20 20 A There are a lot of variables, so I'm not certain 21 21 Q If a change were to occur with the current on that. As we indicated, the challenges are due 22 22 June 1st deadline, that would have to be done by tomorrow. The petitioners can respond to that, 23 23 the Legislature; is that correct? then the replies. Our staff has to go through 24 24 A By the Legislature or a Court. that, along with its own analysis, and prepare a 25 25 Q Now how about the recall elections that are report for the board. We have to schedule a board 97 99 1 scheduled to be held this spring? There is a 1 meeting. None of that has been completed. It 2 deadline for objections; correct? 2 depends on board member availability. It depends 3 3 A Well, there are no recalls scheduled. They won't on the scope of the challenges. I don't know how 4 be scheduled until our agency reviews the long that will be. 5 petitions, reviews all of the challenges. So until we set a board meeting to hold that 6 6 responses and replies, and makes a determination hearing, you know, and there is a lot of interest 7 based on the staff analysis of its own review, as 7 in trying to coordinate the timing because we have well as that, whether or not the petitions are four elections set already this year, February, q sufficient. 9 April, August and November, and there is a big 10 10 Q Fair enough, and I appreciate the correction impact on administering these elections. 11 there. When is the deadline for objections to the 11 So, you know, we will be talking to the Court 12 12 recall petitions that have been submitted? about timing. Obviously the office holders and 13 13 A Challenges deadlines have been set by the Court. the petitioners have their own views on what 14 14 My understanding, and I'm not exactly sure of the timing would best facilitate the election. 15 time period, but I do know that the four Senate 15 You know, our focus is to get the job done that's 16 16 petitions have to file their objections by in front of us and move it as quickly as possible 17 17 Thursday, February 9th. The two statewides have within the statutory timeframes and the extensions 18 18 30 days from the time that we gave them the copies that the Court has already given. 19 of the petitions after we had scanned them, and 19 Q Is there any range of dates at all that the G.A.B. 20 20 I'm not sure what those dates are. I believe it's has discussed for when it anticipates any recall 21 21 the end of February and early March for the elections might be held? 22 22 governor and lieutenant governor, respectively. A I think before the petitions were filed there was 23 23 speculation that if things went smoothly that we Q So the challenges at least for the Senate recall 24 elections or for the -- strike that question. 24 would see elections sometime after the end of

The deadline then to submit challenges to the

25

April but hopefully before the middle of June.

100

		VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF	IXL	: V I	IN O. INCININCED I ZIOIZO IZ
1		Again, you know, now that we're in the midst	1		Exhibit No. 166. Can you identify that document?
2		of this, it's all dependent on what the workload	2	Α	This is a guideline prepared by the Government
3		is and what extensions the Court gives, what the	3		Accountability Board staff that reflects the
4		findings are that the board makes, and even after	4		action taken by the Government Accountability
5		the board makes a finding of sufficiency, that can	5		Board at its November 9th, 2011 meeting.
6		be challenged in Court and that could end up	6	Q	To your knowledge are the statements that are made
7		delaying the election process.	7		on that particular page accurate?
8	Q	Mr. Kennedy, has the G.A.B. issued any opinions on	8	Α	Yes.
9		when the legislative districts created by 2011	9	Q	I'd like to turn your attention to the paragraph
10		Wisconsin Act 43 take effect for the general	10		that starts off with the bold heading When do the
11		election?	11		new districts take effect for elections? Do you
12	Α	Yes.	12		see that?
13	Q	And what is the opinion that the G.A.B. has issued	13	Α	Yes.
14		on that issue?	14	Q	The very last sentence of that paragraph states,
15	Α	Well, I should back up and say we were asked a	15		"Any special or recall election for a state office
16		question about when they would be in effect for	16		held before this date will reflect the previous
17		any recall elections that might occur before the	17		district lines." Do you see that?
18		Tuesday after the first Monday in November, and so	18	Α	Yes.
19		the board addressed that issue first. It was a	19	Q	And the reference there to "this date" refers back
20		response that was that I prepared, which the	20		to the general election date of November 6, 2012;
21		board later ratified. So it focused primarily on	21		correct?
22		any recall or special elections.	22	Α	That's correct.
23		The language of the Act is pretty clear that	23	Q	
24		with respect to the regularly scheduled elections	24	_	does that refer to?
25		for legislative and congressional districts the	25	Α	Those would be the district lines that were in
					111000 110010 00 0110 01100 111100 01100 11100
		101			103
1		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in	1		effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted.
1 2		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in	1 2	0	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted.
2		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary.	2	Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court
2	Q	boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the	_		effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct?
2 3 4		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections?	2 3 4	A	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct.
2 3 4 5		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it	2 3 4 5	A	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of
2 3 4 5 6		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior	2 3 4 5 6	A	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum.
2 3 4 5 6 7		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had	2 3 4 5 6 7	A	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A Q A Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A Q A	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44 that they would be held under those boundary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A Q A Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th, 2011?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44 that they would be held under those boundary lines.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A Q A Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th, 2011? It would have been prepared before that time so it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44 that they would be held under those boundary lines. MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A Q A Q A	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th, 2011? It would have been prepared before that time so it could be distributed to board members.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44 that they would be held under those boundary lines. MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and mark this as Exhibit 166.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A Q A Q A	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th, 2011? It would have been prepared before that time so it could be distributed to board members. Why did you prepare the memorandum here before the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18		boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44 that they would be held under those boundary lines. MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and mark this as Exhibit 166. (Exhibit No. 166 marked for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th, 2011? It would have been prepared before that time so it could be distributed to board members. Why did you prepare the memorandum here before the meeting of November 9th, 2011?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A	boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44 that they would be held under those boundary lines. MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and mark this as Exhibit 166. (Exhibit No. 166 marked for identification)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A Q A Q A	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th, 2011? It would have been prepared before that time so it could be distributed to board members. Why did you prepare the memorandum here before the meeting of November 9th, 2011? Because the staff had issued an opinion in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A	boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44 that they would be held under those boundary lines. MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and mark this as Exhibit 166. (Exhibit No. 166 marked for identification) Mr. Kennedy, I'm handing you a copy of a document	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A Q A Q A Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th, 2011? It would have been prepared before that time so it could be distributed to board members. Why did you prepare the memorandum here before the meeting of November 9th, 2011? Because the staff had issued an opinion in response to questions from legislative clerks.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A	boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44 that they would be held under those boundary lines. MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and mark this as Exhibit 166. (Exhibit No. 166 marked for identification) Mr. Kennedy, I'm handing you a copy of a document that we've marked as Exhibit No. 166. I'd ask you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th, 2011? It would have been prepared before that time so it could be distributed to board members. Why did you prepare the memorandum here before the meeting of November 9th, 2011? Because the staff had issued an opinion in response to questions from legislative clerks. Obviously not everyone agreed with that opinion,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A	boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44 that they would be held under those boundary lines. MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and mark this as Exhibit 166. (Exhibit No. 166 marked for identification) Mr. Kennedy, I'm handing you a copy of a document that we've marked as Exhibit No. 166. I'd ask you to take a look at that, please. Have you had an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A Q A Q A Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th, 2011? It would have been prepared before that time so it could be distributed to board members. Why did you prepare the memorandum here before the meeting of November 9th, 2011? Because the staff had issued an opinion in response to questions from legislative clerks. Obviously not everyone agreed with that opinion, and we wanted to make sure the board agreed with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q	boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44 that they would be held under those boundary lines. MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and mark this as Exhibit 166. (Exhibit No. 166 marked for identification) Mr. Kennedy, I'm handing you a copy of a document that we've marked as Exhibit No. 166. I'd ask you to take a look at that, please. Have you had an opportunity to look at Exhibit 166?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A Q A Q A Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th, 2011? It would have been prepared before that time so it could be distributed to board members. Why did you prepare the memorandum here before the meeting of November 9th, 2011? Because the staff had issued an opinion in response to questions from legislative clerks. Obviously not everyone agreed with that opinion, and we wanted to make sure the board agreed with the opinion and had it, you know, generally
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q	boundaries for Acts 43 and 44 would be in place in November and also for the August primary. And what was the opinion that you issued for the recall or special elections? Well, reading the language of Acts 43 and 44, it appeared clear to us and consistent with prior opinions of the Attorney General that we had looked at that any recall or special elections that were conducted between the time that we issued the opinion and November 12th or in November would have to be under the districts that existed before Acts 43 and 44, because the language again was very specific in Acts 43 and 44 that they would be held under those boundary lines. MR. POLAND: Let's go ahead and mark this as Exhibit 166. (Exhibit No. 166 marked for identification) Mr. Kennedy, I'm handing you a copy of a document that we've marked as Exhibit No. 166. I'd ask you to take a look at that, please. Have you had an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q A Q A Q	effect before Acts 43 and 44 were enacted. Those were the district lines drawn by the Court in 2002; is that correct? That's correct. I'd like you to turn to the next page of Exhibit 166, and that appears to be a memorandum. The date says for the meeting of November 9th, 2011, to the members of the G.A.B. from you; correct? That's correct. Is this a memorandum that you prepared? It is a memorandum that I prepared. Did you prepare it on or around November 9th, 2011? It would have been prepared before that time so it could be distributed to board members. Why did you prepare the memorandum here before the meeting of November 9th, 2011? Because the staff had issued an opinion in response to questions from legislative clerks. Obviously not everyone agreed with that opinion, and we wanted to make sure the board agreed with

- VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF KEVIN J. KENNEDY 2/8/2012 1 2 $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\,$ Now, the first paragraph on the -- underneath the 2 Q And the first sentence of the next paragraph 3 heading Summary in the second sentence, it reads, 3 states, "Based upon the plain language of 4 "Based on the language of the legislation as well 4 section 10(2) of Act 43, staff has concluded that 5 5 as prior Attorney General opinions, staff has any special or recall election to be filled or 6 6 contested prior to the 2012 General Election must concluded that legislators began representing 7 7 their new districts on August 24th, 2011, but that be conducted using the legislative district 8 the new district boundaries would not govern any 8 boundaries which existed prior to the enactment of special or recall elections conducted prior to the Act 43." Do you see that? 10 10 A Yes. 2012 General Election." Do you see that? 11 11 A Yes. Q And is that the same opinion that we addressed a 12 12 Q And that was your opinion at the time? minute ago in the summary of this memo? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Was that the opinion of the board? 14 Q That's an opinion that the board adopted as well? A The board adopted that opinion. 15 A Yes. 16 16 Q And that's an opinion you still hold today? Q Is that still your opinion today? 17 17 A Yes. A Yes. 18 Q Looking at the next paragraph down below that, 18 Q If you'd turn to the next page, page 3. There is 19 19 there is mention in that paragraph that new a reference in the second full paragraph down, 20 legislation was being introduced to change the 20 it's the paragraph that begins finally. In the 21 21 middle of that page it states, "Absent any effective date for elections to recall state 22 22 senators so that the new legislative districts additional legislative action, staff recommends 23 23 that the Board approve the draft Guideline." Do would govern any such elections after 24 24 November 9th, 2011. Do you see that? you see that? 25 A Yes. 25 A Yes. 105 107 1 Q Was that ever done? 1 Q And is it your testimony that the board did, 2 A It was not enacted. I believe it was introduced. 2 in fact, approve that draft guideline? 3 I don't think that there was even a hearing on it. 3 A Yes. 4 I'm reasonably certain it was drafted. I Q I'd like for you to turn to the next page, then. 5 would have to go back and look, but it was clear Have you seen this document before? 6 6 that legislators were considering taking that A I don't recall seeing it, but I know it was 7 action. And, as I said, my understanding was it 7 distributed to me. had been drafted, but I don't think it even got to Q For the record, it's a November 11th, 2011, memo q a hearing. q to Wisconsin municipal clerks and some various 10 10 Q And at this point do you know of any similar other parties from Nathaniel E. Robinson, election 11 legislation that is pending? 11 division administrator of the G.A.B., and ccs are 12 12 A Again, you know, this legislation, if it were to you, to Ross Hein and Sarah Whitt; correct? 13 13 drafted and introduced, could still be pending, A That's right. 14
- but I'd have to go back and look. I don't know.
- 15 You know, from my monitoring of what's going on in
- 16 the Legislature, it doesn't appear that it's going
- 17 anywhere at this point.
- 18 Q I would like you to turn to the next page then,
- 19 please. Up at the top -- This is page 2 of the
- 20 November 9th memorandum. There is a heading at
- 21 the top of the page that states Section 10.
- 22 Initial Applicability. Do you see that?
- 23
- Q Then there are two numbered paragraphs, a 1 and a
- 25 2?

- 14 Q In the second bullet point that's depicted on the
 - first page of this November 11th memo there is a
- 16 statement, "In the event of a legislative recall
- 17 election, the old districts will be used." Do you
- 18 see that?
- 19 A Yes.

- 20 Q Was that a correct statement by Mr. Robinson?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And by old districts, that indicates the districts
- 23 that were in place as of -- the Court-drawn
- 24 districts from 2002 that were in place before
- 25 Acts 43 and 44 were adopted?

		VIDEOTAPE DEPOSITION OF	- ` `	
1	Α	Yes.	1	attorney-client privilege. You're talking
2	Q	Mr. Kennedy, have you seen the Complaint that was	2	about discussions
3		filed in this case?	3	MR. POLAND: I'm not talking about
4	Α	I have.	4	the substance. I'm not talking about the
5	Q	Are you Have you seen the Second Amended	5	substance, just whether.
6		Complaint that was filed in this case?	6	MR. EARLE: Could you read the
7	Α	I have seen it.	7	question back? I momentarily wandered.
8	Q	Did you assist in preparing an answer to the	8	COURT REPORTER: Do you recall
9		Second Amended Complaint?	9	MR. HODAN: Doug, I'm still going
10	Α	Only to the extent that it was presented to us by	10	to object because you're asking about
11		our attorneys and said please look over the	11	attorney-client communications.
12		response and offer any comments.	12	MR. EARLE: Can I get the question
13	Q	I'll hand you a copy of what we previously have	13	back?
14		marked in this case as Exhibit No. 12. I'd ask	14	MR. POLAND: Let's get the question
15		you to take a look at it.	15	read back.
16	Α	Okay.	16	MR. HODAN: Sure.
17	Q	Have you seen Exhibit No. 12 before?	17	(Question read)
18	Α	It was provided to me, yes.	18	MR. POLAND: So I will withdraw the
19	Q	So this is the G.A.B.'s Answer and Affirmative	19	question. Let me ask a different question.
20		Defenses to the Plaintiffs', that's the Baldus	20	Q Do you recall whether, and I'm just asking if, you
21		Plaintiffs, Second Amended Complaint for	21	or any other staff counsel raised any objections
22		Declaratory and Injunctive Relief; is that right?	22	to any of the statements that were contained in
23	Α	That's right.	23	Exhibit 12?
24	_	And it was provided to you at the time on or	24	MR. HODAN: Objection. Calls for a
25	_	about the time it was filed?	25	disclosure of attorney-client privilege, and
		109		111
		100		111
1	Α	Yes.	1	
_	_	Yes.		I guess I'm going to instruct him at this
2	Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed?	2	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer.
_	Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it,	2	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking
2 3	Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to	2	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance.
2 3 4	Q A	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it.	2 3 4	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if.
2 3 4 5 6	Q A	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of	2 3 4 5 6	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q A Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer?	2 3 4 5 6 7	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q A Q A	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was filed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if you're interested in whether the topic was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was filed? We did not formally approve it. You know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if you're interested in whether the topic was discussed, you could ask that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was filed? We did not formally approve it. You know, generally this would be provided to us by our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if you're interested in whether the topic was discussed, you could ask that. MR. POLAND: All right. I will
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was filed? We did not formally approve it. You know, generally this would be provided to us by our attorneys. They'd ask us to look over it and make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if you're interested in whether the topic was discussed, you could ask that. MR. POLAND: All right. I will ask.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was filed? We did not formally approve it. You know, generally this would be provided to us by our attorneys. They'd ask us to look over it and make any comments or suggestions and return it, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if you're interested in whether the topic was discussed, you could ask that. MR. POLAND: All right. I will ask. Q Was the topic discussed whether you had any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Q A Q A Q	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was filed? We did not formally approve it. You know, generally this would be provided to us by our attorneys. They'd ask us to look over it and make any comments or suggestions and return it, and that's done internally by myself and staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if you're interested in whether the topic was discussed, you could ask that. MR. POLAND: All right. I will ask. Q Was the topic discussed whether you had any objections you or any other members of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q A Q A Q A	Yes. Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was filed? We did not formally approve it. You know, generally this would be provided to us by our attorneys. They'd ask us to look over it and make any comments or suggestions and return it, and that's done internally by myself and staff counsel.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if you're interested in whether the topic was discussed, you could ask that. MR. POLAND: All right. I will ask. Q Was the topic discussed whether you had any objections you or any other members of the G.A.B. staff had any objections to statements that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q A Q A Q A	Pid you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was filed? We did not formally approve it. You know, generally this would be provided to us by our attorneys. They'd ask us to look over it and make any comments or suggestions and return it, and that's done internally by myself and staff counsel. Do you recall any objections that you or staff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if you're interested in whether the topic was discussed, you could ask that. MR. POLAND: All right. I will ask. Q Was the topic discussed whether you had any objections you or any other members of the G.A.B. staff had any objections to statements that were contained in Exhibit 12?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A	Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was filed? We did not formally approve it. You know, generally this would be provided to us by our attorneys. They'd ask us to look over it and make any comments or suggestions and return it, and that's done internally by myself and staff counsel. Do you recall any objections that you or staff counsel raised to anything that was contained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if you're interested in whether the topic was discussed, you could ask that. MR. POLAND: All right. I will ask. Q Was the topic discussed whether you had any objections you or any other members of the G.A.B. staff had any objections to statements that were contained in Exhibit 12? A I don't recall specifically, no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q A Q A Q A Q	Pid you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was filed? We did not formally approve it. You know, generally this would be provided to us by our attorneys. They'd ask us to look over it and make any comments or suggestions and return it, and that's done internally by myself and staff counsel. Do you recall any objections that you or staff counsel raised to anything that was contained within Exhibit 12?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if you're interested in whether the topic was discussed, you could ask that. MR. POLAND: All right. I will ask. Q Was the topic discussed whether you had any objections you or any other members of the G.A.B. staff had any objections to statements that were contained in Exhibit 12? A I don't recall specifically, no. Q Turn to page 4, please. Do you see at the top of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q A Q A Q A Q	Did you review it before it was filed? I don't recall that I specifically reviewed it, but, you know, we were given the opportunity to review it. Do you recall making any comments to the draft of the answer? I don't recall making any comments. Can you identify anything in Exhibit 12 that reflects your contributions? Not without reading it again more carefully. Did you or anyone else on the members of the board need to approve Exhibit 12 before it was filed? We did not formally approve it. You know, generally this would be provided to us by our attorneys. They'd ask us to look over it and make any comments or suggestions and return it, and that's done internally by myself and staff counsel. Do you recall any objections that you or staff counsel raised to anything that was contained	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I guess I'm going to instruct him at this point not to answer. MR. POLAND: I'm just asking whether. I'm not asking for the substance. I'm just asking if. MR. HODAN: No, but by asking him whether, you are, in fact, asking for the substance. Q Mr. Kennedy, are you going to follow counsel's instruction not to answer the question? A Yes, I am. Q I'd like to turn your attention to page 4. MR. KELLY: Let me just Doug, if you're interested in whether the topic was discussed, you could ask that. MR. POLAND: All right. I will ask. Q Was the topic discussed whether you had any objections you or any other members of the G.A.B. staff had any objections to statements that were contained in Exhibit 12? A I don't recall specifically, no.

A I see a statement of what the plaintiffs seek in to the objection. I think it's an improper 2 2 their declaratory judgment. objection. 3 **Q** Is it your understanding that that restates Q The G.A.B. has denied that allegation in this allegations in the Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint; correct? 5 A That's my understanding. 6 A That's my understanding of what it's purported to Q All right. Is it still G.A.B.'s position they 7 7 do, ves. deny that allegation? 8 Q Do you see at the top it states, "The plaintiffs 8 A Well, we issued an opinion on the face of the Act seek a declaratory judgment that," and it sets out that was adopted. That's the G.A.B.'s position. 10 10 a number of bullet points? Q And, specifically, this is the G.A.B.'s answer to 11 11 A Yes. the Second Amended Complaint; correct? 12 12 Q I'd like to draw your attention to the last bullet A That's right. 13 13 point in that list. "Any special or recall Q All right. Do you know of any amended answer to 14 14 elections cannot be conducted under Act 43 because the Second Amended Complaint? 15 plaintiffs would be deprived of equal protection 15 A I'm not sure. 16 16 and their right to mandate legislative elections Q Have you -- You don't recall seeing any pleading, 17 17 and participate in them pursuant to the state any amended answer that amends that response 18 constitution." Do you see that statement? 18 beyond what's given in Exhibit 12; is that 19 19 A I see that statement. correct? 20 Q Is it your understanding that plaintiffs are 20 A That's correct. 21 21 Q I'd like you to look, just below that statement seeking a declaratory judgment asking for that 22 relief? 22 that the G.A.B. denies plaintiffs are entitled to 23 A Yes. 23 any declaratory relief, there is another paragraph 24 24 Q I'd like you to look in the answer to the third that sets forth plaintiffs' allegations. Do you 25 25 summary paragraph and the last line of that see that? 113 115 1 A I see that. answer. Do you see that the answer states that 1 2 the G.A.B. -- or the defendants deny that the 2 Q Do you see that there is a statement that says, 3 plaintiffs are entitled to any declaratory relief? 3 "Upon such declarations, plaintiffs request A Yes. injunctive relief prohibiting any elections, Q Is it still your position and the G.A.B.'s including recall or special elections, from being 6 6 position that the plaintiffs -- that they deny conducted under the boundaries created by the new 7 that plaintiffs are entitled to the declaratory statutes." Do you see that? 8 relief set forth in the Complaint? A I see that. q MR. HODAN: Objection. That calls 9 Q I'd like you to turn the page, please. Do you see 10 10 for a legal conclusion and also calls for a in the answer to the fourth summary paragraph it 11 conclusion with respect to this Court's 11 states, "Defendants deny that the plaintiffs are 12 12 jurisdiction over that issue. Subject to entitled to any injunctive relief?" Do you see 13 13 that? that, you can attempt to answer, if you know. 14 14 A Yes. A Could you restate the question again? 15 15 MR. POLAND: Would you read it Q All right. Is it still true the G.A.B. denies 16 back? 16 that the plaintiffs are entitled to any injunctive 17 17 (Ouestion read) relief? 18 18 MR. HODAN: I'm going to reiterate MR. HODAN: Objection, calls for a 19 the same objection and indicate now that 19 legal conclusion. You can go ahead and 20 20 you're getting into defendants' legal 21 21 A Again, this is the attorney's response to this, strategy. If you --22 22 Q You can answer the question. you know, and that's what I -- you know, the 23 23 MR. HODAN: If you can attempt to attorneys are charged with responding on behalf of 24 the G.A.B. answer that, go ahead. 25 25 MR. EARLE: And I'm going to object Q And that's what the attorneys have written and

what's been filed with the Court; correct? law -- "state law in violation of 42 U.S.C. 2 A That's correct. 2 sections 1983 and 1988." Do you see that --3 Q I'd like you to turn to page 21 of Exhibit No. 12. 3 A Yes. 4 Q -- allegation as it's reprinted there? I'd draw your attention specifically to 5 paragraph 33 which appears to restate the 6 allegations in paragraph 33 of the Plaintiffs' Q And do you see the answer that's given, 7 Second Amended Complaint. The allegation as it's 7 "Defendants ADMIT that any elections conducted 8 reprinted here states, "If not otherwise enjoined 8 under the now-unconstitutional boundaries or directed, the G.A.B. will carry out its established by this Court in Baumgart v. 10 10 statutory responsibilities involving the 2012 Wendelberger," it again has the citation, "will 11 11 state legislative elections," and there is a dash, deprive the individual plaintiffs of their civil 12 12 "and any recall or special elections before then," rights under color of state law in violation of 13 13 and there is another dash, "based on the 42 U.S.C. sections 1983 and 1988. However, 14 14 impermissibly-drawn boundaries, which will harm conducting elections under 2011 Wisconsin Acts 43 15 15 the plaintiffs by violating their constitutional and 44 will not deprive anyone of their civil 16 rights." Do you see that? 16 rights. Defendants DENY all remaining allegations 17 17 A I see that. in paragraph 34." Do you see that? 18 Q Then there is an answer, and the answer states, 18 A Yes. 19 19 "Defendants ADMIT that, absent a court order to Q And does that still set forth G.A.B.'s answer to 20 the contrary, G.A.B. intends to conduct all 20 the allegations in paragraph 34 of Plaintiffs' 21 21 special or recall elections scheduled before the Second Amended Complaint? 22 22 fall 2012 general elections under the A Again, that was the answer that was prepared by 23 now-unconstitutional boundaries established by 23 our attorneys, and, as I clarified, I'm not aware 24 24 this Court in Baumgart v. Wendelberger," and it that there has been any finding of 25 25 goes on with a citation there. Do you see that? unconstitutionality of the prior districts. 117 119 1 A I see that. 1 Q I'd like you to turn to paragraph number 62. It's 2 Q Then it states, "Defendants DENY all other 2 on page 30. There is an allegation, "The effect 3 3 of Acts 43 and 44 is to give the Republican allegations in paragraph 33." Do you see that? A Yes. majority an unfair electoral advantage, Q All right. Is that still -- Is it G.A.B.'s disproportionate to its historical success, in an 6 6 position that that is still a true and correct attempt to preserve their political majorities and 7 response to paragraph 33 of Plaintiffs' Complaint? 7 minimize the prospects for the minority party. 8 A This is the response that was drafted by our For example," and it sets forth two paragraphs, an q attorneys on behalf of the G.A.B. What I can say 9 A and a B. Do you see that? 10 10 is what the G.A.B.'s opinion was on what elections A Yes. 11 it will do. Characterizing them on whether 11 Q The answer to paragraph 62 simply states, 12 12 they're constitutional or unconstitutional, I will "Defendants DENY paragraph 62." Do you see that? 13 13 say I'm not aware of a finding yet of A Yes. 14 14 Q Is it still G.A.B.'s position -- or I should say unconstitutionality of the old districts with 15 15 respect to conducting any special or recall is it still the defendants' position that they 16 elections, and we have conducted one special 16 deny the allegations in paragraph 62? 17 17 A Again -election since then, since Act 43 was adopted, 18 18 under the old boundaries. MR. HODAN: Objection, calls for a 19 Q Paragraph 34 immediately follows. The restated 19 legal conclusion, but go ahead, you can 20 20 allegations in paragraph 34 of Plaintiffs' Second 21 Amended Complaint states, "In the absence of these

21 A Again, this was prepared by our attorneys who are 22 responsible for defending the law that's presented 23 to them, and we have to rely on their analysis when it comes to providing these responses.

 ${f 25}$ ${f Q}$ As far as you know, that is still the position for 120

22

23

25

statutorily- and constitutionally-permissible

districts, any elections conducted under the

G.A.B.'s supervision will deprive the individual

plaintiffs of their civil rights under color of"

1 the defendants that is on file and the operable to paragraph 97 of the Second Amended Complaint? 2 2 answer in the federal court? A I'm not aware of anything that changed that 3 MR. HODAN: Objection, asked and angwar 4 answered. Go ahead and answer it again. Q Turning to the paragraph directly below, there is 5 A I'm not aware of any additional filings that would a -- paragraph 98 allegations are set forth. Do 6 be contrary to that. you see that? 7 7 A Yes. $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}}\xspace$ For the next question I'm going to ask you to get 8 Exhibit 166 back out, please. It has Exhibit E on 8 Q And without reading them all, I will simply read 9 the front. the answer that's given to paragraph 98. It 10 10 states, "The defendants ADMIT that the Second I will represent to you, Mr. Kennedy, 11 11 Exhibit E is Exhibit E to Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint challenges the constitutionality 12 Amended Complaint. If you look at the bottom of 12 of 2011 Wisconsin Acts 43 and 44. The defendants 13 13 Exhibit E, Exhibit 166, you'll see that it does DENY all other allegations of this paragraph." Do 14 14 have a file stamp date on it indicating that it you see that? 15 was filed in this particular case. 15 A Yes. 16 16 With that predicate, I'd like you to turn to Q All right. Does that remain -- Is that a true 17 17 paragraph 97 that's set forth on page 40. The statement as of today? 18 18 restated allegation in paragraph 97 that's set A I'm not aware of any changes to that statement. 19 forth on page 40 states, "Any effort to conduct 19 Q I'd like you to turn to page 41, paragraph number 20 special or recall elections under the boundaries 20 100 of Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint, which 21 21 established by Act 43 would violate that policy, is restated here. It states, "The challenged 2011 22 22 and pending the resolution of this litigation districts cannot serve as districts for any future 23 disrupt the status quo in the electoral boundaries 23 elections, whether regular, special or recall 24 of a decade established" by the Court -- "by this 24 elections, unless and until this Court rules on 25 Court. It will deprive plaintiffs of equal 25 the constitutionality of the districts." Do you 121 123 1 protection and their constitutional right to 1 see that? 2 participate in legislative elections pursuant to 2 A I'm sorry, paragraph 100 or 101? 3 3 Q No, that's paragraph 100 of the allegations. recall." Do you see that paragraph? A Yes. A Okay. Q Do you see the answer states, "To the extent the Q Right at the top of the page. 6 6 A Yes, okay. No, I just happened to be reading 101 reference to 'that policy,'" and that's in 7 quotation marks, "means Exhibit E, the defendants 7 when you said that. DENY that Exhibit E is a policy." Do you see that Q You're a step ahead of me. Do you see the q statement? q allegations in paragraph 100? 10 10 A Yes. A Yes. 11 Q Does Exhibit E that you have in front of you, it's 11 Q And you see the answer to paragraph 100 is that 12 12 been marked as Exhibit 166, does that constitute a defendants deny those allegations; correct? 13 13 A Yes. policy? 14 14 Q Is that still G.A.B.'s position? MR. HODAN: Object to form. 15 ahead. 15 A I'm not aware that we've filed anything different. 16 A Exhibit E reflects how we intend to conduct the 16 Q And then finally, paragraph 101, the allegations 17 17 that are restated are that "The 2002 districts, recall elections that are presently in front of us 18 18 if we determine that they're sufficient. therefore, are the only legal, valid and proper 19 Q And then the answer goes on to state, "The 19 districts for any election prior to final 20 20 defendants ADMIT that conducting special or recall disposition in this case." Do you see that? 21 21 A Yes. elections under the boundaries established by 22 22 Q And the answer is that the defendants deny Act 43 would be inconsistent with the information 23 contained in Exhibit E." Do you see that? 23 paragraph 101. Do you see that? A Yes. 24 A Yes. 25 25 Q Is that your -- Is that still the G.A.B.'s answer Q Are you aware that G.A.B. has changed its position

```
in response to paragraph 101?
                                                                        before.
 2 A No.
                                                             2
                                                                                 THE WITNESS: I'm sure.
 3
    Q All right. Actually, I do have one final question
                                                                Q I'll give you a minute to look over it. Take as
 4
       for you, and that's on page 45. At the top of the
                                                             4
                                                                    much time as you need.
 5
                                                             5
       page you'll see that there is a demand for
                                                                A Okay.
 6
                                                                 Q Mr. Kennedy, have you seen Exhibit 167 before?
       judgment; correct? Paragraph 45. I'm sorry, page
 7
                                                             7
       45
                                                                 A It was presented to me.
 8
   A I'm on page 45.
                                                                 Q When did you see it?
    Q It runs over from page 44. At the bottom of
                                                                 A I don't recall.
10
                                                            10
       page 44 and onto the top of page 45. This is a
                                                                Q Can you identify the document for the record,
11
                                                            11
       listing of the defendants, and it states they
                                                                    please?
12
                                                            12
       demand judgment as follows.
                                                                A It's identified as Answer to Amended Complaint for
                                                            13
13
    A Yes, right.
                                                                    Declaratory and Other Relief.
14
                                                            14
                                                                Q This is an answer that was filed in an action in
    Q Do you see that?
15
                                                            15
    A Yes.
                                                                    Waukesha County Circuit Court; correct?
16
    Q So is it true that the G.A.B. demands judgment,
                                                            16
                                                                \boldsymbol{\mathsf{Q}} I'd like you to turn to page number 15 of
17
                                                            17
       number one, denying the declaratory relief sought
18
                                                            18
                                                                    Exhibit 167. Do you see that it states that it
       by the plaintiffs?
19
                                                            19
                    MR. HODAN: Objection, calls for a
                                                                    was filed -- or at least dated January 20th, 2012?
20
           legal conclusion. Go ahead and answer.
                                                            20
21
                                                            21
    A That's what is presented in the documents, yes.
                                                                 Q Is it your understanding that it was filed with
22
                                                            22
    Q And the second paragraph, denying the injunctive
                                                                    the Court on or around January 20th, 2012?
23
                                                            23
       relief sought by the plaintiffs; is that correct?
                                                                A I assume so, yes.
24
                                                            24
                    MR. HODAN: Same objection for the
                                                                 Q The action -- or the lawsuit is a lawsuit in which
25
                                                            25
           remaining questions on this, but you can go
                                                                    you are named as a defendant in your capacity as
                          125
                                                                                       127
1
           ahead and answer them.
                                                             1
                                                                    the director and general counsel for the Wisconsin
 2
                                                             2
    A That's what is stated on the demand for judgment,
                                                                    Government Accountability Board; correct?
 3
                                                             3
                                                                A That's right.
4
    Q Then the fourth paragraph, that the G.A.B.
                                                                 Q I'd like you to turn to page 2 of Exhibit No. 167.
       declares -- demands judgment to declare and
                                                                    And do you see up toward the top of the page there
 6
                                                             6
       establish the election district boundaries under
                                                                    is a restatement of allegations in the Complaint;
 7
       which the defendants should conduct the recall and
                                                             7
                                                                    correct?
       special elections prior to the regular primary and
                                                                 A Under summary paragraph 1?
 q
       general 2012 elections?
                                                             9
                                                                 Q Correct, yes. So that states, "Following the
                                                            10
10
    A Yes. I'm sorry, I see that. What was your
                                                                    enactment of 2011 Wisconsin Acts 43 and 44, by the
11
                                                            11
                                                                    state legislature, (2011 redistricting plan) the
       question?
12
                                                            12
    Q Yes. Is it true that's what the G.A.B. has
                                                                    Government Accountability Board, G.A.B., which is
13
                                                            13
       demanded in this pleading?
                                                                    the state agency responsible for administering the
14
                                                            14
    A Yes, that is what was presented.
                                                                    laws concerning the conduct of elections in the
                                                            15
15
    Q Are you aware of any change to that demand?
                                                                    State of Wisconsin, issued formal guidance that
                                                            16
16
    A No.
                                                                    any recall elections which may be initiated and
17
                                                            17
    Q You can set that document to the side.
                                                                    held prior to the general election in November of
18
                                                            18
                    (Exhibit No. 167 marked for
                                                                    2012 are to be conducted in the old legislative
19
                                                            19
                       identification)
                                                                    districts established by the 2002 Court adopted
20
    Q Mr. Kennedy, I'm handing you a document that is
                                                            20
                                                                    redistricting plan (the 2002 court plan)." Do you
21
                                                            21
       marked as Exhibit No. 167. You'll be happy to
                                                                    see that?
22
       hear this is the last document that I have to mark
                                                            22 A Yes.
                                                            23
23
                                                                Q And the answer to summary paragraph number one,
       here today.
                    (Discussion held off record)
                                                            24
                                                                    the answer states, "Defendants ADMIT the
25
                                                            25
                    MR. HODAN: We've had that happen
                                                                    allegations of the first sentence in summary
                                                                                       128
```

```
1
       paragraph 1." Do you see that?
                                                                     this?
 2
   A Yes.
                                                              2
                                                                 A I do.
 3
    Q All right. Do the defendants or does the G.A.B.
                                                                 Q And directing your attention to, I believe,
       at least still admit the allegations of that first
                                                              4
                                                                     paragraph 8, is it your opinion that historically
 5
                                                              5
       sentence in that summary paragraph?
                                                                     the census data used by the state legislature or
 6
                    MR. HODAN: Objection. Calls for a
                                                                     federal three-court panels to draw redistricting
 7
                                                              7
            legal conclusion. Go ahead. Subject to
                                                                     maps has been inaccurate and incomplete?
 8
            that, you can go ahead and answer.
                                                              8
 9
    A I'm trying to figure out where the first sentence
                                                                  Q And it is -- Your opinion is based on the
10
                                                             10
       ends, if it does end.
                                                                     following reasons, and I think this refers to
11
                                                             11
                                                                     paragraphs 9, 10 and 11. A, "the census itself
    Q It does, I believe. Well, there is a period --
    A Oh, there it is.
12
                                                             12
                                                                     (that is, the counting of people by the Census
                                                             13
    Q Yeah.
                                                                     Bureau) is never entirely accurate. The Census
                                                             14
14
    A Yes, that reflects -- again, restate your
                                                                     Bureau misses some people during its count." Do
15
                                                             15
       question.
                                                                     you agree with that?
16
                    MR. POLAND: Could you read back
                                                             16
17
                                                             17
                                                                  Q B, "the boundary lines and the geographical maps
            the last question that I asked?
                                                             18
18
                    (The following question was read:
                                                                     used by the census are not always accurate. The
19
                                                             19
                      "Q. Do the defendants or does the
                                                                     Census Bureau openly acknowledges this." Do you
20
                            G.A.B. at least still admit
                                                             20
                                                                     agree with that?
21
                            the allegations of that first
                                                             21
                                                                  A Yes.
22
                                                             22
                                                                  Q Paragraph C, "the census is outdated as soon as it
                            sentence in that summary
23
                                                             23
                            paragraph?")
                                                                     is released to the public. In the intervening
24
                                                             24
    A And the answer is, yes, we do.
                                                                     period between when the census is released and the
25
                                                             25
    Q Mr. Kennedy, what is the status of the
                                                                     redistricting maps are drawn by either the state
                           129
                                                                                        131
 1
       Waukesha County Circuit Court action in which this
                                                              1
                                                                     legislature or federal three-judge panel, as in
 2
       answer was filed?
                                                              2
                                                                     1982, 1992 and 2002 (which can be almost two years
 3
   A I'm not aware that anything has happened since
                                                              3
                                                                     in some cases), some people have moved, other
       this answer was filed.
                                                                     people have died, babies have been born, nonvoting
    Q Do you know whether any ruling from an appellate
                                                                     age citizens have become of voting age, and some
 6
                                                              6
       court has affected the status of this case?
                                                                     boundary lines have shifted through annexations."
 7
    A Not that I'm aware of at the moment.
                                                              7
                                                                     Do you agree with that?
 8
                    MR. POLAND: I don't have any
                                                                                  MR. HODAN: Counsel, you mean
 9
                                                              9
            further guestions at this time.
                                                                         paragraph 11 rather than C?
                                                             10
10
                    MR. HASSETT: I've got a few.
                                                                                  MR. HASSETT: Paragraph 11, yes.
11
                    MR. HODAN: How long do you think?
                                                             11
12
                                                             12
                    MR. HASSETT: Very brief.
                                                                                  MR. HODAN: You can go ahead and
13
                                                             13
                    MR. HODAN: Okav. Otherwise I
                                                                         answer.
14
                                                             14
                                                                  A Yes.
            would suggest a break since it's 12:30.
15
                    MR. HASSETT: To make it simple, if
                                                             15
                                                                  Q And you agree that it is impossible to have
16
            we can mark this as an exhibit. We're up to
                                                             16
                                                                     precise equal population for all citizens of the
17
                                                             17
           168. This is the affidavit that you
                                                                     United States because congressional boundaries
18
                                                             18
            previously gave in the context of a motion.
                                                                     cannot cross state lines? This means that the
19
                    (Exhibit No. 168 marked for
                                                             19
                                                                     citizens of some states would be underrepresented
20
                                                             20
                       identification)
                                                                     and the citizens of other states will be
21
                                                             21
                                                                     overrepresented?
22
                                                             22
                       EXAMINATION
                                                                 A I know that that's the case.
                                                             23
23
    By Mr. Hassett:
                                                                  Q Okay. That's all my questions concerning your
    Q Mr. Kennedy, I wanted to refer you that that
                                                             24
                                                                     affidavit.
25
       affidavit is now Exhibit 168, and you recognize
                                                             25
                                                                         One other question relates to what has been
```

```
1
       described as anomalies by your agency. We've had
                                                                    redistricting process before the local communities
 2
                                                             2
       a fair amount of testimony today on the anomalies.
                                                                    or units of government did their redistricting,
 3
       Would these issues have been avoided had the
                                                             3
                                                                   has that created a set of problems you did not
 4
       redistricting been done in the traditional manner
                                                             4
                                                                    have in prior redistricting efforts?
 5
                                                             5
       as it was done prior to this last year in 2011,
                                                               A It has created more work for the municipalities
       and by that I mean the local units of government
                                                             6
                                                                    because they've had to make adjustments to wards
 7
                                                             7
       perform their districting first with wards?
                                                                    that they had -- because many of them had already
 8
    A I don't know. I would expect that you'd still
                                                             8
                                                                    drafted wards when the new plans came out and then
       have problems with maps lining up.
                                                                    they had to make some changes, which is Act 39
10
                                                            10
    Q Well, have you had these problems in the past?
                                                                    providing them some direction on that.
11
                                                            11
    A We've never had to deal with this situation in the
                                                                        So, yes, it's provided more work at the state
12
                                                            12
       past. We did not have a Statewide Voter
                                                                    and local levels as a result of this approach.
13
                                                            13
       Registration System until 2006, so any of the
                                                                                MR. HASSETT: Thank you. I have
                                                            14
14
       issues that would have come up in the past would
                                                                        nothing further.
15
                                                            15
       have been at the local level, and we do know that
                                                                                MR. POLAND: Peter or Jackie, do
16
                                                            16
       we would find over the course of -- in my
                                                                        you have any questions?
17
                                                            17
       experience, that people, you know, that local
                                                                                MS. BOYNTON: No.
18
                                                            18
                                                                                MR EARLE: No.
       election officials may have put people in the
19
                                                            19
       wrong district for issuing ballots based on their
                                                                                MR. HODAN: Why don't we take a
20
       misconceptions of what was provided to them,
                                                            20
                                                                        short break.
21
                                                            21
       you know. And again my experience, I've been with
                                                                                VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes
                                                            22
22
                                                                        today's deposition. The time is 12:35 p.m.
       the agency since April of 1979 and so we had
23
       redistricting in '82, '92 and 2002, you know. We
                                                            23
                                                                        We are now off the record.
24
                                                            24
       always would find some administrative error on
                                                                                MR. HODAN: Why don't you give us
25
       this, but we weren't using -- this is the first
                                                            25
                                                                        two minutes. We might be done.
                          133
                                                                                       135
1
                                                             1
       time that our agency was actually involved with
                                                                                 (Recess)
 2
                                                             2
       respect to having to maintain a Statewide Voter
                                                                                VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
 3
                                                             3
       Registration System where we had the
                                                                        12:43 p.m. We are back on the record.
 4
       responsibility to make sure that people were
 5
       registered in the right place so that they would
                                                                                   EXAMINATION
 6
                                                             6
       get the right ballots. Prior to that time
                                                                By Mr. Hodan:
 7
       registration lists in the municipalities that had
                                                             7
                                                                Q Mr. Kennedy, I have one question. Can the G.A.B.
 8
       registration were maintained by those 350-plus
                                                                    implement Acts 43 and 44 without violating the
 q
       municipalities that had it and the remaining 1,500
                                                             9
                                                                    law?
10
                                                            10
       municipalities that did not have voter
                                                                A Yes, I believe we can.
11
       registration didn't maintain any lists.
                                                            11
                                                                                MR. HODAN: I have no further
12
                                                            12
    Q To your knowledge is this the first time the
                                                                        questions.
13
                                                            13
                                                                                MR. POLAND: I have a follow-up
       redistricting has been based on census blocks?
14
                                                            14
   A I think census blocks were always involved to some
                                                                        question.
15
                                                            15
       degree, because they have to count population.
16
                                                            16
       But, again, we weren't involved in drawing the
                                                                                 RE-EXAMINATION
17
                                                            17
       lines or anything along those lines.
                                                                By Mr. Poland:
18
                                                            18
                                                                Q How can you G.A.B. implement the -- How can the
           In other words, I'm sure census blocks might
19
       have been looked at for -- at the local level for
                                                            19
                                                                   G.A.B. implement Acts 43 and 44 without violating
20
                                                            20
       drawing wards because they had to establish wards
21
                                                            21
                                                                A Well, I believe that through the actions we're
       within a certain population. The statutes require
22
                                                            22
       under 5.15 that municipalities' wards can only be
                                                                    taking we're making sure that all the voters are
23
                                                            23
                                                                    in the districts that are assigned to Act 43 and
       in certain sizes unless they cross county lines or
       some other statutory exceptions.
                                                            24
                                                                    4 -- by Act 43 and 44.
                                                            25
25
    Q Well, is the fact that the Legislature did the
                                                                        In other words, by looking at the exception
                                                                                       136
```

```
relative or employee of any attorney or counsel
 1
       reports and working with the local election
                                                                    employed by the parties hereto or financially
 2
       officials, you know, we're making sure that the
                                                                3
                                                                    interested in the action.
                                                                              In witness whereof I have hereunto set my
 3
       voters will get the proper ballots.
                                                                   hand and affixed my notarial seal this 9th day of
 4
    Q All right. You still don't know, however, whether
                                                                6
                                                                   February 2012.
 5
       the act of ensuring that voters get the proper
                                                                8
 6
                                                                                         Notary Public, State of Wisconsin
       ballots changes the population -- the population
                                                                9
                                                                                         Registered Professional Reporter
 7
       deviations under Acts 43 and 44; is that correct?
                                                                                         Certified Realtime Reporter
                                                               10
 8
                     MR. HODAN: Objection, asked and
 9
            answered. You can go ahead and answer that.
                                                               11
                                                                   My commission expires
                                                                    August 19, 2012
10
    A Again, what we do has nothing to do with
                                                               12
11
       population from our perspective. We're only
                                                               13
12
       trying to make sure that the voters are assigned
13
       to the right districts. Those districts right now
                                                               14
14
       are set out in Acts 43 and 44, and
                                                               15
15
       administratively we have to work with the
                                                               16
16
       information we have to make sure that's done. And
                                                               17
17
       that's, you know, what all of our efforts have
18
       been directed at since we got that information and
                                                               18
19
       retooled our system.
                                                               19
20
                     MR. POLAND: No further questions.
                                                               20
21
                     VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes
22
            today's deposition. The time is 12:45 p.m.
                                                               21
23
            We are now off the record.
                                                               22
24
                (Adjourning at 12:45 p.m.)
                                                               23
                                                               24
25
                                                               25
                           137
                                                                                           139
    STATE OF WISCONSIN )
```

```
) ss.
2 COUNTY OF DANE
3
              I, PEGGY S. CHRISTENSEN, a Registered
    Professional Reporter and Notary Public duly
    commissioned and qualified in and for the State of
    Wisconsin, do hereby certify that pursuant to notice,
7
    there came before me on the 8th day of February 2012,
    at 9:11 in the forenoon, at the offices of
    Godfrey & Kahn, S.C., Attorneys at Law, One East Main
10
    Street, Suite 500, in the City of Madison, County of
11
    Dane, and State of Wisconsin, the following named
12
    person, to wit: KEVIN J. KENNEDY, who was by me duly
13
    sworn to testify to the truth and nothing but the
14
    truth of his knowledge touching and concerning
15
    the matters in controversy in this cause; that
16
    KEVIN J. KENNEDY was thereupon carefully examined
17
    upon his oath and his examination reduced to
18
    typewriting with computer-aided transcription; that
19
    the videotape deposition is a true record of the
20
    testimony given by the witness; and that reading and
21
    signing was not waived.
22
              I further certify that I am neither
23
    attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed
    by any of the parties to the action in which this
25
    deposition is taken and further that I am not a
```

VIL	DEOTAPE DEPOS	ITION OF KEVIN	J. KENNEDY 2/8/2	2012
	110:23, 111:23,	83:20, 86:2, 93:13,	71:17, 73:14, 96:16,	52:10, 53:15, 53:18,
	112:21, 115:18, 117:3	93:14, 93:23, 94:12	103:20, 105:10,	55:1, 56:21, 60:13,
	121 [2] - 39:12, 41:11	165 [5] - 3:22, 82:22,	107:6, 117:10,	61:5, 62:4, 62:13,
'82 [1] - 133:23	126 [1] - 4:5	83:22, 86:3, 95:4	117:22, 126:9,	62:21, 63:4, 63:10,
'92 [1] - 133:23	12:30 [1] - 130:14	166 [10] - 4:3,	127:19, 127:22,	63:12, 65:9, 72:15,
'anomalies' [2] -	12:35 [1] - 135:22	102:17, 102:18,	128:18, 138:7, 139:6,	77:3, 77:8, 77:22,
76:25, 77:7		102:17, 102:18,	139:11	78:13, 94:9, 95:18,
'directly [1] - 16:1	12:43 [1] - 136:3	103:1, 104:6, 121:8,	20th [2] - 127:19,	101:10, 102:1, 102:5,
'state [1] - 15:25	12:45 [2] - 137:22,	121:13, 122:12	127:22	102:12, 102:13,
'that [1] - 122:6	137:24	167 [6] - 4:4, 126:18,	21 [1] - 117:3	104:1, 107:4, 107:9,
1141[1] 122.0	12th [1] - 102:10	126:21, 127:6,	2100 [1] - 6:14	108:25, 113:14,
0	13 [9] - 4:13, 11:13,	127:18, 128:4	2266 [1] - 5:23	118:17, 119:14,
U	11:16, 11:19, 11:22,	168 [4] - 4:6, 130:17,	22nd [1] - 11:20	120:3, 121:21,
	12:7, 12:13, 78:7,	130:19, 130:25	23rd [6] - 17:15,	122:22, 123:12,
017 [2] - 88:15, 92:12	78:8	17 [1] - 6:11	18:10, 18:13, 69:23,	128:10, 136:8,
	130 [2] - 3:5, 4:7			136:19, 136:23,
1	136 [1] - 3:6	19 [1] - 139:11	70:10, 73:14	136:24, 137:7, 137:14
	13th [6] - 17:20,	1900s [1] - 97:16	24th [1] - 105:7	44 [69] - 19:4, 21:15,
	17:24, 50:3, 60:20,	1979 [1] - 133:22	25 [1] - 18:12	22:13, 23:18, 23:25,
1 [5] - 33:12, 33:24,	85:13, 85:16	1982 [1] - 132:2	262 [1] - 6:18	24:8, 25:5, 26:7,
106:24, 128:8, 129:1	14 [2] - 3:12, 67:19	1983 [2] - 119:2,	29 [2] - 79:14, 79:16	29:23, 30:11, 30:16,
1,266 [2] - 53:3,	14th [1] - 96:16	119:13	2nd [1] - 71:17	31:10, 33:2, 48:6,
53:23	15 [2] - 50:11, 127:17	1988 [2] - 119:2,		48:14, 51:8, 51:17,
1,500 [1] - 134:9	150 [7] - 4:13, 46:24,	119:13	3	52:11, 53:15, 53:18,
1,851 [2] - 73:4,	47:1, 47:4, 47:11,	1990 [1] - 19:14		55:1, 55:16, 55:25,
73:16	47:14, 47:18	1992 [1] - 132:2	3 [1] - 107:18	56:21, 58:25, 59:10,
10 [10] - 16:6, 50:11,	151 [6] - 4:13, 32:11,	19th [2] - 99:15,	30 [2] - 98:18, 120:2	59:15, 60:6, 60:13,
67:15, 71:25, 72:4,	32:13, 32:18, 32:20,	99:16	300 [1] - 6:3	61:5, 62:4, 62:13,
72:22, 81:6, 81:9,	35:1	1st [3] - 96:25, 97:22	33 [4] - 117:5, 117:6,	62:21, 63:4, 63:10,
106:21, 131:11	157 [3] - 3:10, 7:1,		118:3, 118:7	65:9, 72:15, 77:3,
10(2 [1] - 107:4	7:21	2	34 [4] - 118:19,	77:8, 77:22, 78:4,
100 [5] - 123:20,	158 [8] - 3:11, 14:17,		118:20, 119:17,	78:13, 88:2, 88:4,
124:2, 124:3, 124:9,	14:20, 14:21, 14:22,	2 [16] - 38:7, 66:17,	119:20	88:8, 88:20, 89:17,
124:11	14:25, 15:9, 15:18	88:12, 88:19, 89:11,	350-plus [1] - 134:8	92:13, 92:16, 93:1,
1000 [1] - 6:14	159 [9] - 3:13, 36:8,	90:18, 90:21, 90:24,	36 [1] - 3:13	93:5, 93:10, 102:1,
101 [5] - 124:2,	36:11, 36:16, 36:21,	92:8, 92:25, 93:4,	39 [4] - 60:13, 61:2,	102:5, 102:12,
124:6, 124:16,	37:17, 38:7, 46:6,	93:10, 93:11, 106:19,	61:14, 135:9	102:13, 104:1,
124:23, 125:1	46:14	106:25, 128:4	39's [1] - 64:12	108:25, 119:15,
102 [1] - 4:3	15th [2] - 17:23,	20 [1] - 67:23	3rd [3] - 47:6, 48:23,	120:3, 123:12, 125:9,
10:28 [1] - 66:11	96:23	2000 [1] - 19:14	49:10	125:10, 128:10,
10:41 [1] - 66:17	16 [1] - 85:19	2002 [8] - 27:21,	40.10	136:8, 136:19,
10th [5] - 17:19,	16-county [1] - 85:23	104:3, 108:24,	4	136:24, 137:7, 137:14
20:6, 32:25, 36:1,	160 [5] - 3:14, 67:3,	124:17, 128:19,	7	447-2199 [1] - 6:18
50:12	67:6, 67:11, 67:16	128:20, 132:2, 133:23		45 [5] - 125:4, 125:6,
11 [6] - 16:17, 72:19,	161 [9] - 3:15, 71:5,	2006 [2] - 27:22,	4 [13] - 21:10, 42:14,	125:7, 125:8, 125:10
72:25, 131:11, 132:9,	71:8, 71:9, 71:13,	133:13	42:18, 50:16, 50:17,	
132:10	71:20, 71:22, 76:23,	2010 [1] - 72:8	55:6, 55:24, 85:15,	5
11-CV-1011 [1] -	80:12	2011 [22] - 11:20,	112:12, 112:23,	
2:11	162 [13] - 3:18,	16:20, 17:19, 19:10,	112:24, 136:24	
11-CV-3995 [1] - 4:4	41:23, 78:23, 79:3,	19:20, 20:6, 32:25,	40 [2] - 121:17,	5 [7] - 43:23, 45:3,
11-CV-562 [1] - 1:12	79:7, 79:9, 79:14,	33:1, 101:9, 103:5,	121:19	45:4, 45:19, 60:10,
11:00 [1] - 78:19	79:20, 79:24, 80:2,	104:8, 104:14,	400 [1] - 6:7	60:12, 81:4
11:15 [1] - 79:1	80:11, 80:19, 82:11	104:18, 105:7,	41 [1] - 123:19	5.15 [1] - 134:22
11:23 [1] - 85:7	163 [16] - 3:20,	105:24, 108:8,	417 [1] - 6:17	500 [3] - 5:12, 5:20,
11:25 [1] - 85:10	82:21, 83:16, 83:24,	119:14, 123:12,	42 [2] - 119:1, 119:13	138:10
11th [2] - 108:8,	84:1, 84:4, 84:18,	123:21, 128:10,	43 [61] - 19:4, 21:15,	505 [1] - 5:23
108:15	85:12, 86:2, 86:8,	128:11, 133:5	22:13, 23:18, 23:22,	53021 [1] - 6:18
12 [14] - 4:13, 55:9,	86:14, 86:15, 87:1,	2012 [22] - 1:20,	24:7, 25:4, 26:7,	53202 [3] - 5:23, 6:4,
55:13, 55:23, 76:24,	87:10, 87:16, 92:9	5:14, 17:20, 22:11,	29:22, 30:11, 30:16,	6:14
109:14, 109:17,	163-165 [1] - 82:18	50:4, 56:8, 65:7,	31:10, 33:2, 48:6,	53703 [3] - 5:20, 6:8,
110:9, 110:13.	164 [8] - 3:21, 82:22,	- ,,,	18.11 51.8 51.17	6:11

6:11

48:14, 51:8, 51:17,

164 [8] - 3:21, 82:22,

110:9, 110:13,

55 [1] - 83:25 **56** [1] - 84:1 **5:00** [1] - 96:25

6

6 [10] - 71:22, 71:24, 89:4, 89:9, 89:12, 90:12, 90:18, 92:8, 92:24, 103:20 **62** [4] - 120:1, 120:12, 120:16 **67** [1] - 3:14

7

7 [5] - 3:10, 15:24, 65:1, 72:18, 76:23 **7/136** [1] - 3:4 **71** [1] - 3:17 **72** [2] - 73:5, 73:17 **78** [1] - 3:19 **79** [4] - 4:13, 20:6, 20:7, 36:2

8

8 [2] - 1:20, 131:4

8.0 [1] - 3:14

80 [7] - 4:13, 49:14,

49:16, 49:22, 50:15,

60:11, 85:13

82 [3] - 3:20, 3:21,

3:22

839 [1] - 6:3

86 [7] - 4:13, 17:9,

17:14, 18:2, 18:11,

69:22, 69:25

8th [2] - 5:13, 138:7

9

9 [5] - 15:18, 16:18, 16:24, 81:3, 131:11 934 [1] - 44:9 97 [3] - 121:17, 121:18, 123:1 98 [2] - 123:5, 123:9 9:11 [2] - 5:14, 138:8 9th [8] - 98:17, 103:5, 104:7, 104:13, 104:18, 105:24, 106:20, 139:5

Α

a.m [6] - 66:11, 66:17, 78:19, 79:1, 85:7, 85:10 **AARON** [1] - 5:19 **able** [3] - 14:8, 41:8, absence [1] - 118:21 Absent [1] - 107:21 absent [1] - 117:19 absentee [1] - 28:10 absolutely [1] -78:12 access [1] - 12:16 accompanied [2] -26:15, 29:24 accomplished [1] -19:10 accordance [1] -90:9 According [2] -38:23, 39:2 according [10] -39:14, 40:3, 41:10, 42:5, 54:8, 54:23, 54:25, 56:16, 66:1, 94:24 Accountability [17] -1:14, 2:2, 2:13, 2:16, 5:5, 7:17, 8:2, 8:3, 8:5, 8:14, 8:21, 9:21, 9:22, 103:3, 103:4, 128:2, 128:12 accurate [7] - 22:4, 63:6, 76:16, 85:24, 103:7, 131:13, 131:18 acknowledges [1] -131:19 act [1] - 137:5 Act [46] - 23:18, 23:22, 23:25, 26:16, 27:20, 55:16, 55:25, 56:21, 58:25, 59:10, 59:15, 60:6, 60:13, 61:2, 61:14, 63:4, 63:12, 64:12, 78:4, 88:2, 88:4, 88:8, 88:20, 89:17, 90:23, 92:13, 92:16, 93:1, 93:5, 93:10, 94:9, 95:18, 101:10, 101:23, 107:4, 107:9, 113:14, 115:8, 118:17, 121:21, 122:22, 135:9,

136:23, 136:24

action [12] - 22:17,

22:18, 24:9, 65:4,

103:4, 106:7, 107:22, 127:14, 127:24, 130:1, 138:24, 139:3 Action [2] - 22:1, 65:2 actions [2] - 9:21, 136:21 active [1] - 38:9 Acts [47] - 19:4, 21:15, 22:13, 24:7, 25:4, 26:7, 26:13, 29:22, 30:11, 30:16, 31:10, 33:2, 48:6, 48:14, 51:8, 51:17, 52:10, 53:15, 53:18, 55:1, 59:22, 60:13, 61:5, 62:4, 62:13, 62:21, 63:10, 65:9, 72:15, 77:3, 77:8, 77:22, 78:13, 102:1, 102:5, 102:12, 102:13, 104:1, 108:25, 119:14, 120:3, 123:12, 128:10, 136:8, 136:19, 137:7, 137:14 acts [1] - 25:25 actual [2] - 21:7, 61:11 added [1] - 40:5 addition [1] - 64:10 additional [2] -107:22, 121:5 address [21] - 22:25, 31:24, 31:25, 32:1, 44:13, 44:21, 45:24, 56:13, 57:19, 63:25, 67:20, 69:5, 82:13, 86:19, 86:20, 92:21, 93:2, 93:3, 94:10, 94:24, 96:3 Address [3] - 43:23, 44:10, 45:10 addressed [6] -20:10, 49:17, 52:22, 58:2, 101:19, 107:11 addresses [22] -23:4, 24:20, 25:15, 27:8, 27:9, 27:10, 30:13, 38:11, 41:3, 43:7, 45:1, 45:2, 54:3, 57:4, 86:18, 94:11, 94:16, 94:23, 94:25, 95:14, 95:18, 95:23 adjoining [1] - 66:3 Adjourning [1] -137:24 adjust [2] - 24:22, 61:3

97:18, 135:6 administer [1] -78:14 administering [2] -100:10, 128:13 administration [1] -8:15 Administration [1] -13:15 administrative [2] -10:23, 133:24 administratively [2] -25:21, 137:15 administrator [2] -20:11, 108:11 admit [2] - 129:4, 129:20 **ADMIT** [5] - 117:19, 119:7, 122:20, 123:10, 128:24 adopt [1] - 10:23 adopted [7] - 97:16, 105:15, 107:14, 108:25, 115:9, 118:17, 128:19 advance[d [1] - 16:1 advanced [1] - 16:3 advantage [1] -120:4 advice [1] - 81:23 affect [1] - 76:25 affected [1] - 130:6 affecting [1] - 51:9 affidavit [3] - 130:17, 130:25, 132:24 affixed [1] - 139:5 age [3] - 5:2, 132:5 agencies [1] - 49:4 agency [14] - 8:6, 8:23, 9:5, 9:14, 12:9, 13:19, 14:7, 35:23, 78:12, 98:4, 128:13, 133:1, 133:22, 134:1 ago [3] - 15:19, 95:22, 107:12 agree [8] - 57:9, 60:16, 60:23, 77:16, 131:15, 131:20, 132:7, 132:15 agreed [3] - 70:11, 104:21, 104:22 ahead [19] - 10:10, 26:22, 58:18, 71:3, 74:12, 82:15, 102:16, 114:24, 116:19, 120:19, 121:4, 122:15, 124:8, 125:20, 126:1, 129:7, 129:8, 132:12, 137:9 aided [1] - 138:18

al [5] - 5:3, 5:5, 5:21, 5:24, 6:5 aldermanic [5] -23:14, 25:8, 29:13, 46:8, 62:3 allegation [6] -115:3, 115:7, 117:7, 119:4, 120:2, 121:18 allegations [19] -112:24, 113:4, 115:24, 117:6, 118:3, 118:20, 119:16, 119:20, 120:16, 123:5, 123:13, 124:3, 124:9, 124:12, 124:16, 128:6, 128:25, 129:4, 129:21 allows [3] - 23:9, 28:8, 48:19 almost [1] - 132:2 alter [1] - 97:6 alters [1] - 59:14 **ALVIN**[1] - 1:3 Alvin [2] - 5:3, 5:21 amended [3] - 13:2, 115:13, 115:17 **Amended** [17] - 4:3, 4:5, 109:5, 109:9, 109:21, 112:25, 113:4, 115:11, 115:14, 117:7, 118:21, 119:21, 121:12, 123:1, 123:11, 123:20, 127:12 amends [1] - 115:17 America [1] - 27:20 amount [1] - 133:2 **AMY** [1] - 1:7 **Analyses** [1] - 50:18 analyses [2] - 40:16, 46:12 **Analysis** [1] - 3:13 analysis [12] - 37:5, 37:9, 38:9, 42:24, 56:1, 59:12, 78:1, 85:19, 85:23, 98:7, 99:24, 120:23 analyzed [1] - 43:4 analyzing [1] - 39:18 annexations [1] -132:6 anomalies [15] -25:11, 38:2, 40:24, 46:17, 66:23, 72:14, 72:21, 74:8, 75:18, 76:20, 77:21, 82:14, 84:25, 133:1, 133:2 **Anomalies** [2] - 50:6, 50:19

adjustments [2] -

anomaly [1] - 24:14 Answer [4] - 4:5, 16:1, 109:19, 127:12 answer [68] - 9:10, 10:10, 13:9, 16:5, 26:22, 33:5, 33:6, 33:8, 33:11, 33:13, 34:3, 34:4, 34:9, 45:16, 58:20, 71:24, 72:6, 72:22, 74:13, 75:15, 77:4, 77:11, 81:5, 81:7, 81:10, 81:12, 109:8, 110:7, 112:2, 112:10, 113:24, 114:1, 114:13, 114:22, 114:24, 115:10, 115:13, 115:17, 116:10, 116:20, 117:18, 119:6, 119:19, 119:22, 120:11, 120:20, 121:2, 121:4, 122:5, 122:19, 122:25, 123:3, 123:9, 124:11, 124:22, 125:20, 126:1, 127:14, 128:23, 128:24, 129:8, 129:24, 130:2, 130:4, 132:13, 137:9 answered [2] -121:4, 137:9 answers [5] - 15:10, 80:4, 80:5, 80:17, 80:18 **Answers** [4] - 3:11, 3:18, 15:3, 79:11 anticipates [1] -100:20 **Appeals** [1] - 8:10 appear [7] - 20:23, 41:9, 45:13, 54:4, 65:6, 66:1, 106:16 appeared [2] - 61:17, appearing [8] - 5:20, 5:24, 6:4, 6:8, 6:11, 6:15, 7:12, 7:23 appellate [1] - 130:5 appended [1] - 53:19 apples [1] - 90:1 Applicability [1] -106:22 appointed [2] - 8:8, 9:13 appointee [1] - 9:19 apportioned [1] -24:4 appreciate [2] -80:10, 98:10

apprised [1] - 14:6 approach [1] -135:12 appropriate [4] -23:11, 34:23, 34:24, 52:17 approve [4] - 107:23, 108:2, 110:13, 110:15 April [4] - 96:23, 100:9, 100:25, 133:22 area [1] - 26:5 arisen [1] - 21:6 articles [1] - 75:16 aside [3] - 17:7, 82:9, 96:1 Assembly [15] -3:22, 21:16, 23:23, 43:5, 43:20, 44:17, 51:9, 83:2, 83:22, 95:6, 95:10, 95:13, 95:17, 95:21, 95:22 assembly [1] - 32:25 assertion [1] - 16:19 assign [5] - 47:18, 47:24, 57:2, 68:17, 75:5 assigned [24] -27:11, 34:16, 40:20, 48:6, 62:1, 62:9, 64:1, 69:1, 75:21, 90:8, 90:10, 90:11, 91:3, 91:7, 92:7, 92:21, 93:1, 94:9, 94:10, 94:11, 95:14, 95:18, 136:23, 137:12 assigning [1] - 56:4 assignments [1] -76:21 assist [1] - 109:8 assistance [4] -12:2, 12:4, 76:4, 81:23 **Assistant** [1] - 6:10 assists [1] - 91:24 associated [3] -32:1, 37:2, 78:3 assume [3] - 11:24, 36:25, 127:23 assuming [2] - 52:4, assure [1] - 22:5 attached [3] - 4:10, 17:18, 32:24 attachments [1] -69:23 attempt [6] - 69:25, 70:2, 70:12, 114:13,

114:23, 120:6

attempts [1] - 74:7

attend [1] - 32:15

attention [18] -11:18, 15:17, 15:23, 21:1, 22:1, 38:21, 46:16, 49:2, 50:14, 51:4, 72:15, 81:4, 85:15, 103:9, 112:12, 113:12, 117:4, 131:3 **Attorney** [9] - 4:25, 5:22, 6:2, 6:6, 6:10, 66:14, 78:21, 102:7, 105:5 attorney [5] - 111:1, 111:11, 111:25, 138:23, 139:1 attorney's [1] -116:21 attorney-client [3] -111:1, 111:11, 111:25 attorneys [19] - 11:8, 11:25, 12:4, 12:14, 16:12, 17:3, 74:17, 74:18, 77:15, 77:23, 80:20, 109:11, 110:17, 116:23, 116:25, 118:9, 119:23, 120:21 Attorneys [8] - 5:11, 5:19, 5:19, 6:3, 6:7, 6:13, 6:14, 138:9 attributed [3] -22:14, 51:17, 65:10 attributes [4] -29:11, 29:19, 76:13, 76:14 August [7] - 96:15, 96:16, 97:14, 100:9, 102:2, 105:7, 139:11 authorities [1] -29:16 availability [1] -100:2 available [6] - 28:17, 28:23, 31:16, 37:25, 69:20, 104:24 **Avenue** [1] - 5:23 avoid [1] - 22:5 avoided [1] - 133:3 aware [15] - 46:19, 46:22, 63:16, 72:8, 76:22, 118:13, 119:23, 121:5, 123:2, 123:18, 124:15, 124:25, 126:15,

В

130:3, 130:7

babies [1] - 132:4 back-and [1] - 74:5

backbone [1] - 28:6 backed [1] - 13:15 background [1] -21:4 balances [1] - 9:12 **BALDUS** [1] - 1:3 **Baldus** [6] - 5:3, 5:21, 12:20, 13:4, 14:10, 109:20 **BALDWIN** [1] - 1:10 **ballot** [8] - 21:22, 62:8, 90:16, 90:17, 90:19, 91:15, 92:18, 96:17 **ballots** [5] - 34:24, 133:19, 134:6, 137:3, 137:6 **BARBERA** [1] - 1:3 **BARLAND** [2] - 1:16, 2:15 Barron [5] - 39:11, 41:10, 41:12, 41:23, 43:22 base [1] - 22:22 Based [2] - 105:4, 107:3 based [25] - 23:5, 30:17, 30:25, 31:13, 39:19, 39:20, 41:18, 41:20, 53:16, 55:2, 56:1, 56:2, 63:17, 68:17, 84:5, 90:19, 91:10, 91:12, 93:1, 98:7, 117:13, 131:9, 133:19, 134:13 **basis** [1] - 25:3 Bates [1] - 83:25 Baumgart [2] -117:24, 119:9 became [3] - 72:8, 78:13, 78:15 **BECHEN** [1] - 1:3 **become** [1] - 132:5 began [1] - 105:6 begins [3] - 66:16, 81:9, 107:20 behalf [10] - 5:2, 5:20, 5:24, 6:4, 6:8, 6:11, 6:15, 9:20, 116:23, 118:9 behind [3] - 31:4, 61:20, 62:6 **belief** [1] - 94:6 BELL [1] - 1:7 below [4] - 45:8, 105:18, 115:21, 123:4 best [3] - 59:24, 61:24, 100:14

between [7] - 39:7,

42:8, 48:16, 74:6,

92:1, 102:9, 131:24 beyond [1] - 115:18 **BIENDSEIL** [1] - 1:3 big [1] - 100:9 bigger [1] - 25:17 bit [1] - 11:2 blanket [1] - 13:20 block [8] - 21:17, 61:6, 61:16, 61:18, 64:20, 64:22, 65:20, 66:2 Blocks [1] - 20:17 blocks [17] - 21:7, 21:19, 22:14, 32:23, 34:15, 51:7, 51:18, 53:12, 61:9, 61:11, 64:12, 64:13, 64:14, 65:10, 134:13, 134:14, 134:18 Board [18] - 1:14, 2:2, 2:13, 2:16, 5:5, 7:17, 8:3, 8:4, 8:5, 8:14, 8:21, 9:21, 9:22, 103:3, 103:5, 107:23, 128:2, 128:12 board [22] - 9:18, 10:3, 10:11, 10:16, 23:14, 99:12, 99:25, 100:2, 100:5, 101:4, 101:5, 101:19, 101:21, 104:16, 104:22, 105:1, 105:14, 105:15, 107:14, 108:1, 110:13 **BOERNER** [1] - 6:13 **bold** [1] - 103:10 **BOONE** [2] - 1:4 **born** [1] - 132:4 **boss** [1] - 9:17 **bottom** [4] - 45:19, 85:17, 121:12, 125:9 Boundaries [4] -20:18, 43:6, 50:7, 50:19 boundaries [32] -21:8, 21:18, 23:19, 23:20, 23:22, 24:3, 26:3, 26:6, 32:23, 42:16, 42:17, 43:14, 51:6, 53:12, 53:13, 54:17, 61:9, 61:11, 69:13, 102:1, 105:8, 107:8, 116:6, 117:14, 117:23, 118:18, 119:8. 121:20. 121:23, 122:21, 126:6, 132:17 boundary [15] - 22:4, 31:23, 42:22, 42:25,

43:9, 54:5, 63:12,

64:22, 67:20, 69:8, 69:11, 69:16, 102:14, 131:17, 132:6 Boundary [5] -42:20, 43:20, 44:17, 45:6, 45:21 **BOYNTON** [2] - 5:22, 135:17 branch [3] - 9:1, 9:3, 9:14 branches [1] - 9:11 break [4] - 55:21, 66:8, 130:14, 135:20 **BRENNAN** [2] - 1:15, 2:14 **BRETT** [1] - 1:5 brief [1] - 130:12 bring [2] - 21:25, 46:16 brought [2] - 49:1, 66:25 **built** [1] - 53:11 **bullet** [3] - 108:14, 113:10, 113:12 **BUMPUS** [1] - 1:4 Bureau [4] - 46:16, 131:13, 131:14, 131:19

C

cabinet [1] - 9:5 calculated [3] -38:13, 59:15, 60:5 calendar [1] - 96:8 campaign [1] - 8:16 Campbell [1] - 6:17 candidates [1] -**CANE** [2] - 1:15, 2:14 cannot [3] - 113:14, 123:22, 132:18 capacity [4] - 1:14, 2:13, 7:16, 127:25 Caption [1] - 1:17 care [1] - 34:22 carefully [2] -110:11, 138:16 **CARLENE** [1] - 1:3 Caro [1] - 5:23 carry [1] - 117:9 case [11] - 11:7, 14:10, 42:16, 66:25, 109:3, 109:6, 109:14, 121:15, 124:20, 130:6, 132:22 Case [2] - 2:11, 4:4 cases [1] - 132:3 cast [3] - 90:16,

90:17, 92:18 categories [4] - 18:9, 18:15, 18:16, 70:22 **CATES** [1] - 6:7 **CCP** [1] - 1:21 ccs [1] - 108:11 CDVS [1] - 6:16 **CECELIA** [1] - 1:7 census [32] - 19:14, 19:15, 21:7, 21:17, 21:18, 22:13, 32:22, 34:15, 51:7, 51:18, 53:11, 54:16, 61:5, 61:9, 61:11, 61:16, 61:17, 64:11, 64:13, 64:14, 64:20, 65:9, 65:20, 66:2, 131:5, 131:11, 131:18, 131:22, 131:24, 134:13, 134:14, 134:18 Census [5] - 20:17, 72:8, 131:12, 131:13, 131:19 certain [15] - 10:13, 10:22, 17:17, 28:11, 29:7, 29:10, 36:25, 51:18, 72:7, 74:2, 75:1, 99:20, 106:4, 134:21, 134:23 **certainly** [1] - 72:9 Certified [1] - 139:9 certify [2] - 138:6, 138:22 Chair [1] - 10:14 challenged [3] -10:20, 101:6, 123:21 challenges [10] -48:15, 61:19, 98:5, 98:13, 98:23, 98:25, 99:19, 99:21, 100:3, 123:11 change [12] - 10:18, 19:25, 28:25, 29:4, 32:25, 33:25, 34:6, 91:11, 97:6, 97:21, 105:20, 126:15 changed [6] - 30:2, 76:20, 97:9, 97:11, 123:2, 124:25 Changes [1] - 3:14 changes [6] - 29:1, 30:4, 30:5, 123:18, 135:9, 137:6 characteristics [1] -29:7 characterization [3] - 70:1, 80:11 characterized [1] -33:10

characterizing [2] -43:13, 118:11 charge [1] - 35:23 charged [1] - 116:23 check [2] - 54:8, 92:6 checks [1] - 9:12 Christensen [2] -1:21, 5:8 CHRISTENSEN [1] -138:3 **CINDY** [1] - 1:3 Circuit [2] - 127:15, 130:1 circulate [2] - 96:18, 96:21 circulated [1] - 83:4 circulating [1] -96:23 circumstances [3] -10:22, 47:14, 61:15 citation [2] - 117:25, 119:10 citizens [4] - 132:5, 132:16, 132:19, 132:20 City [5] - 5:12, 49:18, 51:16, 52:9, 138:10 city [2] - 29:13, 56:22 civil [3] - 118:25, 119:11, 119:15 claims [1] - 66:24 **CLARENCE** [1] - 1:5 clarification [2] -80:10, 82:7 **clarified** [1] - 119:23 clear [14] - 31:15, 41:17, 42:4, 55:19, 56:22, 66:22, 80:4, 80:6, 89:20, 90:1, 94:20, 101:23, 102:6, 106:5 **clearly** [1] - 33:19 CLEEREMAN [1] -1:4 clerk [5] - 25:25, 56:17, 68:25, 75:9, 92:6 clerks [24] - 22:7, 23:2, 25:17, 30:24, 49:18, 49:19, 49:20, 54:3, 61:17, 62:11, 63:8, 64:3, 73:5, 73:12, 73:16, 73:17, 74:1, 74:3, 74:4, 74:6, 75:23, 104:20, 108:9 **client** [3] - 111:1, 111:11, 111:25 close [4] - 31:18, 58:9, 69:8, 69:10

35:17, 37:1 **COCHRAN** [1] - 1:4 Coded [1] - 38:10 coincides [2] - 94:6, 94:8 color [2] - 118:25, 119:12 column [31] - 38:22, 38:23, 40:11, 41:22, 43:23, 44:4, 44:10, 45:24, 87:4, 87:5, 87:13, 87:15, 87:19, 88:23, 89:1, 91:5, 91:9, 91:18, 91:19, 91:21, 91:23, 93:18, 93:19, 93:22, 93:25, 94:1, 94:12, 95:1, 95:9, 95:10, 95:20 columns [8] - 38:20, 39:5, 40:2, 41:6, 45:9, 86:12, 87:8 combination [1] -92:7 coming [1] - 99:5 commencing [1] -5:14 comments [4] -109:12, 110:6, 110:8, 110:18 Commission [2] -49:19, 73:18 commission [1] -139:11 commissioned [1] -138:5 communicate [1] -14:8 communicated [1] -72:21 communications [5] - 73:25, 74:6, 74:9, 76:17, 111:11 communities [1] -135:1 Company [1] - 6:17 comparison [2] -43:11, 43:14 complaint [1] - 13:4 Complaint [22] - 4:3, 4:5, 109:2, 109:6, 109:9, 109:21, 112:25, 113:5, 114:8, 115:4, 115:11, 115:14, 117:7, 118:7, 118:21, 119:21, 121:12, 123:1, 123:11, 123:20, 127:12, 128:6 complaints [1] - 13:2

99:14 completed [4] -19:16, 62:16, 62:18, comprehensive [1] -85:19 computer [4] -13:14, 40:15, 75:25, 138:18 computer-aided [1] -138:18 concept [1] - 68:4 concerned [1] -42:15 concerning [3] -128:14, 132:23, 138:14 concerns [1] - 21:6 concluded [2] -105:6, 107:4 concludes [2] -135:21, 137:21 conclusion [7] -58:13, 114:10, 114:11, 116:19, 120:19, 125:20, 129:7 conclusions [1] -20:24 conclusory [1] -20:24 conduct [6] - 28:13, 117:20, 121:19, 122:16, 126:7, 128:14 conducted [11] -85:18, 85:24, 102:9, 105:9, 107:7, 113:14, 116:6, 118:16, 118:23, 119:7, 128:18 conducting [3] -118:15, 119:14, 122:20 confirmation [2] -8:13, 9:15 conflict [2] - 51:7, **Conflict** [1] - 60:13 Conflicting [1] -20:17 **conflicting** [2] - 21:7, 32:23 conflicts [2] - 54:18, 61:14 **confused** [1] - 80:9 confusion [2] - 22:6, 66:22 congressional [42] -23:25, 25:5, 29:18, 33:1, 47:20, 48:1, 55:5, 55:10, 55:15, complete [2] - 63:22, 55:24, 58:7, 58:25,

closely [3] - 35:14,

59:4, 59:9, 60:4, 77:25, 78:4, 82:24, 83:2, 83:17, 83:18, 86:9, 86:17, 86:23, 87:3, 87:14, 87:18, 87:23, 88:1, 88:4, 89:16, 90:13, 91:5, 91:8, 91:18, 91:19, 91:21, 92:15, 92:19, 93:21, 101:25, 132:17 Congressional [17] -3:20, 21:16, 43:6, 45:20, 51:10, 77:3, 88:12, 88:19, 88:24, 89:4, 89:8, 90:11, 90:17, 90:18, 90:21, 90:24, 93:4 conjunction [1] -10:4 considered [1] -90:11 considering [1] -106:6 consistent [1] -102:6 consists [3] - 8:7, 17:14, 17:15 constant [1] - 29:4 constitute [1] -122:12 constitution [1] -113:18 constitutional [4] -16:20, 117:15, 118:12, 122:1 constitutionality [2] - 123:11, 123:25 constitutionally [1] -118:22 constitutionallypermissible [1] -118:22 consult [1] - 19:7 consultants [1] -35:16 consultation [1] -10:14 **consulted** [1] - 80:20 consulting [1] - 96:8 contact [3] - 73:7, 75:8, 76:18 contacts [1] - 75:10 contain [1] - 80:11 contained [9] - 80:2, 80:18, 87:9, 91:23, 110:22, 111:22, 112:21, 112:25, 122:23 contains [1] - 80:13 contested [1] - 107:6

context [2] - 97:10, 130:18 continue [3] - 16:5, 85:11, 88:22 continued [2] - 3:24, Continued [2] - 1:17, continues [2] - 81:7, 85:20 continuing [2] -44:8, 67:19 **Continuing** [1] - 4:1 contract [1] - 8:17 contrary [2] - 117:20, 121:6 contribute [5] -77:10, 78:7, 78:10, 81:8, 81:12 contributions [1] -110:10 control [1] - 13:7 controversy [1] -138:15 conversations [4] -18:6, 18:8, 18:18, 34:25 convicted [1] - 29:2 coordinate [1] -100:7 copies [7] - 4:10, 32:8, 82:16, 82:20, 83:4, 83:6, 98:18 copy [10] - 7:20, 11:11, 14:19, 36:10, 46:23, 49:12, 67:5, 71:7, 102:20, 109:13 **Correct** [3] - 26:8, 48:2, 119:5 correct [120] - 7:13, 7:14, 7:18, 7:24, 8:24, 9:2, 10:19, 15:21, 16:6, 16:7, 16:15, 17:5, 17:24, 19:20, 20:18, 21:22, 22:5, 23:20, 23:23, 24:1, 24:5, 24:24, 26:7, 26:19, 28:19, 28:23, 30:3, 31:2, 31:10, 33:8, 36:4, 38:18, 40:8, 40:13, 41:13, 42:1, 42:9, 43:2, 43:3, 43:8, 43:9, 43:12, 43:16, 43:24, 44:11, 45:6, 45:21, 46:9, 48:9, 48:10, 48:11, 50:4, 51:22, 51:24, 52:2, 52:4, 52:19, 53:5, 54:16, 56:16,

57:1, 57:8, 57:14,

58:4, 58:10, 58:14, 59:6, 59:13, 59:18, 62:1, 63:22, 65:14, 65:16, 65:17, 66:21, 69:1, 69:16, 70:20, 70:22, 74:23, 79:24, 80:14, 84:8, 89:5, 89:9, 89:17, 90:4, 91:3, 92:19, 93:19, 95:11, 96:12, 96:18, 97:23, 98:2, 99:3, 103:21, 103:22, 104:3, 104:4, 104:9, 104:10, 108:12, 108:20, 115:4, 115:11, 115:19, 115:20, 117:1, 117:2, 118:6, 124:12, 125:6, 125:23, 127:15, 128:2, 128:7, 128:9, 137:7 Corrected [1] - 21:11 corrected [6] -21:17, 21:19, 22:8, 24:8, 24:10, 78:2 correction [4] -64:16, 68:25, 84:7, 98:10 corrections [8] -22:10, 51:6, 60:3, 62:12, 62:16, 63:21, 64:11, 65:6 correctly [4] - 57:21, 75:21, 92:9, 92:10 correspondence [1] - 73:14 Counsel [2] - 2:1, counsel [28] - 4:10, 7:17, 9:25, 14:5, 14:9, 18:6, 18:8, 18:18, 20:15, 35:20, 58:16, 73:10, 74:10, 74:11, 74:15, 74:20, 81:23, 82:17, 82:21, 84:5, 84:21, 110:20, 110:22, 111:21, 128:1, 132:8, 138:23, 139:1 counsel's [1] - 112:9 count [8] - 34:17, 44:13, 44:21, 44:24, 45:25, 131:14, 134:15 Count [5] - 43:23, 44:5, 44:10, 45:10 counted [8] - 43:7,

38:17, 39:12, 40:3, 40:4, 40:13, 40:14, 42:17, 45:24, 73:6, 85:19, 86:16 **counting** [1] - 131:12 countless [1] - 73:5 county [33] - 23:14, 25:7, 29:18, 37:4, 37:8, 38:13, 39:8, 39:9, 39:13, 39:16, 39:20, 39:22, 40:21, 41:6, 41:9, 41:24, 41:25, 43:15, 46:8, 49:19, 52:5, 54:25, 56:10, 56:23, 56:24, 59:25, 73:5, 73:17, 74:4, 74:6, 75:9, 89:22, 134:23 **COUNTY** [1] - 138:2 County [23] - 3:13, 4:4, 5:12, 38:10, 38:12, 38:24, 39:2, 39:11, 41:10, 41:12, 41:23, 43:1, 43:22, 44:9, 45:9, 49:20, 51:3, 51:5, 90:24, 92:23, 127:15, 130:1, 138:10 county's [1] - 41:11 course [1] - 133:16 **COURT** [2] - 1:1, 111:8 **Court** [23] - 5:6, 8:4, 8:10, 27:13, 97:24, 98:13, 99:14, 100:11, 100:18, 101:3, 101:6, 104:2, 108:23, 117:1, 117:24, 119:9, 121:24, 121:25, 123:24, 127:15, 127:22, 128:19, 130:1 court [6] - 10:21, 117:19, 121:2, 128:20, 130:6, 131:6 Court's [1] - 114:11 Court-drawn [1] -108:23 create [5] - 24:14, 27:23, 40:18, 53:21, 69:3 created [20] - 8:7, 22:20, 36:22, 36:24, 36:25, 37:11, 37:18, 37:20, 64:4, 68:21, 73:9, 86:2, 86:3, 86:5, 93:17, 95:7, 101:9, 116:6, 135:3, 135:5 Created [1] - 60:12 creates [4] - 25:10,

19:15, 23:8, 37:25,

51:14, 58:1, 69:15
creating [1] - 91:1
critical [1] - 22:3
cross [2] - 132:18,
134:23
CRR [1] - 1:21
current [2] - 87:25,
97:21
custody [1] - 13:6

Dane [5] - 5:13, 44:9, 90:24, 92:23, 138:11 **DANE** [1] - 138:2 **DANIEL** [1] - 6:13 dash [2] - 117:11, 117:13 data [12] - 27:25, 29:23, 30:4, 30:5, 30:9, 40:15, 41:8, 54:16, 57:3, 89:3, 95:24, 131:5 date [15] - 11:19, 36:20, 87:23, 96:5, 96:8, 97:2, 97:11, 99:6, 99:8, 103:16, 103:19, 103:20, 104:7, 105:21, 121:14 dated [6] - 17:15, 17:19, 17:20, 32:24, 47:7, 127:19 dates [4] - 97:14, 97:15, 98:20, 100:19 Dave [2] - 35:16, 37:1 **DAVID** [2] - 1:15, **DAVIS**[1] - 1:5 days [1] - 98:18 **DE** [1] - 2:8 **De** [2] - 5:24, 6:5 deadline [7] - 96:20, 97:3, 97:8, 97:22, 98:2, 98:11, 98:25 deadlines [1] - 98:13 deal [5] - 12:17, 34:13, 65:22, 133:11 dealing [4] - 32:22, 52:13, 52:15, 57:13 deals [1] - 42:24 death [1] - 28:1 decade [1] - 121:24 December [3] -32:16, 34:3, 34:6 decennial [2] - 19:14 decide [3] - 10:14, 40:16, 48:18 decision [2] - 10:7,

89:17, 92:14, 92:15,

92:21, 93:3, 93:9,

counties [14] -

10:11	125:12, 126:2, 126:15	destroyed [1] - 14:3	discussion [3] -	23:23, 23:25, 24:5,
decisions [9] - 9:20,	demanded [1] -	determination [4] -	42:23, 46:6, 50:10	24:7, 24:10, 24:13,
9:23, 9:25, 10:2, 10:5,	126:13	98:6, 99:9, 99:15,	discussions [5] -	25:6, 25:9, 27:11,
10:13, 10:17, 10:25,	demands [2] -	99:17	46:12, 48:22, 49:9,	29:19, 35:10, 39:23,
25:7	125:16, 126:5	determine [2] - 78:1,	73:9, 111:2	42:13, 46:9, 48:5,
declaration [1] -	denied [1] - 115:3	122:18	disposition [1] -	48:14, 51:10, 52:17,
57:17	denies [2] - 115:22,	determined [1] -	124:20	55:5, 56:24, 57:14,
Declaration [1] - 4:6	116:15	59:9	disproportionate [1]	57:15, 58:4, 58:7,
declarations [1] -	deny [7] - 114:2,	DEUREN [1] - 6:13	- 120:5	59:1, 59:4, 59:14,
116:3	114:6, 115:7, 116:11,	develop [1] - 11:10	disrupt [1] - 121:23	60:4, 62:1, 62:2, 62:3,
Declaratory [3] - 4:5,	120:16, 124:12,	developed [1] - 23:7	distance [1] - 31:19	62:12, 62:19, 62:24,
109:22, 127:13	124:22	deviate [1] - 21:18	distinction [1] -	62:25, 65:8, 65:11,
declaratory [7] -	DENY [5] - 118:2,	deviation [1] - 25:2	44:12	68:18, 69:13, 69:18,
113:2, 113:9, 113:21,	119:16, 120:12,	deviations [1] -	distribute [1] - 82:21	75:21, 76:20, 77:2,
114:3, 114:7, 115:23,	122:8, 123:13	137:7	distributed [3] -	78:3, 78:5, 87:3, 88:1,
125:17	denying [2] - 125:17,	died [1] - 132:4	58:25, 104:16, 108:7	88:4, 91:20, 93:22,
declare [1] - 126:5	125:22	differences [2] -	District [26] - 5:6,	93:23, 94:8, 95:1,
declares [1] - 126:5	DEPARTMENT [1] -	39:7, 59:3	5:7, 43:5, 43:6, 43:20,	101:9, 101:25,
dedicated [1] - 68:10	6:10	different [17] - 17:18,	44:17, 45:5, 45:20,	102:11, 103:11,
default [3] - 24:21,	Department [1] -	19:19, 38:2, 38:4,	88:12, 88:19, 88:24,	105:7, 105:22,
31:17, 69:6	13:15	54:4, 55:22, 61:7,	89:4, 89:9, 89:11,	108:17, 108:22,
defendant [1] -	dependent [1] -	69:2, 87:4, 89:16,	89:12, 90:12, 90:18,	108:24, 118:14,
127:25	101:2	89:19, 89:23, 91:20,	90:21, 90:24, 92:24,	118:23, 119:25, 123:22, 123:25,
defendants [15] -	depicted [2] - 39:16,	96:2, 97:15, 111:19,	92:25, 93:4, 93:10,	123.22, 123.23, 124:19,
7:15, 77:6, 114:2,	108:14	124:15	93:11	128:19, 136:23,
119:16, 121:1, 122:7,	depiction [2] - 33:17,	differently [1] - 20:1	DISTRICT [2] - 1:1,	137:13
122:20, 123:10,	90:25	difficult [1] - 75:11	1:1	division [3] - 20:11,
123:12, 124:12,	Deposition [5] -	difficulties [1] - 61:1	district [74] - 23:11,	35:23, 108:11
124:22, 125:11, 126:7, 129:3, 129:19	3:10, 7:13, 7:22, 7:23, 17:16	digits [2] - 88:15,	23:14, 23:19, 23:22, 25:4, 25:8, 29:13,	document [36] -
Defendants [14] -	DEPOSITION [2] -	92:12	29:14, 29:15, 31:8,	11:11, 12:5, 13:10,
2:3, 2:6, 2:17, 5:5,	1:18, 5:1	direct [3] - 13:5,	33:25, 34:6, 34:11,	13:11, 13:16, 13:18,
6:11, 6:15, 72:7,	deposition [10] -	35:21, 80:7 directed [4] - 60:25,	34:24, 39:17, 39:19,	14:19, 15:3, 15:6,
81:10, 116:11,	4:24, 7:22, 11:1,	61:23, 117:9, 137:18	41:1, 42:16, 42:17,	15:13, 17:7, 17:8,
117:19, 118:2, 119:7,	11:12, 16:13, 32:5,	directing [1] - 131:3	43:14, 47:20, 48:1,	17:10, 18:5, 36:10,
120:12, 128:24	135:22, 137:22,	direction [4] - 13:23,	55:1, 55:10, 55:15,	37:8, 37:11, 38:5,
Defendants' [8] -	138:19, 138:25	33:20, 37:20, 135:10	55:24, 56:5, 59:9,	46:23, 49:13, 49:15,
3:11, 3:15, 3:18, 4:7,	depositions [1] -	directions [1] - 61:2	59:18, 64:7, 67:24,	49:22, 66:4, 67:2,
15:3, 16:19, 71:10,	4:13	directly [2] - 51:7,	69:2, 69:7, 75:5,	67:5, 67:13, 79:2,
79:10	deprive [4] - 118:24,	123:4	76:20, 85:20, 86:18,	86:8, 102:20, 103:1,
defendants' [2] -	119:11, 119:15,	director [3] - 7:16,	86:22, 86:23, 87:14,	108:5, 126:17,
114:20, 120:15	121:25	9:25, 128:1	87:18, 87:20, 87:23,	126:20, 126:22, 127:10
defending [1] -	deprived [1] - 113:15	Director [2] - 2:1,	89:16, 90:8, 90:14,	documented [1] -
120:22	Der [8] - 32:6, 32:7,	2:15	91:3, 91:6, 91:8, 91:9,	32:24
Defenses [1] -	32:15, 33:4, 33:10,	disclosure [2] - 8:18,	91:19, 91:21, 92:7,	documents [18] -
109:20	34:1, 34:5, 37:13	111:25	92:15, 93:16, 93:19,	12:13, 12:15, 13:13,
define [2] - 21:15,	describe [4] - 8:4,	discover [1] - 75:18	94:1, 94:13, 94:14, 95:6, 95:10, 95:13,	13:22, 17:14, 18:9,
23:13	15:24, 16:2, 27:13	discovery [3] - 11:3,	95.6, 95.10, 95.13, 95:17, 95:21, 95:22,	18:16, 32:7, 59:21,
defined [5] - 23:1,	described [4] - 26:5,	11:6, 11:8	103:17, 103:23,	66:20, 67:1, 69:21,
23:12, 24:13, 25:4, 48:14	69:24, 79:10, 133:1	discrepancies [6] -	103:25, 104:2, 105:8,	69:24, 70:13, 70:19,
	describing [1] -	31:4, 31:6, 63:21,	107:7, 126:6, 133:19	82:10, 82:12, 125:21
deflect [1] - 49:4 degree [1] - 134:15	25:20	72:13, 74:8, 92:1	districting [1] -	Documents [9] -
DEININGER [2] -	Description [2] - 3:9, 4:2	discrepancy [1] -	133:7	3:12, 3:17, 3:19, 15:5,
1:15, 2:14	description [4] -	42:8	Districts [2] - 60:12,	70:5, 70:15, 71:1,
delaying [1] - 101:7	26:13, 54:25, 66:1,	discussed [7] -	62:22	71:12, 79:13
delegate [1] - 10:12	93:4	37:19, 55:6, 73:3,	districts [88] - 8:11,	DOJ [1] - 74:21
delegates [1] - 9:24	descriptions [1] -	95:21, 100:20, 112:15, 112:18	19:17, 21:16, 21:19,	done [13] - 14:13,
deleted [1] - 14:3	70:19	112:15, 112:18 Discussion [3] -	22:5, 22:9, 22:12,	14:14, 14:15, 19:22,
demand [4] - 125:5,	design [1] - 35:18	78:20, 85:8, 126:24	23:5, 23:12, 23:15,	25:13, 97:22, 100:15,
,		. 5.25, 55.5, 125.24		

106:1, 110:19, 133:4, 133:5, 135:25, 137:16 **Doty** [1] - 6:7 **Doug** [3] - 81:14, 111:9, 112:13 **DOUGLAS** [1] - 5:19 **Douglas** [1] - 4:25 down [14] - 26:1, 26:3, 40:1, 44:8, 50:21, 51:12, 52:25, 55:4, 70:17, 72:6, 77:23, 85:16, 105:18, 107:19 **DPW** [1] - 2:12 draft [5] - 30:21, 77:13, 107:23, 108:2, 110:6 drafted [6] - 77:15, 106:4, 106:8, 106:13, 118:8, 135:8 drafting [3] - 47:10, 80:1, 80:18 draw [11] - 15:17, 15:23, 21:1, 34:21, 38:21, 50:14, 51:4, 54:15, 113:12, 117:4, 131:6 drawing [6] - 81:3, 81:18, 82:1, 85:15, 134:16, 134:20 drawn [4] - 104:2, 108:23, 117:14, 131:25 drew [1] - 63:17 drill [2] - 26:1, 26:3 due [1] - 99:21 **DUFFY** [1] - 2:5 duly [3] - 7:5, 138:4, 138:12 duplicate [1] - 28:2 during [1] - 131:14 Ε

Earle [1] - 78:21 **EARLE** [6] - 6:2, 6:3, 111:6, 111:12, 114:25, 135:18 early [3] - 32:16, 97:16, 98:21 easier [2] - 23:2, 25:16 easiest [1] - 64:8 East [4] - 5:11, 5:20, 6:7, 138:9 **EASTERN** [1] - 1:1 Eastern [1] - 5:7 **ECKSTEIN** [1] - 1:5 effect [6] - 31:5,

101:10, 101:16, 103:11, 104:1, 120:2 **effective** [2] - 57:25, efficiently [1] - 48:20 effort [1] - 121:19 efforts [3] - 19:13, 135:4, 137:17 either [3] - 9:10, 46:3, 131:25 election [32] - 22:6, 22:11, 25:22, 28:7, 28:12, 33:19, 35:15, 48:21, 56:14, 60:25, 61:23, 65:7, 68:8, 91:13, 92:19, 96:5, 96:6, 99:6, 100:14, 101:7, 101:11, 103:15, 103:20, 107:5, 108:10, 108:17, 118:17, 124:19, 126:6, 128:17, 133:18, 137:1 **Election** [4] - 49:18, 73:18, 105:10, 107:6 elections [53] - 8:17, 20:11, 20:12, 28:13, 35:23, 56:8, 58:22, 96:4, 96:11, 96:12, 96:14, 97:25, 98:24, 99:2, 99:6, 99:10, 99:17, 100:8, 100:10, 100:21, 100:24, 101:17, 101:22, 101:24, 102:4, 102:8, 103:11, 105:9, 105:21, 105:23, 113:14, 113:16, 116:4, 116:5, 117:11, 117:12, 117:21, 117:22, 118:10, 118:16, 118:23, 119:7, 119:14, 121:20, 122:2, 122:17, 122:21, 123:23, 123:24, 126:8, 126:9, 128:14, 128:16 electoral [2] - 120:4, 121:23 **elects** [1] - 29:15 **ELVIRA** [1] - 1:4 embodied [2] - 77:3, 86:2 employed [2] -

138:23, 139:2

72:20, 139:1

13:21

employee [2] -

employees [1] -

enacted [8] - 19:5, 24:7, 72:10, 72:16, 88:1, 97:12, 104:1, 106:2 enactment [3] -81:19, 107:8, 128:10 end [7] - 38:21, 65:5, 81:11, 98:21, 100:24, 101:6, 129:10 ends [2] - 66:10, 129:10 enforcement [1] -8:15 enjoined [1] - 117:8 **ensuring** [1] - 137:5 entered [1] - 78:21 entering [1] - 57:3 entirely [2] - 18:4, 131:13 entitled [7] - 15:3, 28:11, 114:3, 114:7, 115:22, 116:12, 116:16 enumerated [2] -18:16, 53:1 enumeration [1] -87:8 equal [3] - 113:15, 121:25, 132:16 equality [3] - 58:3, 58:7, 77:1 ERICA [1] - 2:9 error [3] - 65:22, 65:23, 133:24 errors [1] - 72:8 essentially [2] -23:10, 82:5 establish [2] - 126:6, 134:20 established [6] -117:23, 119:9, 121:21, 121:24, 122:21, 128:19 et [5] - 5:3, 5:5, 5:21, 5:24, 6:5 ethics [1] - 8:17 EVANJELINA [1] event [1] - 108:16 evidence [3] - 54:21, 77:7, 77:21 exact [1] - 25:15 exactly [3] - 14:14, 96:7, 98:14 Examination [1] -

except [2] - 61:15, 92:20 exception [21] -3:20, 3:21, 3:22, 40:18, 54:2, 64:5, 68:11, 68:24, 73:8, 83:19, 86:1, 86:9, 86:25, 87:6, 90:22, 91:2, 92:3, 92:23, 93:16, 95:6, 136:25 exceptions [14] -40:24, 59:20, 67:17, 67:20, 67:24, 68:2, 68:4, 68:19, 68:20, 68:23, 77:25, 78:2, 87:9, 134:24 **exclude** [1] - 74:2 executive [3] - 9:3, 9:14, 10:24 exhibit [5] - 17:9, 32:9, 47:1, 75:24, 130:16 Exhibit [123] - 4:3, 7:1, 7:21, 11:13, 11:16, 11:19, 11:22, 12:7, 12:13, 14:17, 14:20, 14:25, 15:9, 15:18, 17:9, 17:14, 18:2, 18:11, 20:6, 20:7, 32:11, 32:13, 32:18, 32:20, 35:1, 36:2, 36:8, 36:11, 36:16, 36:21, 37:17, 38:7, 46:6, 46:14, 46:24, 47:1, 47:4, 47:11, 47:14, 47:18, 49:14, 49:16, 49:22, 50:15, 60:11, 67:3, 67:6, 67:11, 67:16, 69:22, 69:25, 71:5, 71:8, 71:9, 71:13, 71:20, 71:22, 76:23, 78:23, 79:3, 79:7, 79:9, 79:14, 79:20, 79:24, 80:2, 80:11, 80:12, 80:19, 82:11, 82:18, 83:24, 84:1, 84:4, 84:18, 85:12, 86:7, 86:14, 86:15, 87:1, 87:10, 87:16, 92:9, 93:13, 93:14, 93:23, 94:12, 95:3, 102:17, 102:18,

3:5, 3:6, 99:15, 138:17 examined [1] -138:16 example [7] - 39:11, 41:9, 43:22, 51:3, 51:5, 88:10, 120:8 86:2 107:8 122:5 100:14 134:25 133:2

102:21, 102:23, 103:1, 104:6, 109:14, 109:17, 110:9, 110:13, 110:23, 111:23, 112:21, 115:18, 117:3, 121:8, 121:11, 121:13, 122:7, 122:8, 122:11, 122:12, 122:16, 122:23, 126:18, 126:21, 127:6, 127:18, 128:4, 130:19, 130:25 exhibits [3] - 4:10, 83:13, 83:15 **Exhibits** [2] - 4:13, existed [2] - 102:12, **exited** [1] - 66:14 expect [4] - 99:5, 99:8, 99:16, 133:8 **expected** [1] - 92:17 experience [2] -133:17, 133:21 **expires** [1] - 139:11 explain [3] - 22:17, 75:3, 75:17 Explain [1] - 76:25 **explained** [1] - 44:20 express [1] - 76:5 extensions [2] -100:17, 101:3 extent [5] - 12:2, 73:15, 94:18, 109:10,

F

face [1] - 115:8 facilitate [2] - 56:4, fact [8] - 15:15, 33:17, 37:7, 64:19, 73:20, 108:2, 112:7, factoring [1] - 34:20 facts [1] - 16:19 fair [8] - 55:21, 60:10, 72:13, 73:22, 80:10, 91:17, 98:10, Falk [4] - 20:15, 35:19, 36:3, 50:1 fall [8] - 9:1, 12:21, 12:23, 69:19, 95:23, 96:4, 96:5, 117:22 falls [1] - 93:3 familiar [2] - 58:3,

EXAMINATION [4] -

7:8, 130:22, 136:5,

examination [4] -

3:4

58:23 far [2] - 88:23, 120:25 February [8] - 1:20, 5:14, 71:17, 98:17, 98:21, 100:8, 138:7, 139:6 Federal [1] - 27:20 federal [4] - 28:5, 121:2, 131:6, 132:1 fee [1] - 28:22 felon [1] - 29:3 felonies [1] - 29:2 felons [1] - 28:1 few [2] - 50:14, 130:10 figure [6] - 18:25, 33:21, 38:1, 56:1, 57:5, 129:9 **File** [2] - 1:12, 3:13 file [27] - 24:11, 26:14, 37:4, 37:8, 38:15, 39:8, 39:21, 42:6, 42:7, 54:23, 89:2, 89:7, 89:10, 89:13, 90:6, 90:7, 90:9, 90:14, 94:14, 94:22, 94:24, 95:24, 98:16, 121:1, 121:14 filed [19] - 4:24, 12:20, 13:4, 13:8, 96:24, 100:22, 109:3, 109:6, 109:25, 110:2, 110:14, 117:1, 121:15, 124:15, 127:14, 127:19, 127:21, 130:2, 130:4 files [33] - 26:14, 29:23, 30:14, 30:20, 33:18, 37:22, 38:11, 39:8, 40:17, 40:20, 41:11, 41:17, 41:19, 41:25, 42:9, 43:1, 43:15, 44:22, 48:16, 49:5, 49:6, 52:6, 53:18, 55:18, 62:25, 63:2, 65:14, 65:18, 86:24, 89:21, 90:25 filing [1] - 97:3 filings [1] - 121:5 filled [1] - 107:5 final [9] - 10:7, 10:11, 21:25, 22:12, 65:8, 87:5, 95:20, 124:19, 125:3 finalized [1] - 19:17 finally [3] - 95:3, 107:20, 124:16 finance [1] - 8:16 financially [1] -

139:2 findings [1] - 101:4 fine [5] - 55:20, 66:9, 82:6, 82:7, 90:3 finished [1] - 17:11 firm [1] - 74:20 **First** [9] - 3:11, 3:12, 3:18, 3:19, 15:4, 62:15, 79:11, 79:12 first [37] - 7:5, 11:22, 15:20, 18:10, 20:17, 21:3, 21:4, 22:2, 26:25, 32:20, 32:21, 33:8, 33:12, 40:12, 41:22, 52:3, 53:3, 65:5, 70:5, 70:7, 72:8, 83:25, 87:13, 88:10, 96:7, 101:18, 101:19, 105:2, 107:2, 108:15, 128:25, 129:4, 129:9, 129:21, 133:7, 133:25, 134:12 five [3] - 18:15, 53:1, 66:7 **five-minute** [1] - 66:7 flexibility [1] - 97:2 focus [7] - 25:19, 52:14, 57:19, 59:16, 70:2, 70:12, 100:15 focused [1] - 101:21 focusing [1] - 63:1 folded [1] - 83:10 folks [1] - 73:13 follow [7] - 14:1, 54:16, 64:21, 69:13, 73:24, 112:9, 136:13 follow-up [2] - 73:24, 136:13 followed [2] - 19:19, 22:19 following [8] - 44:4, 61:12, 64:22, 70:18, 81:11, 129:18, 131:10, 138:11 Following [1] - 128:9 **follows** [4] - 7:6,

48:4, 118:19, 125:12

forenoon [2] - 5:14,

form [5] - 10:9, 60:8,

60:17, 89:18, 122:14

formal [1] - 128:15

former [1] - 8:8

forms [2] - 26:11,

formulation [1] -

forth [8] - 74:5,

formally [1] - 110:15

footer [1] - 37:7

138:8

26:12

77:10

114:8, 115:24, 119:19, 120:8, 121:17, 121:19, 123:5 forward [1] - 50:12 foundation [1] four [3] - 8:11, 98:15, 100:8 fourth [10] - 55:5, 55:6, 87:3, 87:15, 91:4, 93:18, 93:25, 95:9, 116:10, 126:4 Fredonia [1] - 6:18 free [1] - 73:18 front [7] - 37:4, 79:4, 91:10, 100:16, 121:9, 122:11, 122:17 **FRONTERA** [1] - 2:8 Frontera [2] - 5:24, frustrated [1] - 76:4 frustrations [1] -76:5 full [3] - 50:22, 51:1, 107:19 functional [2] -20:14, 35:13 future [1] - 123:22

G

G.A.B [85] - 8:20, 8:23, 10:3, 10:8, 10:17, 11:7, 12:12, 13:5, 13:12, 13:21, 14:1, 17:16, 17:19, 19:2, 19:3, 19:8, 68:17 22:19, 24:8, 26:9, geographic-based 27:6, 28:15, 29:22, [1] - 68:17 30:9, 33:6, 34:2, geographical [1] -37:12, 39:11, 40:12, 131:17 41:7, 46:21, 47:18, geographically [1] -47:24, 58:16, 59:12, 33:15 60:3, 62:11, 65:2, geography [3] -66:20, 70:24, 72:20, 29:10, 31:13, 57:2 72:24, 74:6, 74:9, **GERALD** [2] - 1:15, 74:11, 74:20, 76:19, 2:14 81:15, 83:25, 84:18, GIS [7] - 38:12, 85:18, 85:23, 86:5, 59:25, 73:6, 73:13, 91:22, 97:4, 97:6, 73:17, 74:3, 74:4 99:13, 99:16, 100:19, given [12] - 26:9, 101:8, 101:13, 104:8, 30:14, 33:5, 33:6, 108:11, 112:20, 35:8, 72:23, 100:18, 114:2, 115:3, 115:22, 110:4, 115:18, 119:6, 116:15, 116:24, 123:9, 138:20 117:9, 117:20, 118:9, **GLADYS** [1] - 1:6 124:25, 125:16, GLORIA [1] - 1:7 126:4, 126:12, goal [1] - 22:8 128:12, 129:3, Godfrey [2] - 5:11, 129:20, 136:7,

136:18, 136:19 G.A.B.'s [14] - 19:24, 72:15, 109:19, 114:5, 115:6, 115:9, 115:10, 118:5, 118:10, 118:24, 119:19, 120:14, 122:25, 124:14 **gathered** [1] - 37:23 General [7] - 2:1, 2:16, 6:10, 102:7, 105:5, 105:10, 107:6 general [17] - 7:16, 9:25, 13:23, 34:12, 58:16, 58:19, 68:19, 96:4, 96:5, 96:6, 96:11, 101:10, 103:20, 117:22, 126:9, 128:1, 128:17 generally [9] - 13:13, 14:5, 50:9, 68:23, 86:11, 86:13, 87:4, 104:23, 110:16 generates [1] - 28:8 Geo [1] - 38:10 geocode [12] -22:24, 24:19, 30:17, 31:14, 31:16, 31:18, 31:22, 35:18, 64:1, 67:17, 69:5 geocodes [3] -30:25, 37:22, 63:17 geocoding [3] - 27:8, 30:13, 53:22 geographic [7] -22:22, 27:9, 41:2, 48:18, 57:20, 59:19,

Н

GWENDOLYNNE [1]

GODFREY [1] - 5:19

govern [2] - 105:8,

government [4] -

Government [17] -

1:13, 2:2, 2:12, 2:16,

8:5, 8:14, 8:21, 9:20,

governmental [1] -

governor [9] - 8:8,

8:12, 9:4, 9:6, 9:13,

9:16, 10:19, 98:22

groups [1] - 74:3

gubernatorial [1] -

guess [1] - 112:1

guideline [2] - 103:2,

guidance [1] -

Guideline [1] -

guys [1] - 84:12

grunt [1] - 62:5

64:25

128:15

108:2

107:23

- 1:10

ground [2] - 63:11,

9:22, 103:2, 103:4,

128:2, 128:12

5:4, 7:17, 8:2, 8:3,

9:2, 9:11, 133:6,

105:23

135:2

hand [11] - 7:20, 17:7, 46:23, 49:12, 76:2, 87:15, 88:23, 91:5, 95:1, 109:13, 139:5 hand-holding [1] -76:2 handed [2] - 36:10, 79:2 handing [6] - 11:11, 14:19, 67:5, 71:7, 102:20, 126:20 happy [1] - 126:21 harm [1] - 117:14 Harmony [2] - 51:16, 52:10 HASSETT [8] - 6:6, 14:21, 83:12, 130:10, 130:12, 130:15, 132:10, 135:13 Hassett [2] - 3:5,

130:23

43 of 53 sheets 2:11-cwwww.FORTPERECORDINAL 02/04/12 OR 95 33 39 03 92 ent 144 Page 8 to 8 of 18

headaches [1] -25:21 headed [1] - 94:13 header [1] - 88:23 heading [14] - 21:11, 38:23, 42:19, 43:18, 44:16, 45:5, 50:17, 60:11, 62:10, 93:19, 95:10, 103:10, 105:3, 106:20 headings [1] - 38:4 hear [1] - 126:22 hearing [3] - 100:6, 106:3, 106:9 Heather [1] - 6:17 Hein [4] - 20:12, 35:20, 36:3, 108:12 Hein's [1] - 35:22 held [13] - 4:13, 78:20, 85:8, 96:4, 96:11, 98:1, 99:10, 99:18, 100:21, 102:14, 103:16, 126:24, 128:17 help [3] - 76:8, 85:3, 91:25 Help[1] - 27:20 helping [1] - 35:18 helps [1] - 69:20 hereby [1] - 138:6 herein [1] - 4:13 hereto [1] - 139:2 hereunto [1] - 139:4 high [2] - 75:3, 75:16 historical [1] - 120:5 historically [1] -131:4 Hodan [2] - 3:6, 136:6 HODAN [57] - 6:13, 10:9, 17:23, 17:25, 26:20, 40:6, 41:16, 42:3, 55:17, 58:12, 58:15, 58:17, 58:20, 60:7, 62:22, 66:6, 73:1, 74:12, 74:19, 80:3, 81:14, 82:25, 83:5, 83:7, 83:18, 84:7, 84:10, 84:12, 84:15, 85:2, 89:18, 94:18, 99:11, 110:25, 111:9, 111:16, 111:24, 112:6, 114:9, 114:18, 114:23, 116:18, 120:18, 121:3, 122:14, 125:19, 125:24, 126:25, 129:6, 130:11, 130:13,

132:8, 132:12,

135:19, 135:24, 136:11, 137:8 hold [3] - 93:22, 100:5, 107:16 holders [1] - 100:12 holding [1] - 76:2 honestly [1] - 47:8 hopefully [2] - 66:22, 100:25 hoping [1] - 82:11 HOUGH [1] - 1:5

ı

idea [3] - 19:25, 28:3, 57:16 ideal [1] - 57:18 identification [11] -7:2, 14:18, 36:9, 67:4, 71:6, 78:24, 82:19, 86:21, 102:19, 126:19, 130:20 Identified [2] - 3:9, 4:2 identified [19] - 12:6, 18:9, 20:5, 32:17, 35:1, 35:24, 37:3, 46:18, 71:10, 71:24, 77:25, 78:11, 87:13, 88:11, 91:6, 91:9, 91:21, 92:11, 127:12 identifier [1] - 32:9 identifies [6] - 45:9, 45:24, 68:13, 70:22, 71:23, 91:19 identify [18] - 15:2, 15:24, 16:18, 20:9, 49:16, 54:1, 56:6, 56:18, 61:5, 71:8, 73:16, 79:9, 86:8, 93:14, 95:4, 103:1, 110:9, 127:10 identifying [1] -17:17 **III** [1] - 1:5 immediate [1] - 13:8 immediately [3] -43:19, 48:4, 118:19 Impact [1] - 42:20 impact [6] - 42:22, 42:25, 66:24, 77:7, 77:21, 100:10 impacted [1] - 43:5 Impacted [4] - 43:19, 44:17, 45:5, 45:20 impacts [1] - 60:5 impermissibly [1] -117:14

impermissibly-

drawn [1] - 117:14 impinge [1] - 23:15 implement [3] -136:8, 136:18, 136:19 implementation [3] -21:6, 74:7, 82:2 implementing [1] important [1] - 96:10 imposed [2] - 27:19, 89:3 imposing [1] - 31:7 impossible [1] -132:15 improper [1] - 115:1 inaccuracies [2] -25:18, 65:19 inaccurate [1] -131:7 **INC** [1] - 2:8 Inc [2] - 5:24, 6:5 include [2] - 53:12, 85:20 included [6] - 39:13, 40:4, 41:12, 41:13, 41:24, 41:25 including [1] - 116:5 incomplete [1] -131:7 inconsistent [1] -122:22 incorrect [4] - 22:14, 51:18, 65:10, 91:1 independent [3] -8:6, 8:23, 9:4 indicate [1] - 114:19 indicated [3] - 73:4, 73:10, 99:21 indicates [3] - 81:22, 94:1, 108:22 indicating [1] -121:14 individual [3] - 7:15, 118:24, 119:11 informal [1] - 73:9 information [22] -12:1, 12:17, 30:10, 30:19, 35:8, 35:19, 37:24, 52:5, 61:25, 68:14, 68:15, 70:13, 70:19, 73:11, 84:6, 84:25, 91:10, 91:12, 91:23, 122:22, 137:16, 137:18 informed [1] - 40:13 Initial [1] - 106:22

116:4, 116:12, 116:16, 125:22 input [6] - 15:19, 16:9, 16:23, 71:19, 71:21, 72:3 inquiries [1] - 75:2 instruct [1] - 112:1 instruction [3] -13:20, 13:21, 112:10 integrate [1] - 30:9 intend [1] - 122:16 intended [3] - 89:24, 92:17 intends [1] - 117:20 interest [1] - 100:6 interested [3] - 80:8, 112:14, 139:3 interests' [1] - 15:25 internal [2] - 14:4, 35:19 internally [1] -110:19 interpretation [1] -41:14 interpreted [1] -21:20 interrogatories [4] -12:5, 12:12, 15:20, 80:23 Interrogatories [9] -3:11, 3:16, 3:19, 15:4, 70:4, 70:14, 70:25, 71:11, 79:12 interrogatory [6] -15:10, 16:8, 16:18, 17:4, 72:19, 81:2 Interrogatory [10] -15:23, 16:24, 71:25, 72:4, 72:22, 72:25, 76:24, 78:7, 78:8, 81:4 intervening [1] -131:23 Intervenor [3] - 1:11, 2:6, 6:8 Intervenor-**Defendants** [1] - 2:6 Intervenor-Plaintiffs [2] - 1:11, 6:8 introduced [4] -10:21, 105:20, 106:2, 106:13 introductory [2] -22:2, 42:23 **involve** [1] - 10:15 involved [5] - 22:24, 31:19, 134:1, 134:14, 134:16

injunctive [4] -

involvement [2] -81:16, 81:17 involving [2] - 81:18, 117:10 issue [9] - 35:4, 54:14, 64:23, 64:25, 69:15, 75:13, 101:14, 101:19, 114:12 **issued** [8] - 90:19, 101:8, 101:13, 102:3, 102:10, 104:19, 115:8, 128:15 issues [15] - 14:6, 18:22, 32:17, 37:21, 49:10, 52:13, 54:13, 58:1, 61:4, 61:8, 68:9, 68:13, 89:19, 133:3, 133:14 issuing [1] - 133:19 IT [1] - 35:16 itself [3] - 10:3, 21:14, 131:11

J

Jackie [1] - 135:15 JACQUELINE [1] -James [1] - 6:16 **JAMES**[1] - 2:4 Janesville [2] -51:16, 52:10 January [20] - 17:15, 17:20, 17:23, 17:24, 18:10, 18:12, 18:13, 47:5, 47:6, 48:23, 49:10, 50:3, 60:20, 69:23, 70:10, 73:14, 85:13, 85:16, 127:19, 127:22 **JEANNE** [1] - 1:7 **Jefferson** [1] - 6:3 job [6] - 25:16, 34:22, 35:9, 48:12, 76:3, 100:15 **JOHNSON** [1] - 1:5 **JOSE** [1] - 2:9 **JPS** [1] - 2:12 JPS-DPW-RMD [1] -2:12 JR [2] - 2:4, 2:4 judge [1] - 132:1 judges [2] - 8:8, 8:10 judgment [8] - 113:2, 113:9, 113:21, 125:6, 125:12, 125:16, 126:2, 126:5 JUDY [1] - 1:7

jump [1] - 55:4

initiated [1] - 128:16

initial [1] - 70:19

Injunctive [1] -

33:25, 34:6, 40:22,

42:22, 42:25, 43:8,

47:19, 47:25, 49:3,

75:2, 77:2, 101:9,

101:25, 104:20,

107:22, 108:16,

113:16, 117:11,

Legislative [2] -

legislators [7] -

74:10, 74:25, 75:10,

76:6, 76:17, 105:6,

legislature [3] -

128:11, 131:5, 132:1

Legislature [23] -

23:7, 24:16, 26:5,

30:21, 46:17, 49:9,

53:11, 53:21, 59:5,

63:19, 68:15, 76:18,

LESLIE [1] - 1:5

letter [8] - 17:15,

18:10, 18:12, 18:13,

69:23, 70:2, 70:10,

level [8] - 10:7,

10:11, 21:17, 68:7,

75:4, 75:17, 133:15,

levels [1] - 135:12

lieutenant [1] - 98:22

likelihood [1] - 51:15

less [1] - 69:7

97:23, 97:24, 106:16,

59:15, 60:6, 63:3,

134:25

73:24

134:19

8:7, 9:8, 10:18, 19:7,

122:2, 128:18

42:19, 46:15

106:6

105:22, 107:7,

June [4] - 96:25, 97:22, 100:25 jurisdiction [1] -114:12 JUSTICE [1] - 6:10

Κ

Kahn [2] - 5:11, 138:9 KAHN [1] - 5:19 keenly [1] - 63:16 keep [3] - 14:6, 75:11, 94:20 **KELLY** [2] - 6:13, 112:13 Kennedy [30] - 4:6, 7:10, 7:12, 8:1, 11:16, 14:19, 20:7, 36:10, 46:5, 49:12, 66:19, 71:7, 79:2, 81:16, 82:9, 83:23, 84:17, 85:11, 90:4, 96:1, 101:8, 102:20, 109:2, 112:9, 121:10, 126:20, 127:6, 129:25, 130:24, 136:7 **KENNEDY** [8] - 1:19, 2:1, 2:15, 3:3, 5:1, 7:4, 138:12, 138:16 kept [1] - 13:13 Kevin [1] - 4:6 **KEVIN** [8] - 1:19, 2:1, 2:15, 3:3, 5:1, 7:4, 138:12, 138:16 **Key** [1] - 3:14 KIND [1] - 1:10 kind [7] - 28:22, 54:18, 68:9, 75:10, 76:8, 96:9, 99:9 kinds [1] - 57:3 knowing [1] - 104:24 knowledge [12] -19:8, 34:4, 34:19, 36:21, 46:11, 47:13, 79:23, 84:23, 93:12, 103:6, 134:12, 138:14 **KRESBACH** [1] - 1:6

L

LA[1] - 2:8 lack [1] - 58:17 laid [1] - 13:19 lands [1] - 31:18 Lane [1] - 6:17 LANGE [1] - 1:6 language [16] -25:25, 42:23, 51:8, 56:21, 57:24, 59:21, 61:4, 62:4, 63:5, 65:4, 65:19, 101:23, 102:5, 102:13, 105:4, 107:3 last [26] - 11:18, 12:21, 12:23, 12:24, 14:16, 15:8, 38:23, 40:11, 41:22, 47:17, 50:11, 50:23, 51:12, 62:10, 71:13, 88:15, 91:18, 92:12, 94:12, 103:14, 113:12, 113:25, 126:22, 129:17, 133:5 latitude [1] - 23:1 law [12] - 16:2, 19:5, 58:23, 72:10, 72:16, 78:15, 119:1, 119:12, 120:22, 136:9, 136:20 Law [7] - 5:11, 5:19, 5:22, 6:3, 6:7, 6:14, 138:9 **LAW** [1] - 6:3 lawful [1] - 5:2 laws [2] - 8:16, 128:14 lawsuit [4] - 12:19, 12:20, 127:24 lawsuits [1] - 10:2 **LAWTON** [1] - 6:7 lawyer [2] - 58:14, 58:21 lawyers [1] - 74:22 laying [1] - 56:9 **LAZAR** [3] - 6:10, 83:3, 83:8 lead [2] - 20:14, 35:13 least [5] - 18:3, 98:23, 127:19, 129:4, 129:20 left [6] - 87:15, 91:5, 91:22, 93:18, 94:1, 95:9 left-hand [2] - 87:15, 91:5 **Legal** [1] - 6:17 legal [10] - 26:13,

114:20, 116:19,

120:19, 124:18,

legislation [7] -

125:20, 129:7

likely [2] - 22:11, 65:7 limit [1] - 80:16 line [31] - 24:19, 25:4, 25:12, 26:2, 30:25, 31:18, 31:19, 31:23, 37:22, 38:2, 50:6, 54:15, 55:3, 55:5, 56:11, 56:17, 57:1, 57:21, 59:25, 58:8, 58:13, 114:10, 61:12, 63:3, 63:11, 64:19, 64:21, 69:20, 81:9, 82:5, 89:11, 91:2, 113:25 lined [1] - 52:16 10:21, 53:20, 97:12, lines [24] - 22:4, 25:23, 26:1, 33:1,

132:18, 134:17, 134:23 lining [3] - 65:18, 69:12, 133:9 list [16] - 23:4, 27:10, 29:4, 29:6, 29:8, 44:25, 54:2, 76:10, 76:11, 77:24, 86:16, 87:2, 91:16, 92:4, 92:5, 113:13 listed [1] - 88:6 listing [3] - 86:22, 87:8, 125:11 lists [4] - 27:24, 28:8, 134:7, 134:11 litigation [8] - 11:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:8, 14:11, 49:14, 74:11, 121:22 **LLC** [1] - 6:3 lobbying [1] - 8:17 local [22] - 19:16, 24:18, 25:21, 28:7, 33:19, 35:15, 48:21, 56:14, 56:18, 60:24, 61:17, 61:23, 62:2, 62:11, 68:8, 133:6, 133:15, 133:17, 134:19, 135:1, 135:12, 137:1 localities [1] - 68:16 locals [3] - 23:13, 25:6, 40:25 locate [1] - 23:5 located [1] - 38:5 location [6] - 27:9, 29:20, 30:18, 55:2, 69:6, 76:13 longitude [1] - 23:1 look [49] - 11:13, 12:12, 12:15, 14:23, 14:24, 17:10, 17:11, 31:20, 32:12, 33:17, 42:14, 42:18, 46:5, 50:16, 50:21, 54:5, 55:3, 56:15, 56:25, 57:17, 67:6, 67:10, 67:15, 67:23, 69:10, 69:21, 70:5, 70:8, 70:9, 76:23, 82:12, 83:23, 86:7, 87:12, 92:13, 93:13, 94:22, 102:22, 102:23, 106:5, 106:14, 109:11, 109:15, 110:17, 113:24,

103:25, 104:2,

131:17, 132:6,

39:16, 77:24, 102:8, 134:19 looking [24] - 28:1, 39:20, 39:21, 40:20, 41:8, 41:20, 41:21, 42:10, 50:24, 57:20, 61:8, 62:25, 68:13, 73:11, 73:20, 73:25, 74:5, 74:9, 85:11, 89:10, 90:22, 105:18, 136:25 looks [1] - 81:24 LR [8] - 30:20, 53:4, 53:7, 53:9, 53:16, 53:17, 53:25, 55:10 LRB [1] - 48:23 **LTSB** [30] - 3:13, 32:6, 32:16, 35:1, 35:5, 35:14, 37:4, 37:8, 37:14, 38:11, 39:10, 39:21, 40:23, 42:25, 43:14, 46:15, 48:22, 86:23, 88:23, 89:7, 90:6, 90:9, 90:13, 91:18, 94:13, 94:14, 94:21, 94:22, 95:20, 95:22 LTSB's [5] - 41:24, 89:13, 90:7, 94:24, 95:24 М

Madison [6] - 1:20, 5:12, 5:20, 6:7, 6:11, 138:10 Main [4] - 5:11, 5:20, 6:11, 138:9 maintain [4] - 16:1, 27:18, 134:2, 134:11 maintained [2] -28:15, 134:8 maintenance [1] -76:10 **majorities** [1] - 120:6 majority [1] - 120:4 manageable [1] -22:7 mandate [1] - 113:16 manner [1] - 133:4 **MANZANET** [1] - 1:6 map [10] - 23:1, 24:11, 26:1, 54:22, 56:19, 63:17, 64:23, 81:17, 82:1, 94:19 mapping [8] - 37:25, 56:3, 57:21, 57:25, 59:19, 63:1, 64:9,

105:4, 105:20, 106:11, 106:12 34:21, 40:22, 61:19, legislative [32] -69:14, 69:16, 69:18, 9:15, 10:24, 19:17, 72:9, 85:20, 102:15, 115:21, 121:12, 127:3 23:12, 23:19, 24:5, 69:19 103:17, 103:23, looked [5] - 38:9, 25:6, 29:19, 30:15, 45 of 53 shedse 2:11-cwww.FORT-PERECORDINAL 12/5N12-ORage 4/608 \$33-0392-ent 144ge 10 to 10 of 18

VIL
maps [47] - 16:20,
23:6, 23:7, 24:13,
24:15, 24:18, 25:1,
25:9, 25:18, 26:15,
26:23, 27:3, 30:18,
31:1, 33:18, 35:7,
38:2, 41:20, 41:21,
42:11, 53:5, 53:7,
53:9, 53:10, 53:16,
53:17, 53:19, 53:25,
54:5, 54:12, 54:13,
54:19, 55:11, 56:2,
56:9, 56:10, 56:25,
69:12, 72:10, 75:4,
81:19, 131:7, 131:17,
131:25, 133:9
March [3] - 98:21,
99:15, 99:16
MARIA [1] - 6:10
mark [7] - 36:6, 67:1,
71:4, 82:16, 102:17,
126:22, 130:16
marked [33] - 4:13,
7:1, 7:20, 11:12,
14:17, 14:20, 17:8,
20:5, 32:8, 32:9,
32:10, 36:8, 36:11,
46:24, 46:25, 49:13,
67:3, 67:6, 69:22,
71:5, 71:8, 78:23,
79:3, 82:18, 83:17,
83:20, 102:18,
102:21, 109:14,
122:12, 126:18,
126:21, 130:19
marks [1] - 122:7
match [10] - 22:12,
24:15, 49:7, 61:10,
62:12, 62:20, 63:18,
65:8, 68:24, 92:16
matched [1] - 40:16
matching [6] - 27:25,
40:21, 44:22, 49:5,
75:4
matter [1] - 20:16
matters [1] - 138:15
MAXINE [1] - 1:5
mean [15] - 9:16,
9:17, 17:23, 30:15,
42:5, 56:13, 57:16,
61:4, 63:23, 65:23,
65:25, 75:22, 99:12,
132:8, 133:6
meaning [2] - 9:12,
87:21
means [4] - 9:4,
25:22, 122:7, 132:18
meant [3] - 44:21,
44:24, 63:14
measured [1] - 87:23
1110030160 [1] - 07.23

```
media [1] - 75:14
 meeting [6] - 32:15,
100:1, 100:5, 103:5,
104:7, 104:18
 member [4] - 9:14,
28:18, 72:20, 100:2
 Members [3] - 1:13,
2:12, 5:4
 members [10] - 9:13,
9:17, 10:16, 13:22,
32:16, 77:24, 104:8,
104:16, 110:12,
112:19
 memo [11] - 47:6,
48:23, 49:10, 50:12,
52:22, 60:19, 62:24,
85:16, 107:12, 108:8,
108:15
 memorandum [16] -
20:6, 20:10, 20:16,
20:21, 20:25, 32:24,
36:1, 49:2, 49:17,
49:22, 85:13, 104:6,
104:11, 104:12,
104:17, 106:20
 memorandums [3] -
17:18, 18:23, 20:4
 memos [1] - 31:7
 mention [1] - 105:19
 mentioned [6] - 8:1,
8:19, 8:23, 30:2,
48:12, 69:4
 Meyer [2] - 35:16,
37:1
 MICHAEL [2] - 1:15,
 middle [2] - 100:25,
107:21
 midst [1] - 101:1
 might [13] - 12:15,
23:15, 25:9, 25:10,
54:6, 66:24, 75:8,
82:12, 89:4, 100:21,
101:17, 134:18,
135:25
 military [1] - 28:10
 Milwaukee [6] - 5:23,
6:4, 6:14, 49:18,
49:20, 73:17
 mind [1] - 83:8
 minimize [1] - 120:7
 minority [1] - 120:7
 minute [7] - 14:23,
54:21, 66:7, 78:17,
95:21, 107:12, 127:3
 minutes [2] - 50:11,
135:25
 misalignment [1] -
```

61:18

misalignments [2] -

```
52:6, 61:2
 mischaracterizatio
n [2] - 26:21, 40:7
 mischaracterizing
[1] - 40:9
 misconceptions [1]
- 133:20
 mismatch [1] - 56:5
 mismatches [2] -
48:16, 64:6
 misses [1] - 131:14
 misstating [1] - 89:6
 mixing [1] - 90:1
 moment [3] - 15:18,
60:18, 130:7
 momentarily [1] -
111:7
 Monday [2] - 96:7,
101:18
 monitoring [1] -
106:15
 month [1] - 14:16
 MOORE [2] - 1:6,
1:10
 morning [3] - 7:10,
7:11, 11:1
 most [8] - 9:23,
13:13, 22:3, 35:14,
37:1, 75:22, 81:24,
97:19
 motion [1] - 130:18
 Motion [1] - 4:7
 move [7] - 11:5,
29:1, 66:19, 81:15,
91:11, 96:2, 100:16
 moved [1] - 132:3
 MR [95] - 10:9, 14:21,
14:22, 17:23, 17:25,
26:20, 36:6, 40:6,
40:8, 41:16, 42:3,
55:17, 55:20, 58:12,
58:15, 58:17, 58:20,
60:7, 62:22, 66:6,
66:9, 71:3, 73:1,
73:22, 74:12, 74:19,
78:16, 80:3, 81:14,
82:3, 82:15, 82:20,
82:25, 83:5, 83:6,
83:7, 83:10, 83:12,
83:14, 83:18, 84:7,
84:10, 84:12, 84:15,
85:2, 89:18, 90:3,
94:18, 99:11, 102:16,
110:25, 111:3, 111:6,
111:9, 111:12,
111:14, 111:16,
111:18, 111:24,
112:3, 112:6, 112:13,
112:16, 114:9,
114:15, 114:18,
```

```
114:23, 114:25,
116:18, 120:18,
121:3, 122:14,
125:19, 125:24,
126:25, 129:6,
129:16, 130:8,
130:10, 130:11,
130:12, 130:13,
130:15, 132:8,
132:10, 132:12,
135:13, 135:15,
135:18, 135:19,
135:24, 136:11,
136:13, 137:8, 137:20
 MS [3] - 83:3, 83:8,
135:17
 Municipal [3] -
20:18, 50:7, 50:18
 municipal [22] -
21:7, 21:18, 25:16,
26:2, 27:3, 29:11,
32:23, 46:8, 49:18,
51:6, 53:13, 54:5,
54:17, 56:10, 56:17,
61:12, 63:12, 64:21,
69:14, 73:5, 74:4,
108:9
 municipalities [18] -
19:15, 22:15, 23:8,
27:2, 28:2, 30:19,
51:19, 53:14, 61:8,
65:10, 69:17, 86:17,
91:25, 92:2, 134:7,
134:9, 134:10, 135:5
 municipalities' [1] -
134:22
 municipality [22] -
24:22, 29:12, 53:4,
53:25, 54:7, 54:9,
54:11, 54:20, 54:22,
54:24, 56:20, 59:22,
61:6, 61:7, 63:13,
65:20, 66:2, 66:3,
69:7, 69:18, 86:20,
92:4
 must [3] - 21:21,
96:24, 107:6
           Ν
```

name [1] - 91:15 named [3] - 7:15, 127:25, 138:11 names [9] - 72:19, 72:23, 72:24, 73:12, 76:11, 76:12, 78:10, 86:21, 92:5 narrow [2] - 70:12, 74:2 Nat [1] - 35:22

```
Nathaniel [3] - 20:10,
49:21, 108:10
 need [14] - 17:11,
28:21, 36:12, 40:10,
40:19, 40:24, 56:15,
76:1, 76:3, 78:16,
92:25, 96:18, 110:13,
127:4
 needs [3] - 58:8,
58:9, 68:25
 never [6] - 27:1,
61:16, 69:11, 83:8,
131:13, 133:11
 new [10] - 16:2,
63:24, 63:25, 103:11,
105:7, 105:8, 105:19,
105:22, 116:6, 135:8
 news [1] - 75:15
 next [17] - 36:7,
42:24, 63:24, 64:10,
70:17, 77:5, 78:6,
81:6, 96:4, 96:5,
104:5, 105:18,
106:18, 107:2,
107:18, 108:4, 121:7
 NICHOL [2] - 1:15,
 nominated [1] - 8:9
 nomination [5] -
96:19, 96:20, 96:21,
97:3, 97:8
 none [1] - 100:1
 nonetheless [3] -
16:14, 17:4, 52:18
 nonpartisan [1] - 8:6
 nonvoting [1] -
132:4
 normal [3] - 13:9,
13:11, 75:19
 North [3] - 5:23, 6:3,
6:14
 Nos [1] - 82:18
 notarial [1] - 139:5
 Notary [3] - 5:9,
138:4, 139:8
 note [4] - 37:3, 44:8,
72:22, 83:24
 nothing [6] - 12:9,
14:2, 46:13, 135:14,
137:10, 138:13
 notice [2] - 5:7,
138:6
 Notice [5] - 3:10,
7:13, 7:21, 7:23,
17:16
 notification [1] -
39:15
 notified [2] - 39:11,
41:7
 notify [1] - 14:5
```

November [22] -11:20, 17:19, 20:6, 32:25, 36:1, 50:12, 96:7, 100:9, 101:18, 102:2, 102:10, 102:11, 103:5, 103:20, 104:7, 104:13, 104:18, 105:24, 106:20, 108:8, 108:15, 128:17 nowunconstitutional [2] -117:23, 119:8 number [30] - 9:24, 12:16, 15:18, 20:20, 33:12, 33:24, 35:6, 38:4, 38:17, 43:4, 43:7, 44:1, 55:24, 60:10, 60:12, 66:11, 66:17, 67:15, 69:2, 74:17, 82:9, 87:7, 87:9, 88:13, 113:10, 120:1, 123:19, 125:17, 127:17, 128:23 **numbered** [2] - 55:6, 106:24 **numbers** [9] - 44:19, 45:13, 45:15, 46:2, 46:11, 83:25, 86:17, 86:18

0

oath [2] - 7:6, 138:17 object [8] - 10:9, 55:17, 60:7, 73:1, 99:11. 111:10. 114:25, 122:14 objection [21] -26:20, 40:6, 58:12, 58:15, 77:4, 80:3, 89:18, 89:25, 110:25, 111:24, 114:9, 114:19, 115:1, 115:2, 116:18, 120:18, 121:3, 125:19, 125:24, 129:6, 137:8 objections [8] - 77:6, 98:2, 98:11, 98:16, 110:21, 111:21, 112:19, 112:20 obviously [11] -10:20, 31:17, 58:8, 62:1, 68:9, 74:16, 75:14, 91:11, 92:4, 100:12, 104:21 Obviously [1] -51:14 occur [4] - 22:10,

96:14, 97:21, 101:17 **OF** [6] - 1:1, 6:3, 6:10, 138:1, 138:2 offer [1] - 109:12 offhand [1] - 87:12 office [5] - 8:6, 86:20, 96:24, 100:12, 103:15 **OFFICE** [1] - 6:3 offices [2] - 5:10, 138:8 official [5] - 1:14, 2:13, 7:16, 22:6, 33:20 officials [11] - 25:22, 28:7, 28:12, 35:15, 48:21, 56:14, 60:25, 61:23, 68:8, 133:18, 137:2 often [3] - 10:12, 25:24, 27:16 Often [1] - 10:13 oftentimes [1] -54:16 old [5] - 108:17, 108:22, 118:14, 118:18, 128:18 OLGA [1] - 2:9 on-the-ground [1] -64:25 once [2] - 14:15, 78:15 One [3] - 5:11, 5:20, one [33] - 7:15,

138:9 17:19, 17:20, 20:14, 28:4, 31:7, 31:8, 31:9, 32:3, 35:16, 35:17, 36:6, 39:23, 42:6, 52:3, 53:3, 63:24, 65:20, 66:11, 69:21, 73:16, 83:9, 83:11, 87:4, 87:15, 92:11, 118:16, 125:3, 125:17, 128:23,

132:25, 136:7 ones [3] - 25:12,

38:21, 68:12

ongoing [2] - 62:17, 63:23

openly [1] - 131:19 operable [1] - 121:1 opinion [21] - 19:24, 60:17, 101:13, 102:3, 102:10, 104:19, 104:21, 104:23, 104:25, 105:12,

105:14, 105:15, 105:16, 107:11, 107:14, 107:16,

115:8, 118:10, 131:4, 131:9 opinions [3] - 101:8, 102:7, 105:5 opportunity [4] -14:24, 67:10, 102:23, 110:4 opposed [2] - 39:23, 41:2 orally [1] - 13:24 oranges [1] - 90:2 order [4] - 21:22, 22:4, 28:13, 117:19 Order [1] - 4:7

original [7] - 4:10, 4:24, 25:25, 26:6, 59:20, 80:4 originals [1] - 83:7 originated [1] - 28:5

otherwise [2] -117:8, 130:13 outdated [1] - 131:22 outlined [1] - 33:1 outside [7] - 24:21,

54:19, 54:22, 54:23, 56:20, 63:14, 74:20 overlaid [4] - 25:10, 26:23, 94:19, 95:2

overlay [7] - 26:18, 41:2, 54:19, 63:2, 94:21, 94:23, 95:24 overlaying [1] - 42:6

overlays [2] - 48:18, 53:21

overrepresented [1] - 132:21

overseas [1] - 28:11 oversight [1] - 10:25 own [5] - 54:20, 61:10, 98:7, 99:24, 100:13

Ρ

p.m [5] - 96:25, 135:22, 136:3, 137:22, 137:24 page [82] - 11:18, 15:8, 15:17, 16:6, 16:17, 20:17, 21:3, 21:10, 36:12, 37:4, 37:7, 38:7, 42:14, 42:18, 45:3, 45:4, 45:19, 50:16, 50:17, 52:25, 60:10, 60:12, 65:1, 67:15, 67:19, 67:23, 70:5, 70:7, 71:13, 71:22, 71:23, 71:24, 72:18, 76:23,

78:6, 79:10, 79:14, 79:16, 79:18, 79:21, 81:3, 81:6, 81:9, 83:16, 83:25, 84:1, 85:15, 85:17, 102:25, 103:7, 104:5, 106:18, 106:19, 106:21, 107:18, 107:21, 108:4, 108:15, 112:12, 112:23, 112:24, 116:9, 117:3, 120:2, 121:17, 121:19, 123:19, 124:5, 125:4, 125:5, 125:6, 125:8, 125:9, 125:10, 127:17, 128:4, 128:5 Pages [1] - 3:2

pages [2] - 18:10, 46:6

panel [2] - 8:10, 132:1

panels [1] - 131:6 papers [5] - 96:19, 96:20, 96:22, 97:3, 97:8

paragraph [70] -

21:4, 21:15, 22:2, 32:20, 33:12, 33:24, 47:17, 50:22, 50:23, 51:2, 51:13, 55:6, 62:10, 65:5, 70:18, 72:6, 81:12, 85:18, 103:9, 103:14, 105:2, 105:18, 105:19, 107:2, 107:19,

107:20, 113:25, 115:23, 116:10, 117:5, 117:6, 118:3, 118:7, 118:19, 118:20, 119:17,

119:20, 120:1, 120:11, 120:12, 120:16, 121:17, 121:18, 122:3, 123:1, 123:4, 123:5, 123:9,

123:13, 123:19, 124:2, 124:3, 124:9, 124:11, 124:16,

124:23, 125:1, 125:6, 125:22, 126:4, 128:8, 128:23, 129:1, 129:5,

129:23, 131:4, 131:22, 132:9, 132:10 paragraphs [4] -

53:1, 106:24, 120:8, 131:11 Pardon [1] - 83:5

part [15] - 9:3, 24:16, 25:10, 30:23, 38:9,

42:24, 52:18, 53:17, 64:15, 70:7, 73:2, 75:19, 75:23, 76:9, 86:3 part-time [1] - 75:23 participate [5] -47:10, 80:1, 80:17, 113:17, 122:2

participated [1] -

80:24

particular [33] - 9:1, 9:10, 11:3, 14:1, 14:10, 20:25, 22:25, 23:5, 27:12, 29:10, 29:20, 29:24, 31:24, 35:3. 39:17. 42:15. 56:13, 56:24, 61:6, 68:12, 74:7, 77:13, 80:7, 80:22, 80:23, 89:15, 90:8, 91:7, 92:14, 94:16, 103:7, 121:15

particularly [2] -52:3, 68:12 parties [4] - 73:2, 108:10, 138:24, 139:2 parts [5] - 18:3, 55:22, 56:22, 56:23, 63:4

party [1] - 120:7 pass [1] - 29:2 passed [2] - 11:8, 23:18

passing [1] - 12:1 past [4] - 20:1, 133:10, 133:12, 133:14

Patrick [1] - 73:23

PATRICK [1] - 6:13 **PAUL** [1] - 2:4 pay [1] - 28:22 Peggy [2] - 1:21, 5:8 **PEGGY** [1] - 138:3 **pending** [6] - 5:5, 14:3, 14:4, 106:11,

106:13, 121:22 penultimately [1] -21:2

people [17] - 29:15, 34:21, 72:24, 73:17, 73:18, 74:3, 74:15, 74:17, 75:5, 96:17, 131:12, 131:14, 132:3, 132:4, 133:17, 133:18, 134:4 per [1] - 38:13

PEREZ [1] - 2:9 perform [1] - 133:7 perhaps [1] - 61:17 period [3] - 98:15,

129:11, 131:24 permissible [1] -118:22 person [6] - 33:14, 35:14, 37:1, 72:20, 75:14, 138:12 personal [1] - 47:13 persons [1] - 50:2 perspective [2] -76:9, 137:11 pertaining [1] -84:25 pertains [3] - 46:7, 50:9 Peter [1] - 135:15 **PETER** [2] - 6:2, 6:3 petitioners [2] -99:22, 100:13 petitions [9] - 96:18, 98:5, 98:8, 98:12, 98:16, 98:19, 99:1, 99:18, 100:22 **PETRI** [1] - 2:4 picture [2] - 25:17, 63:9 pictures [1] - 63:5 place [11] - 27:5, 27:21, 28:4, 33:22, 62:7, 63:6, 91:14, 102:1, 108:23, 108:24, 134:5 placed [15] - 27:4, 48:13, 53:4, 53:24, 55:9, 55:16, 55:25, 57:14, 64:2, 87:20, 88:19, 89:8, 90:21, 94:15, 94:21 placement [2] -42:11, 60:4 places [2] - 88:4, 88:88 placing [2] - 25:13, 59:17 plain [1] - 107:3 **Plaintiffs** [10] - 1:9, 1:11, 2:10, 5:3, 5:4, 5:21, 5:24, 6:4, 6:8, 109:21 plaintiffs [19] - 11:6, 70:3, 113:1, 113:8, 113:15, 113:20, 114:3, 114:6, 114:7, 115:22, 116:3, 116:11, 116:16, 117:15, 118:25, 119:11, 121:25, 125:18, 125:23 plaintiffs' [4] - 15:20, 73:10, 84:24, 115:24 Plaintiffs' [17] - 3:11,

3:15, 3:18, 4:3, 15:4, 70:13, 70:25, 71:11, 79:11, 109:20, 113:4, 117:6, 118:7, 118:20, 119:20, 121:11, 123:20 Plan [2] - 22:1, 65:2 **plan** [6] - 22:17, 22:18, 24:8, 65:4, 128:11, 128:20 plan) [1] - 128:20 plans [1] - 135:8 play [1] - 19:3 **played** [1] - 19:2 pleading [2] -115:16, 126:13 pleasure [2] - 9:16, 9:18 point [14] - 22:25, 29:10, 29:25, 31:13, 56:23, 57:23, 64:14, 64:15, 99:14, 106:10, 106:17, 108:14, 112:2, 113:13 points [1] - 113:10 POLAND [28] - 5:19, 14:22, 36:6, 40:8, 55:20, 66:9, 71:3, 73:22, 78:16, 82:3, 82:15, 82:20, 83:6, 83:10, 83:14, 90:3, 102:16, 111:3, 111:14, 111:18, 112:3, 112:16, 114:15, 129:16, 130:8, 135:15, 136:13, 137:20 **Poland** [4] - 3:4, 4:25, 7:9, 136:17 **policies** [1] - 14:2 policy [6] - 13:16, 13:18, 121:21, 122:6, 122:8, 122:13 political [1] - 120:6 poll [3] - 27:24, 28:8, 29:4 **polling** [2] - 62:7, 91:14 population [30] -33:25, 34:7, 34:12, 34:14, 34:19, 51:15, 52:9, 52:13, 52:18, 52:21, 57:7, 58:3, 58:7, 59:6, 59:8, 59:14, 59:17, 60:5, 77:1, 77:7, 77:22, 93:6, 93:7, 93:8, 132:16, 134:15, 134:21, 137:6, 137:11

populations [7] -

24:4, 34:14, 57:6, 57:10, 57:15, 57:18, 58:24 Porter [1] - 6:16 portrayed [4] -46:12, 86:25, 87:18, 95:1 position [10] - 114:5, 114:6, 115:6, 115:9, 118:6, 120:14, 120:15, 120:25, 124:14, 124:25 **positions** [1] - 8:2 possession [1] possible [3] - 22:4, 22:9, 100:16 post [1] - 86:20 potential [2] - 66:23, 96:21 practical [2] - 21:5, 64:25 practice [4] - 9:24, 13:10, 13:11, 21:21 pre [2] - 22:11, 65:7 pre-Spring [2] -22:11, 65:7 precise [3] - 37:24, 77:1, 132:16 precisely [4] - 22:12, 62:13, 62:21, 72:7 **precision** [1] - 96:10 predicate [2] - 50:25, 121:16 preliminary [1] -70:12 preparation [2] -31:6, 71:19 preparations [1] -78:13 prepare [7] - 37:14, 77:13, 78:9, 84:10, 99:24, 104:13, 104:17 prepared [19] -16:12, 17:2, 17:18, 47:15, 49:23, 49:24, 49:25, 50:3, 84:5, 84:18, 84:20, 101:20, 103:2, 104:11, 104:12, 104:15, 104:25, 119:22, 120:21 preparing [4] - 78:8, 80:24, 81:8, 109:8 prescribed [2] -22:13, 65:9 present [2] - 6:16, 16:13 presented [6] -

120:22, 125:21, 126:14, 127:7 presently [1] -122:17 preserve [2] - 13:6, 120:6 **press** [1] - 76:6 pretty [3] - 14:7, 58:9, 101:23 previous [4] - 19:12, 71:23, 103:16, 103:23 previously [8] - 4:13, 11:12, 17:8, 20:5, 49:13, 73:4, 109:13, 130:18 **primaries** [1] - 96:18 primarily [6] - 13:24, 48:17, 48:21, 49:3, 56:14, 101:21 **primary** [7] - 50:2, 96:11, 96:14, 97:11, 97:16, 102:2, 126:8 privilege [2] - 111:1, 111:25 problem [3] - 33:16, 56:19, 63:7 problems [6] - 49:4, 56:7, 61:1, 133:9, 133:10, 135:3 procedure [2] -10:23, 19:19 procedures [1] proceedings [2] -66:15, 78:22 process [18] - 19:3, 19:4, 19:9, 19:16, 20:1, 24:22, 30:12, 32:22, 62:16, 62:17, 63:20, 63:23, 64:16, 81:18, 82:1, 101:7, 135:1 produced [1] - 82:10 Production [9] -3:12, 3:16, 3:19, 15:5, 70:4, 70:15, 71:1, 71:12, 79:12 production [1] - 12:6 Professional [4] -1:22, 5:9, 138:4, 139:9 prohibiting [1] -116:4 prohibition [1] -64:13 project [1] - 31:19 projected [2] - 25:19, 63:9 projection [3] -

54:13, 63:13, 63:18

proper [8] - 41:1, 42:13, 54:10, 56:5, 68:18, 124:18, 137:3, properly [5] - 25:24, 40:19, 62:9, 78:3, 93:1 **property** [1] - 26:3 propositions [1] -89:23 Prospect [1] - 5:23 prospects [1] - 120:7 protection [2] -113:15, 122:1 **Protective** [1] - 4:7 provide [5] - 12:2, 12:4, 70:18, 70:24, 82:16 provided [23] - 4:10, 13:24, 23:6, 24:16, 30:21, 32:7, 39:9, 40:15, 40:21, 41:8, 51:5, 53:10, 53:20, 63:3, 66:20, 68:14, 68:15, 84:6, 109:18, 109:24, 110:16, 133:20, 135:11 providing [2] -120:24, 135:10 **public** [4] - 28:18, 28:21, 104:24, 131:23 **Public** [3] - 5:9, 138:4, 139:8 purported [1] - 113:6 purpose [2] - 86:14, purposes [4] - 90:15, 93:6, 93:8, 96:10 pursuant [9] - 5:7, 7:12, 7:24, 28:15, 28:18, 90:9, 113:17, 122:2, 138:6 put [16] - 24:11, 26:16, 26:18, 30:19, 30:22, 31:1, 33:5, 34:2, 53:13, 54:12, 59:13, 76:9, 78:2, 86:24, 97:10, 133:18 puts [1] - 22:25 putting [2] - 23:3, 50:2

Q

qualified [1] - 138:5 questioning [1] questions [16] -36:13, 38:6, 67:7,

61:10, 109:10,

75:15, 80:16, 81:25, 82:4, 82:13, 85:4, 104:20, 125:25, 130:9, 132:23, 135:16, 136:12, 137:20 quickly [3] - 48:20, 99:5, 100:16 quite [1] - 27:1 quo [1] - 121:23 quotation [1] - 122:7

R

raised [5] - 18:22, 32:21, 49:10, 110:22, 111:21 **RAMIREZ** [1] - 2:9 **RAMIRO** [1] - 2:9 ran [1] - 40:15 randomly [1] - 8:10 range [1] - 100:19 rather [7] - 23:3, 25:14, 27:9, 49:5, 69:6, 82:1, 132:9 ratified [1] - 101:21 **RE**[1] - 136:16 **RE-EXAMINATION** [1] - 136:16 reach [1] - 22:7 reached [1] - 20:25 read [11] - 20:22, 60:21, 78:9, 111:6, 111:15, 111:17, 114:15, 114:17, 123:8, 129:16, 129:18 reading [5] - 102:5, 110:11, 123:8, 124:6, 138:20 reads [6] - 20:17, 42:23, 50:24, 51:5, 77:5, 105:3 reality [1] - 63:11 really [16] - 25:11, 25:13, 25:19, 25:20, 28:6, 28:12, 31:7, 31:12, 34:18, 42:15, 47:8, 54:8, 57:5, 61:20, 64:20, 65:21 Realtime [1] - 139:9 reason [1] - 44:15 reasonably [1] -106:4 reasons [3] - 30:7, 69:3, 131:10 received [6] - 11:5, 29:22, 30:10, 31:14,

38:12

receiving [1] - 12:11

Recess [2] - 66:13, 136:1 recharacterization [1] - 84:14 recipient [1] - 35:25 recognize [3] -57:24, 93:7, 130:25 recollection [1] -12:25 recommends [1] -107:22 record [29] - 15:2, 20:23, 42:4, 49:16, 66:12, 66:18, 71:9, 78:17, 78:19, 78:20, 79:1, 79:9, 80:6, 81:14, 83:24, 84:8, 85:4, 85:7, 85:8, 85:10, 93:15, 95:4, 108:8, 126:24, 127:10, 135:23, 136:3, 137:23, 138:19 records [12] - 13:6, 26:3, 28:1, 28:4, 39:14, 41:11, 54:9, 54:24, 56:18, 59:22, 59:23, 59:24 redistricting [25] -16:2, 19:2, 19:4, 19:9, 19:13, 19:16, 19:20, 22:22, 24:17, 30:15, 35:7, 58:23, 72:10, 75:19, 76:15, 128:11, 128:20, 131:6, 131:25, 133:4, 133:23, 134:13, 135:1, 135:2, 135:4 Redistricting [2] -3:14, 50:6 reduced [1] - 138:17 refer [3] - 48:20, 103:24, 130:24 reference [9] - 57:23, 67:17, 67:19, 67:23, 85:16, 103:19, 103:23, 107:19, 122:6 referenced [2] -72:21, 95:7 referred [5] - 4:13, 27:16, 53:7, 55:13, 55:23 referring [2] - 74:15, 74:19 refers [3] - 8:20, 103:19, 131:10 reflect [2] - 89:1, 103:16 reflected [2] - 33:15, 77:2

reflecting [1] - 63:15

reflection [2] - 87:25, 88:7 reflects [6] - 40:12, 89:2, 103:3, 110:10, 122:16, 129:14 refresh [1] - 12:25 regarding [2] - 21:6, regardless [1] - 22:9 Regardless [1] -65:6 regional [1] - 29:16 Registered [4] -1:22, 5:8, 138:3, 139:9 registered [16] -22:23, 27:17, 29:5, 32:2, 32:3, 34:18, 34:22, 47:19, 47:25, 53:3, 53:24, 55:9, 55:13, 55:23, 62:23, 134:5 registers [1] - 63:25 Registration [6] -20:14, 27:15, 87:22, 90:20, 133:13, 134:3 registration [11] -22:21, 24:11, 26:17, 26:24, 27:25, 28:3, 29:9, 88:13, 134:7, 134:8, 134:11 registrations [1] regular [2] - 123:23, 126:8 regularly [1] - 101:24 **REID** [1] - 2:5 **REINHART** [1] - 6:13 reiterate [1] - 114:18 reiterated [1] - 14:15 relate [1] - 81:25 related [2] - 81:20, 138:23 relates [2] - 14:2, 132:25 relating [3] - 8:16, 13:14, 14:5 relative [1] - 139:1 released [2] -131:23, 131:24 Relief [3] - 4:5, 109:22, 127:13 relief [9] - 113:22, 114:3, 114:8, 115:23, 116:4, 116:12, 116:17, 125:17, 125:23 rely [3] - 28:13, 81:23, 120:23

remain [1] - 123:16 remaining [4] - 46:6, 119:16, 125:25, 134:9 repeats [1] - 65:3 rephrase [1] - 40:10 replies [2] - 98:6, 99:23 report [19] - 3:20, 3:21, 3:22, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 64:5, 67:17, 67:21, 67:24, 69:9, 83:19, 86:10, 91:24, 92:3, 92:23, 93:17, 95:7, 99:25 Reporter [5] - 1:22, 5:9, 138:4, 139:9, 139:9 REPORTER [1] -111:8 reports [9] - 40:18, 54:2, 68:2, 68:4, 68:11, 73:8, 86:1, 91:2, 137:1 Reports [1] - 50:18 represent [6] -32:10, 39:6, 39:7, 45:15, 46:2, 121:10 representing [1] -105:6 represents [3] -41:6, 44:2, 44:6 reprinted [2] - 117:8, 119:4 Republican [1] -120:3 request [5] - 73:3, 80:7, 84:20, 84:24, 116:3 Request [9] - 3:12, 3:16, 3:19, 15:5, 70:4, 70:14, 71:1, 71:12, 79:12 requests [6] - 11:6, 11:9, 11:10, 12:1, 12:6, 12:14 require [2] - 64:11, 134:21 required [4] - 27:18, 28:14, 28:17, 97:14 requirement [2] -27:19, 28:6 requirements [1] -28:11 requires [1] - 13:16 reregister [1] - 29:2 Research [1] - 46:16 resolution [2] -76:19, 121:22 resolve [3] - 74:8, 75:12, 91:25

resolving [1] - 63:21 respect [12] - 12:8, 14:10, 25:5, 25:7, 45:19, 65:17, 73:8, 93:23, 101:24, 114:11, 118:15, 134:2 respectively [1] -98:22 respond [1] - 99:22 responding [3] -12:3, 12:5, 116:23 response [23] -12:11, 12:14, 16:8, 16:14, 16:23, 17:5, 71:25, 72:3, 76:8, 78:8, 78:9, 80:25, 81:20, 84:23, 84:24, 101:20, 104:20, 109:12, 115:17, 116:21, 118:7, 118:8, 125:1 responses [11] -11:10, 15:19, 70:24, 71:20, 79:23, 80:1, 80:12, 80:13, 81:25, 98:6, 120:24 Responses [2] -3:15, 71:10 responsibilities [1] -117:10 responsibility [4] -12:10, 35:4, 49:6, 134:4 responsible [4] -8:15, 9:23, 120:22, 128:13 responsive [2] -12:13, 72:25 restate [4] - 40:10, 114:14, 117:5, 129:14 restated [4] - 118:19, 121:18, 123:21, 124:17 restatement [2] -112:24, 128:6 restates [1] - 113:3 result [2] - 48:23, 135:12 resulted [1] - 31:6 retain [1] - 13:22 retention [4] - 13:10, 13:11, 13:16, 13:18 retooled [2] - 22:21, 137:19 **return** [1] - 110:18 review [5] - 20:22, 79:20, 98:7, 110:2, 110:5 reviewed [4] - 77:12, 80:20, 81:21, 110:3

relying [1] - 90:13

54:17, 55:11, 55:12,

56:11, 60:14, 62:13,

reviewing [2] -17:12, 30:24 reviews [2] - 98:4, 98:5 **RIBBLE** [1] - 2:5 **RICHARD** [2] - 1:6 right-hand [2] -88:23, 95:1 rights [4] - 117:16, 118:25, 119:12, 119:16 **RISSEEUW** [1] - 1:7 **RMD**[1] - 2:12 Robinson [8] -20:11, 35:22, 35:24, 36:3, 49:21, 49:23, 108:10, 108:20 **ROBSON** [1] - 1:7 **ROCHELLE** [1] - 1:6 Rock [2] - 51:3, 51:5 **ROGERS** [1] - 1:7 role [5] - 19:2, 19:3, 61:22, 78:12, 78:14 **RON** [1] - 1:4 **RONALD** [2] - 1:3, 1:10 Ross [3] - 20:12, 35:20, 108:12 routinely [1] - 11:25 row [3] - 41:10, 41:23, 92:11 RPR [1] - 1:21 rule [1] - 34:12 rules [2] - 10:23, 123:24 ruling [1] - 130:5 run [3] - 28:7, 33:16, 92:3 running [1] - 56:8 runs [1] - 125:9 **RYAN** [1] - 2:4 S

S.C [5] - 5:11, 5:19, 6:7, 6:13, 138:9 **SANCHEZ** [1] - 1:7 SANCHEZ-BELL [1] - 1:7 **sanitary** [1] - 29:15 Sarah [5] - 20:13, 35:13, 35:21, 50:1, 108:12 **sat** [1] - 77:23 saves [1] - 57:3 saving [1] - 57:25 saw [5] - 11:22, 11:24, 49:2, 75:25, 80:12

scenes [1] - 62:6 schedule [1] - 99:25 scheduled [5] - 98:1, 98:3, 98:4, 101:24, 117:21 **SCHLIEPP** [1] - 1:7 school [7] - 23:14, 25:8, 29:14, 62:2, 67:24, 69:13, 69:17 scope [2] - 70:12, 100:3 **SCOTT** [1] - 6:6 screens [1] - 75:25 scrivener's [2] -65:22, 65:23 seal [1] - 139:5 **SEAN** [1] - 2:5 Second [25] - 3:16, 3:16, 4:3, 70:3, 70:4, 70:14, 70:25, 71:1, 71:11, 71:12, 109:5, 109:9, 109:21, 112:25, 113:4, 115:11, 115:14, 117:7, 118:20, 119:21, 121:11, 123:1, 123:10, 123:20 second [28] - 21:5, 21:14, 23:16, 27:1, 33:23, 38:22, 40:11, 50:22, 50:23, 51:1, 51:12, 52:3, 55:3, 57:9, 70:10, 72:6, 79:10, 81:9, 84:1, 88:11, 96:15, 97:13, 102:25, 105:3, 107:19, 108:14, 125:22 **second-to** [1] - 38:22 second-to-the-last [3] - 40:11, 50:23, 51:12 **Section** [1] - 106:21 section [2] - 21:14, 107:4 sections [2] - 119:2, 119:13 see [108] - 11:19, 11:20, 15:9, 15:12, 16:3, 16:20, 17:20, 21:8, 21:12, 21:23, 22:15, 30:17, 33:2,

36:18, 36:20, 37:5,

39:3, 42:18, 43:20,

45:3, 45:11, 47:4,

47:20, 48:7, 50:7,

53:1, 54:6, 54:8,

50:19, 51:10, 51:19,

38:15, 38:22, 38:24,

scanned [1] - 98:19

63:9, 64:22, 65:11, 66:4, 67:16, 67:25, 70:6, 70:15, 71:25, 72:11, 76:24, 77:8, 85:21, 88:15, 88:24, 90:23, 100:24, 103:12, 103:17, 105:10, 105:24, 106:22, 107:9, 107:24, 108:18, 112:23, 113:1, 113:8, 113:18, 113:19, 114:1, 115:25, 116:1, 116:2, 116:7, 116:8, 116:9, 116:12, 117:16, 117:17, 117:25, 118:1, 118:3, 119:2, 119:6, 119:17, 120:9, 120:12, 121:13, 122:3, 122:5, 122:8, 122:23, 123:6, 123:14, 124:1, 124:8, 124:11, 124:20, 124:23, 125:5, 125:14, 126:10, 127:8, 127:18, 128:5, 128:21, 129:1 seeing [2] - 108:6, 115:16 seek [2] - 113:1, 113:9 seeking [2] - 70:20, 113:21 seem [2] - 24:15, segment [1] - 52:21 **selected** [1] - 8:10 selection [1] - 8:12 **SELIGMAN** [1] - 5:19 **Seligman** [1] - 66:14 Senate [20] - 3:21, 8:13, 21:16, 23:23, 43:5, 45:5, 51:9, 83:1, 83:20, 93:16, 93:19, 93:23, 94:1, 94:8, 94:13, 94:14, 94:25, 98:15, 98:23, 99:2 **senators** [1] - 105:22 **sense** [1] - 68:6 **SENSENBRENNER** [1] - 2:4 sent [2] - 50:3, 73:13 **sentence** [23] - 21:5, 32:21, 48:4, 50:23, 50:24, 51:1, 51:4, 51:13, 55:7, 55:14, 55:23, 57:9, 64:10, 70:10, 70:17, 77:5,

103:14, 105:3, 107:2, 128:25, 129:5, 129:9, 129:22 sentences [2] -47:17, 51:21 separate [1] - 83:14 September [1] -97:13 **series** [1] - 13:2 serve [4] - 9:16, 9:17, 74:18, 123:22 **served** [1] - 91:14 service [1] - 49:3 set [24] - 12:11, 15:20, 17:7, 24:3, 38:17, 46:14, 66:4, 82:9, 83:9, 96:1, 97:5, 98:13, 99:5, 100:5, 100:8, 114:8, 119:19, 121:17, 121:18, 123:5, 126:17, 135:3, 137:14, 139:4 **Set** [9] - 3:11, 3:16, 3:18, 15:4, 70:3, 70:14, 70:25, 71:11, 79:11 sets [3] - 113:9, 115:24, 120:8 settled [2] - 22:18, 22:20 settling [1] - 19:8 seven [2] - 18:15, several [1] - 17:14 **Several** [1] - 21:5 sewage [1] - 29:15 Shane [3] - 20:15, 35:19, 50:1 shape [58] - 26:14, 29:23, 30:14, 30:18, 31:7, 31:8, 31:11, 31:12, 33:18, 37:4, 37:8, 37:22, 38:11, 38:14, 39:8, 39:20, 39:21, 40:17, 40:20, 41:17, 41:19, 41:24, 42:6, 42:7, 42:8, 43:1, 43:15, 44:22, 48:16, 49:5, 49:6, 52:6, 53:18, 55:18, 62:25, 63:2, 65:14, 65:18, 86:22, 86:24, 89:2, 89:7, 89:10, 89:13, 89:21, 90:6, 90:7, 90:9, 90:14, 90:25, 94:14, 94:22, 94:24, 95:24 **Shape** [1] - 3:13 shapes [2] - 25:14, 31:21

SHEILA [1] - 1:4 **shift** [4] - 51:15, 52:9, 76:14, 97:14 shifted [2] - 68:16, 132:6 short [1] - 135:20 **shortcut** [1] - 85:2 **show** [6] - 38:13, 52:6, 56:20, 69:6, 86:14, 91:15 **showed** [1] - 91:13 **shows** [5] - 54:7, 54:22, 54:23, 63:14, 86:16 side [5] - 46:14, 66:5, 87:16, 91:5, 126:17 signature [4] - 15:12, 15:15, 71:14, 79:16 signed [2] - 71:16, 79:20 significant [1] -10:15 significantly [1] -76:14 signing [1] - 138:21 similar [2] - 45:23, 106:10 **similarly** [1] - 44:4 **simple** [1] - 130:15 simply [9] - 41:7, 42:10, 56:15, 75:17, 78:14, 80:16, 91:24, 120:11, 123:8 **sit** [2] - 52:8, 80:22 sitting [2] - 33:11, **situation** [3] - 51:14, 64:2, 133:11 situations [1] - 65:25 **six** [2] - 8:7, 8:9 six-year [1] - 8:9 sizes [1] - 134:23 small [1] - 14:7 smoothly [1] -100:23 **someone** [2] - 54:19, 62:7 sometime [4] -12:21, 12:23, 47:5, 100:24 sometimes [4] -24:20, 31:16, 65:19, 76:7 soon [1] - 131:22 sorry [9] - 12:22, 18:12, 18:15, 47:24, 51:25, 124:2, 125:6, 126:10, 132:11 **sort** [1] - 62:5 sought [3] - 70:13,

VII
125:17, 125:23
source [1] - 59:21
speaking [4] - 50:9,
68:23, 86:11, 86:13
special [16] - 101:22,
102:4, 102:8, 103:15,
105:9, 107:5, 113:13,
116:5, 117:12,
117:21, 118:15,
118:16, 121:20,
122:20, 123:23, 126:8
specialists [3] -
73:6, 74:3, 74:5
specific [8] - 13:18,
24:5, 34:19, 41:3,
51:5, 51:8, 52:12,
102:13
Specifically [2] -
33:24, 70:11
specifically [10] -
35:11, 39:24, 40:14,
51:17, 81:5, 97:17,
110:3, 112:22,
115:10, 117:4
speculation [1] -
100:23
split [6] - 61:15,
61:16, 61:18, 64:15,
64:17, 64:19
splitting [2] - 64:11, 64:13
spring [1] - 98:1 Spring [2] - 22:11,
65:7
ss [1] - 138:1
staff [39] - 13:5,
13:21, 13:25, 14:5,
14:9, 20:15, 33:9,
35:3, 35:6, 35:11,
35:19, 37:21, 46:20,
48:25, 49:1, 49:3,
49:8, 56:14, 63:16,
68:5, 68:9, 74:16,
74:17, 75:15, 77:24,
98:7, 99:23, 103:3,
104:19, 104:25,
105:5, 107:4, 107:22,
110:19, 110:21,
111:21, 112:20
stage [1] - 29:25
staggered [1] - 8:9
stamp [1] - 121:14
stand [1] - 62:20
standard [1] - 58:9
start [2] - 11:1, 96:23
starting [1] - 27:5
starts [2] - 85:18,
103:10
STATE [2] - 6:10,
138:1

```
state [22] - 8:6, 8:11,
8:18, 22:23, 27:17,
27:18, 27:20, 27:21,
64:10, 103:15,
105:21, 113:17,
117:11, 119:1,
119:12, 122:19,
128:11, 128:13,
131:5, 131:25,
132:18, 135:11
 State [10] - 5:10,
5:13, 21:15, 21:16,
51:9, 128:15, 138:5,
138:11, 139:8
 statement [36] -
21:3, 21:8, 21:10,
21:25, 38:8, 38:15,
47:21, 47:23, 48:3,
48:7, 48:9, 48:10,
48:11, 51:19, 60:16,
60:20, 61:20, 63:15,
65:2, 65:3, 65:16,
65:17, 73:23, 77:14,
77:17, 81:8, 108:16,
108:20, 113:1,
113:18, 113:19,
115:21, 116:2, 122:9,
123:17, 123:18
 statements [9] -
20:20, 20:24, 50:15,
51:22, 51:24, 52:2,
103:6, 111:22, 112:20
 STATES [1] - 1:1
 states [46] - 16:18,
21:5, 21:15, 22:3,
32:22, 42:19, 44:4,
44:10, 45:4, 45:5,
45:20, 47:18, 48:5,
50:6, 50:18, 51:13,
53:3, 55:9, 60:12,
62:10, 65:5, 70:11,
72:6, 81:10, 103:14,
106:21, 107:3,
107:21, 113:8, 114:1,
116:11, 117:8,
117:18, 118:2,
118:21, 120:11,
121:19, 122:5,
123:10, 123:21,
125:11, 127:18,
128:9, 128:24,
132:19, 132:20
 States [2] - 5:6,
132:17
 Statewide [6] -
20:13, 27:15, 87:21,
90:20, 133:12, 134:2
 statewides [1] -
```

98:17

stating [1] - 81:10

```
status [4] - 29:3,
121:23, 129:25, 130:6
 statuses [1] - 28:9
 statute [8] - 9:24,
21:20, 21:21, 27:18,
28:15, 28:18, 57:17,
97:5
 statutes [6] - 10:24,
23:18, 33:16, 57:24,
116:7, 134:21
 statutorily [1] -
118:22
 statutory [4] - 51:8,
100:17, 117:10,
134:24
 step [1] - 124:8
 stick [1] - 92:10
 sticker [1] - 47:1
 still [20] - 65:16,
105:16, 106:13,
107:16, 111:9, 114:5,
115:6, 116:15, 118:5,
118:6, 119:19,
120:14, 120:15,
120:25, 122:25,
124:14, 129:4,
129:20, 133:8, 137:4
 strategy [1] - 114:21
 street [1] - 86:19
 Street [7] - 5:12,
5:20, 6:3, 6:7, 6:11,
6:14, 138:10
 strictly [1] - 65:8
 strike [5] - 35:25,
40:11, 52:24, 77:20,
98:24
 study [4] - 86:3,
86:10, 93:17, 95:7
 Subject [1] - 77:5
 subject [7] - 8:12,
9:15, 10:18, 20:16,
50:6, 114:12, 129:7
 submit [1] - 98:25
 submitted [3] - 97:9,
98:12, 99:1
 subsequently [2] -
70:24, 73:13
 subset [1] - 57:7
 substance [5] - 18:7,
111:4, 111:5, 112:4,
112:8
 success [1] - 120:5
 sudden [1] - 75:24
 sued [1] - 10:3
 sufficiency [1] -
```

80:21, 110:18 **suggests** [1] - 89:3 Suite [8] - 5:12, 5:20, 5:23, 6:3, 6:7, 6:14, 6:17, 138:10 **summarize** [1] - 89:5 summary [8] -107:12, 113:25, 116:10, 128:8, 128:23, 128:25, 129:5, 129:22 **Summary** [1] - 105:3 summer [1] - 12:24 supervision [1] -118:24 supervisor [4] -20:12, 29:18, 35:21, 35:22 supervisors [2] -13:24, 25:8 supervisory [2] -46:8, 62:3 supplemental [8] -80:5, 80:13, 80:17, 80:18, 80:24, 81:5, 81:7, 81:24 Supplemental [2] -3:18, 79:11 **Support** [1] - 4:7 support [1] - 16:19 supposed [4] -61:11, 63:10, 64:21, 88:7 **SVRS** [24] - 3:14, 21:11, 22:4, 27:5, 27:7, 27:14, 27:16, 28:14, 28:25, 29:24, 30:11, 30:13, 31:9, 31:12, 62:12, 62:20, 62:24, 68:5, 87:21, 88:6, 88:20, 94:2, 94:19, 95:14 sworn [2] - 7:5, 138:13 System [6] - 20:14, 27:15, 87:22, 90:20, 133:13, 134:3 system [22] - 13:14, 22:21, 23:3, 25:14, 26:17, 26:24, 27:4, 27:16, 28:7, 29:9, 30:22, 31:1, 39:10, 40:23, 44:25, 45:1, 53:22, 68:13, 95:2, 97:16, 137:19 systems [1] - 59:25

T

```
table [6] - 43:18,
44:16, 45:4, 45:8,
45:19, 45:23
 tables [1] - 38:5
 TAMMY [1] - 1:10
 tax [4] - 26:3, 54:9,
54:23, 59:23
 team [2] - 22:8,
35:13
 technical [1] - 22:8
 telephone [1] - 6:4
 Ten [1] - 6:7
 tend [1] - 59:24
 tendered [1] - 11:6
 term [3] - 8:19,
44:14, 44:15
 terms [7] - 8:9,
23:13, 29:11, 34:17,
59:4, 59:5, 76:17
 testified [10] - 7:6,
15:18, 26:19, 28:14,
30:3, 30:7, 33:4, 34:2,
37:13, 81:16
 testify [1] - 138:13
 testimony [7] -
26:21, 40:7, 93:21,
94:7, 108:1, 133:2,
138:20
 text [2] - 55:19,
55:25
 THE [6] - 82:23, 84:9,
84:11, 84:13, 84:16,
127:2
 the-last [1] - 38:23
 themselves [2] -
26:14, 86:13
 therefore [2] - 21:19,
124:18
 thereupon [1] -
138:16
 they've [2] - 64:17,
135:6
 third [1] - 113:24
 thirds [1] - 8:13
 THOMAS [5] - 1:15,
1:16, 2:4, 2:14, 2:15
 three [8] - 45:9,
83:12, 83:14, 87:15,
88:15, 92:12, 131:6,
132:1
 three-court [1] -
131:6
 three-judge [1] -
132:1
 throughout [1] - 38:5
 Thursday [1] - 98:17
 THYSSEN [1] - 1:8
```

sufficient [2] - 98:9,

suggest [1] - 130:14

suggestions [2] -

101:5

tied [4] - 29:9, 29:20, 45:2. 76:13 TIGER [1] - 72:9 timeframes [1] -100:17 timing [5] - 96:2, 96:3, 100:7, 100:12, 100:14 **TIMOTHY** [2] - 1:16, 2:15 today [12] - 7:12, 7:24, 33:11, 34:9, 52:8, 80:22, 91:13, 105:16, 107:16, 123:17, 126:23, 133:2 today's [3] - 7:22, 135:22, 137:22 together [2] - 17:14, 50:2 tomorrow [2] - 99:3, 99:22 Tony [1] - 32:6 took [2] - 32:5, 35:4 tool [8] - 56:3, 57:25, 59:18, 59:19, 63:2, 64:9, 68:17, 75:22 tools [2] - 57:21, 69:19 top [15] - 24:11, 25:14, 26:18, 26:23, 45:4, 50:17, 92:11, 106:19, 106:21, 112:23, 113:8, 124:5, 125:4, 125:10, 128:5 topic [4] - 18:7, 96:2, 112:14, 112:18 topics [2] - 17:17, 35:1 total [2] - 39:22, 87:7 totals [7] - 34:1, 34:7, 34:12, 34:19, 59:8, 59:14, 60:5 touching [1] - 138:14 toward [2] - 85:17, 128:5 Tower [1] - 5:23 Town [5] - 51:16, 52:10, 88:11, 92:5, 92:22 track [2] - 28:9, 75:11 tracked [3] - 29:8, 29:16, 29:17 traditional [1] -133:4 training [1] - 68:7 transcript [2] - 4:10, 4:24 transcription [1] -

138:18

transit [1] - 29:16 transmitted [1] -94:15 TRAVIS [1] - 1:8 tried [1] - 75:3 true [11] - 47:23, 48:3, 79:24, 93:22, 96:13, 116:15, 118:6, 123:16, 125:16, 126:12, 138:19 truly [2] - 31:3, 90:4 truth [2] - 138:13, 138:14 try [2] - 18:25, 33:13 trying [18] - 23:10, 24:23, 24:25, 25:15, 25:16, 25:23, 31:3, 37:21, 42:12, 49:4, 52:15, 57:5, 63:6, 63:8, 75:4, 100:7, 129:9, 137:12 Tuesday [5] - 96:6, 96:15, 97:13, 101:18 turn [29] - 15:8, 16:17, 38:7, 45:3, 60:10, 65:1, 71:13, 71:22, 72:18, 78:6, 79:6, 79:14, 81:3, 81:6, 102:25, 103:9, 104:5, 106:18, 107:18, 108:4, 112:12, 112:23, 116:9, 117:3, 120:1, 121:16, 123:19, 127:17, 128:4 **Turn** [1] - 11:18 turning [2] - 91:17, 123:4 two [21] - 8:13, 17:18, 18:10, 18:22, 26:11, 26:12, 38:20, 39:7, 42:8, 47:17, 55:22, 74:16, 87:15, 89:19, 89:23, 92:5, 98:17, 106:24, 120:8, 132:2, 135:25 two-thirds [1] - 8:13 type [4] - 23:4, 24:14, 25:15, 38:14 types [1] - 10:25

U

typewriting [1] -

typing [1] - 41:3

138:18

U.S.C [2] - 119:1, 119:13 ultimately [1] - 9:23

unconstitutional [3] - 117:23, 118:12, 119:8 unconstitutionality [2] - 118:14, 119:25 under [50] - 9:1, 10:21, 21:11, 22:1, 23:22, 23:25, 24:8, 26:6, 41:24, 42:22, 43:22, 47:14, 51:16, 52:10, 55:16, 58:25, 59:9, 59:15, 60:6, 61:2, 61:15, 65:2, 65:3, 88:1, 88:20, 89:13, 89:17, 90:6, 92:16, 93:10, 94:9, 95:14, 95:18, 102:11, 102:14, 113:14, 116:6, 117:22, 118:18, 118:23, 118:25, 119:8, 119:12, 119:14, 121:20, 122:21, 126:6, 128:8, 134:22, 137:7 underlying [1] -54:15 underneath [1] -105:2 underrepresented [1] - 132:19 understood [1] -41:21 unfair [1] - 120:4 **UNITED** [1] - 1:1 **United** [2] - 5:6, 132:17 units [2] - 133:6, 135:2 unless [3] - 96:25, 123:24, 134:23 unusual [1] - 69:16 **up** [48] - 13:15, 23:16, 24:15, 24:19, 25:4, 25:12, 25:23, 26:2, 30:25, 37:22, 38:3, 40:16, 40:21, 49:7, 50:25, 52:16, 56:9, 56:11, 56:17, 57:1, 57:21, 59:25, 61:10, 63:3, 63:11, 63:18, 65:18, 66:22, 69:6, 69:12, 69:20, 73:24, 83:10, 89:11, 91:2, 91:13, 91:15, 92:16, 99:5, 101:6, 101:15, 106:19, 128:5, 130:16, 133:9,

V 77:22 73:6, 108:9 79:21, 81:22 77:20 66:16 1:18, 5:1 138:19 92:5, 92:22 119:12 2:15 92:17, 92:18 Voter [8] - 20:13, 27:15, 44:4, 45:10,

user [1] - 68:5

valid [1] - 124:18 Van [8] - 32:6, 32:7, 32:15, 33:4, 33:10, 34:1, 34:5, 37:13 **VAN**[1] - 6:13 **VARA**[1] - 2:9 variables [1] - 99:20 variances [2] - 77:8, variations [1] - 77:1 various [9] - 27:11, 27:24, 28:9, 31:1, 34:15, 40:17, 45:13, **VERA**[1] - 1:4 verification [5] -15:9, 71:16, 79:18, verify [5] - 14:2, 16:14, 17:4, 77:19, versus [4] - 39:21, 43:1, 43:15, 93:7 video [2] - 66:10, Video [1] - 6:17 VIDEOGRAPHER [9] - 66:10, 66:16, 78:18, 78:25, 85:6, 85:9, 135:21, 136:2, 137:21 VIDEOTAPE [2] videotape [1] -Vienna [3] - 88:11, **views** [1] - 100:13 violate [1] - 121:21 violated [1] - 21:21 violating [4] - 21:20, 117:15, 136:8, 136:19 violation [2] - 119:1, Voces [2] - 5:24, 6:5 **VOCES** [1] - 2:8 **VOCKE** [2] - 1:16, Vote [1] - 27:20 vote [3] - 91:8,

87:21, 90:20, 133:12,

voter [54] - 21:22,

134:2

22:6, 22:21, 24:11, 24:12, 26:16, 26:24, 27:12, 27:24, 28:3, 29:7, 29:9, 29:20, 31:23, 32:2, 32:3, 38:10, 40:19, 44:14, 44:15, 44:24, 45:25, 47:19, 47:25, 48:6, 54:10, 57:19, 59:17, 63:24, 69:1, 76:10, 86:18, 87:21, 88:10, 88:13, 88:19, 89:4, 89:8, 89:15, 89:16, 90:8, 90:10, 90:16, 90:17, 91:11, 92:8, 92:11, 92:14, 92:15, 92:17, 92:18, 92:22, 93:9, 134:10 Voters [6] - 38:24, 39:2, 43:19, 44:16, 45:5, 45:20 voters [59] - 22:5, 22:23, 23:3, 27:17, 28:9, 28:11, 29:1, 29:5, 34:13, 34:16, 34:17, 34:22, 35:9, 38:8, 38:10, 38:13, 39:12, 40:3, 41:12, 41:23, 42:12, 43:5, 43:7, 44:9, 45:1, 48:13, 48:19, 52:7, 52:14, 52:18, 53:3, 53:24, 55:9, 55:13, 55:23, 56:4, 57:7, 57:11, 57:14, 59:13, 60:4, 61:25, 62:23, 63:10, 68:17, 75:20, 86:19, 86:21, 88:5, 88:8, 91:6, 93:8, 94:2, 94:9, 136:22, 137:3, 137:5, 137:12 voting [6] - 27:11, 28:10, 42:13, 65:11, 90:16, 132:5

W

wait [1] - 54:21 waived [1] - 138:21 waiving [1] - 77:6 walk [1] - 75:24 walking [1] - 89:24 wandered [1] - 111:7 wants [1] - 28:21 **WARA**[1] - 2:9 Ward [4] - 42:25, 43:1, 50:7, 50:18 ward [11] - 27:3, 29:12, 43:15, 46:7, 53:13, 61:15, 69:14,

133:14, 136:13

upset [1] - 75:9

86:17, 88:12, 91:14 Wards [1] - 21:11 wards [11] - 21:17, 30:19, 30:22, 30:23, 38:14, 133:7, 134:20, 134:22, 135:6, 135:8 **Water** [1] - 6:14 Waukesha [3] - 4:4, 127:15, 130:1 weekend [1] - 97:1 Wendelberger [2] -117:24, 119:10 West [1] - 6:11

wherein [1] - 5:3

whereof [1] - 139:4 Whitt [5] - 20:13, 35:13, 36:2, 50:1, 108:12

Whitt's [1] - 35:21 whole [1] - 56:24 **WI**[1] - 6:18 width [1] - 54:14 Wielen [8] - 32:6, 32:7, 32:15, 33:4,

33:10, 34:1, 34:5, 37:13

Wisconsin [35] -1:13, 1:20, 2:1, 2:12, 2:16, 5:4, 5:7, 5:10, 5:13, 5:20, 5:23, 6:4, 6:8, 6:11, 6:14, 8:18, 19:13, 22:24, 27:17, 27:22, 33:1, 49:17, 49:19, 59:1, 97:15, 101:10, 108:9, 119:14, 123:12, 128:1, 128:10, 128:15, 138:6, 138:11, 139:8

WISCONSIN [3] -1:1, 6:10, 138:1

WISE [8] - 30:20, 53:4, 53:7, 53:9, 53:16, 53:17, 53:25,

55:10 **WISE-LR** [8] - 30:20,

53:4, 53:7, 53:9, 53:16, 53:17, 53:25,

55:10

wit [1] - 138:12 withdraw [1] -

111:18

withstand [1] - 99:18 witness [5] - 5:2, 7:5,

17:16, 138:20, 139:4

WITNESS [6] -

82:23, 84:9, 84:11, 84:13, 84:16, 127:2

Witness [1] - 3:2 word [1] - 24:24

words [4] - 24:18, 93:25, 134:18, 136:25 workflow [1] - 22:7

workload [1] - 101:2 works [3] - 35:14,

35:15, 35:16 wrestle [1] - 64:24

written [2] - 60:19, 116:25

Υ

year [5] - 8:9, 20:2, 63:24, 100:8, 133:5 years [1] - 132:2 yesterday [8] - 32:5, 32:10, 32:11, 33:4, 34:1, 37:13, 46:24, 46:25